APPENDIX J 2020 PINELLAS COUNTY LOCAL MITIGATION STRATEGY

CITY OF TARPON SPRINGS REPETITIVE LOSS AREA ANALYSIS:

- 1. CITY OF TAPRON SPRINGS 2019 ANNUAL FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT REPORT
- 2. CITY OF TARPON SPRINGS 2018 FLOOD PLAIN MANAGMENT PLAN

ACTIVITIES 512 a. FMP & 512b. RLAA

CITY OF TARPON SPRINGS, FLORIDA

FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT PLAN

2019 ANNUAL REPORT



PREPARED BY:

Building Development Department With assistance from the Finance, Public Services and Fire Departments

DATE:

September 1, 2019 ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

Background

In early 1998, The Florida Department of Community Affairs (DCA) requested that Pinellas County and its municipalities develop a unified, community-wide local mitigation strategy. Their request was aimed at controlling the skyrocketing costs of disasters. Most of the burdens of recovering from a disaster fall squarely on the shoulders of local government. A major disaster can bring extraordinary hardship to citizens, devastate the economic base, and diminish its quality of life for years to come. Recent events, such as the storms of the 2004 hurricane season as well as Hurricane Katrina (2005), Wilma (2005), Ike (Texas 2008), Hermine (2016) rand recently Hurricanes Matthew and Irma (2017) demonstrate the need to plan ahead and mitigate potential impacts wherever we can. If we can avoid the hardships we have seen in other parts of the country by making smarter decisions before the disaster, we will have served the best interest of our citizens, businesses and communities.

The workgroup conducted its first meeting on March 11, 1998. Over the ensuing fifteen months, a total of twenty-two (22) meetings took place to produce the deliverables required by contract. Pinellas County and its municipalities developed and adopted a unified Local Mitigation Strategy in 1999. By developing the Local Mitigation Strategy, it was hoped Pinellas County could increase the resiliency of the community to the disruption and hardship of disasters and attempt to reduce the potential and actual costs of their impact.

The State of Florida requires an annual update of the countywide LMS. This update process focuses on the revision of the risk assessment, the identification of accomplishments and the update of the initiatives or projects list. Through its regular quarterly meetings, the county and its municipalities encourage participation in the ongoing mitigation initiatives at the local level.

The 2004 Update of the Pinellas County LMS

In 2004, Pinellas County completed the first comprehensive update of the countywide Local Mitigation Strategy prompted by new requirements of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000. This update incorporated new risk assessments based on a new National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Study (conducted in 2002), the hurricane evacuation study update (2000), the hazardous material facility inventory (2004), and hazards modeling using the The Arbiter Of Storms (TAOS) model. Local Mitigation Strategy Goals and Objectives and Policies and

Ordinances were updated by the local governments identifying the adopted goals, objectives and policies within their Local Government Comprehensive Plans (LGCPs) and Land Development Regulations (LDRs) and the relevant sections of the Evaluation and Appraisal Reports (EARs) submitted to the Florida Department of Community Affairs. Vulnerabilities including repetitive loss properties and critical facilities inventories were updated and mapped using Geographic Information System (GIS) technology. In addition, the Mitigation Initiatives and Accomplishments were discussed and updated based on consensus of local priorities.

The 2009 Update of the Pinellas County LMS

All 24 jurisdictions incorporated the hazard identification and the risk assessment into their local government comprehensive plans including the goals and policies of the Future Land Use, Coastal and Conservation, Parks and Recreation, Infrastructure and Transportation Elements through the Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR) process. Mitigation initiatives and projects identified were incorporated into Capital Improvement Programs (CIPs) including stormwater management projects, transportation improvements and land acquisition projects (floodplain and wetland protection).

The 2015 Update of the Pinellas County LMS

In 2014, Pinellas County, its municipalities and other mitigation partners including the regional planning council, Pinellas Planning Council, water management district, utilities, health and social service agencies, non-profit organizations, economic development agencies, private sector representatives and others embarked on another comprehensive update of the Pinellas County LMS.

In a manner similar to the 2009 update, all 24 jurisdictions adopted the 2015 LMS plan. The update provides updated demographics and risk assessment based on historic events and losses. The County and its partners recognized that the planning process is as important as the plan itself. Using a 10-step planning process identified in the FEMA Floodplain Management Planning (CRS Coordinator's Manual 2013) members, working together enhanced the planning process and strengthened the overall multi-jurisdiction mitigation strategy. The City of Tarpon Springs adopted the 2015 LMS in May of 2015.

The 2017 Update of the Pinellas County LMS

In 2017, Pinellas County, its municipalities and other mitigation partners including the regional planning council, Pinellas Planning Council, water management district, utilities, health and social service agencies, non-profit organizations, economic development agencies, private sector representatives and others embarked on another comprehensive update of the Pinellas County LMS.

2019, Pinellas County is currently in the process of updating and adopting the 2020 LMS which all municipalities will follow. This projected will be completed in 2020 with Tarpon Springs adopting by Board of Commission approval.

New FIRMs (Flood Insurance Rate Maps)

This multi-year project to re-examine Pinellas County coastal flood zones and develop detailed, digital flood hazard maps has been completed. FEMA released the Preliminary FIRMs and FIS reports, which reflect current flood risks, for public review last summer (2018). The County in partnership with its municipalities (Tarpon Springs was an active participant) and FEMA held four open house style public meetings around the county soon after the release of the preliminary maps. The County also made the preliminary maps available to the public on two online map services and physical copies of the maps were available in the Tarpon Springs Building Development Department office.

The new FEMA map updates include a new Coastal AE zone and a line called the Limit of Moderate Wave Action (LiMWA). The LiMWA indicates where waves can reach heights of over 1.5 feet.

Mitigation Initiatives

The following lists the mitigation opportunities and initiative within the Pinellas LMS along with other local initiatives for floodplain management and hazard mitigation planning. A statement on accomplishments during the previous year and a status of each is included.

1. Tarpon Springs has hired STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES, Inc. under a 5-year continuing services contract for stormwater engineering services.

<u>Accomplishments</u>: Under the City's direction, STANTEC completed a Stormwater Action Plan Phase II (SAP). The SAP is a multi-year Capital Improvement Program which includes 16 stormwater projects with an estimated total cost of \$5.2 million. Since the program's inception in Fiscal Year 2010, eighteen (18) projects have been initiated and are in various stages of either design, permitting, construction or completion.

<u>Status</u>: This is an ongoing effort which will continue through Fiscal Year 2024 and possibly beyond as project need dictates.

2. Current Tarpon Springs Stormwater Projects: The City of Tarpon Springs created a Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) that was implemented in 2010 used as the master document to identify, evaluate and address areas susceptible to flooding. This document is available in the Tarpon Springs Stormwater Division and Building Development office. To date, the City has resolved or eliminated 22 identified projects; for a list of these projects please refer to the SAP. There are currently 7 capital improvement projects either in the beginning phases or currently under construction, 3 of these projects being funded by the South West Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) Cooperative Funding Initiative (CFI).

<u>Athens St. & Dodecanese Blvd.</u> – Drainage Improvement Project: The City of Tarpon Springs contracted a Consultant to develop a Technical Memorandum that evaluates design alternatives for drainage and tidal flooding related issues at the intersection of Athens St. & Dodecanese Blvd.

<u>Highland Ave. & Vista PI/Jasmine Ave. & Lime St.</u> – Drainage Improvement Project: 2 projects combined into 1 CFI with SWFWMD.

Palm Avenue Drainage Improvement Project – Stormwater rehabilitation along Pam Avenue between Glades Ave. and Gulf Road. Planned improvements include installation of a closed storm sewer system within the existing right-of-way to alleviate frequent roadway and private property flooding.

3. Tarpon Springs will implement its Comprehensive Plan, Code of Ordinances and Comprehensive Zoning and Land Development Code for all development activity in the

floodplain.

Accomplishments: In March of 2011 the City adopted a form-based zoning code and future land use amendment for a 246-acre Special Area Plan (SAP) comprised of the City's Community Redevelopment Area and the Sponge Docks Tourist Area. Much of this area is located within the Coastal High Hazard Area (Level 1 Hurricane Evacuation Area). The City included a transfer of development rights process in the new code to allow residential density to only be transferred within or outside of the Coastal High Hazard Area, ensuring that there would be no net gain of residential density within these flood hazard areas. Additionally, there were no increases in residential density within these areas above what was previously allowed.

Continued enforcement of the City's Comprehensive Zoning and Land Development Code also plays a role in reducing unsafe conditions and inappropriate uses, and limits development and redevelopment in the floodplain. The Comprehensive Zoning and Land Development Code sections, which affect uses and development in the floodplain, include but are not limited to the following:

- Stormwater Management
- Floodplain Management
- Open Space Preservation
- Wetlands and Shoreline Protection
- Tree Protection and Preservation
- Endangered/Threatened Species Protection

4. All development and redevelopment in floodplains and floodways must comply with the regulations of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), Federal Insurance Administration (FIA), Florida Building Code and the Tarpon Springs Floodplain Management ordinance.

<u>Accomplishments:</u> Tarpon Springs has participated in FEMA's National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) since May 1971. As a participant the City complies with the minimum standards of the program plus those additional activities established in the Community Rating System (CRS) program for which the City has participated since October 1992. On October 1, 2019, the City of Tarpon Springs increased from a classification 7 to a classification 6.

Compliance is achieved through aggressive enforcement of the City's Comprehensive Plan, Code of Ordinances, and the Comprehensive Zoning and Land Development Code, Floodplain Management Regulations are found in the Chapter 6 of the City's Code of Ordinances.

On December 31, 2017, the 2017 *Florida Building Code (FBC), 6th Ed.* became effective throughout the State of Florida. In August 2012, the City adopted a new floodplain management ordinance for local application and enforcement. This ordinance was based upon the Model Floodplain Management Ordinance developed by the Florida Division of Emergency Management (DEM). The purposes of this ordinance and the flood load and flood resistant construction requirements of the *Florida Building Code* are to establish minimum requirements to safeguard the public health, safety, and general welfare and to minimize public and private losses due to flooding through regulation of development in flood hazard areas.

Specific activities include limiting density, providing map information, and issuing and enforcing building permits under these regulations. The City limits densities in coastal high hazard areas to a maximum of five (5) residential units per acre. Map information from Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) is provided for new development, additions, and reconstruction or at the request of the general public. Building permits rely on this information since the permit must state the minimum base flood elevation (BFE). The most current 2017 FBC, 6th Ed. update included a new requirement of 1 ft. of freeboard above BFE for new construction which was already a requirement for Tarpon Springs.

RLAA (Repetitive Loss Area Analysis)

Step 1: Advise all the properties in the repetitive loss areas that the analysis will be conducted and request their input on the hazard and recommended actions. A Storm Savvy flyer was sent to all residents of Tarpon Springs in the utility bill to inform them about the RLAA initiative. To encourage participation in the RLAA, the Storm Savvy informs citizens of where to obtain a Flood Prevention Planning Questionnaire, where they can provide important information regarding flooding issues, flood protection measures, flood-related information,

and whether or not the City may follow-up with the resident. The Flood Prevention Planning Questionnaire is available in hardcopy form in City Hall and the City Library. In addition, the form is available on the City's website. The Storm Savvy publicizes the website and City locations of the hard-copy form. The Streets and Stormwater Department gathers this information to better assess drainage patterns in the area. Data is collected with regard to how streets flood, property flooding, warning notification, what parts of the property flooded, as well as dates and duration of flooding. In addition, the resident may specify the number of inches of water present, road accessibility, flood protection measures in place such as backup valves, sump pumps, waterproofed walls, etc. The ability to attach photos and videos is also enabled for residents to better verify flooding comments and concerns.

Step 2: Contact Agencies or organizations that may have plans or studies that could affect the cause or impacts of flooding. Active and historical studies were researched and incorporated in the Stormwater Action Plan. Specifically, the Master Drainage Study created by Dames & Moore was evaluated. In some cases, flood prone areas that were identified by Dames & Moore were still problem areas and therefore prioritized in the stormwater focus areas where appropriate.

Step 3: Visit each building in the repetitive loss area and collect basic area. During the RLAA data collection process, photos of the properties within the repetitive loss area, description and photo numbers, drainage patterns, and mitigation recommendations were collected. It is to be noted that although photos were not captured of every building, that drainage patterns and mitigation recommendations were documented for the overall area and not for the repetitive loss property specifically. Drainage improvements such as a roadside swale were recommended to alleviate flooding. Similarly to repetitive loss areas, the City's stormwater focus areas do not specifically target a single property but incorporate a larger area so that drainage improvements can alleviate flooding. Addressing larger drainage areas reduces flood risk for the largest number of residents and assists in prioritizing critical infrastructure and roadways necessary to execute roadway level of service for emergency vehicles and flood evacuation. The tables in support of the RLAA data collection process are not published and are for internal use only. Protected by the Privacy Act of 1974.

Step 4: Review alternative approaches and determine whether any property protection measures or drainage improvements are feasible. The RLAA tables contain mitigation improvements based on the types of buildings and location. In the development of the stormwater focus areas, special attention was paid to critical infrastructure as noted in the Emergency Services section of 510-4 of the CRS Coordinator's Manual as well as water quality issues that might impact natural resources. Flood attenuation was also prioritized for both street and private property as a preventative measure to reduce flood risk. The City actively engages its citizens as noted in Activity 330 of the CRS Coordinator's Manual to inform them of their flood zone and help them understand how to address flooding concerns or provide information about flood protection and flood insurance.

Step 5: Document the findings. The findings for each repetitive loss area are documented below. More detail on each stormwater focus area can be referenced in the SAP. The SAP can be found on the City's website at:

https://www.ctsfl.us/index htm files/StormwaterCIP.pdf.

Completed stormwater projects are outlined in the CRS Project Status Update 2019. A capital improvement program is in place to plan and fund action items for the stormwater program. The City of Tarpon Springs - Stormwater Capital Improvement Program.can be found on the City's website at https://www.ctsfl.us/index_htm_files/StormwaterCIP.pdf.

Lastly, the City of Tarpon Springs is participating in the coastal Risk Mapping, Assessment and Planning (Risk MAP) project. Risk MAP is a FEMA program that assists community efforts to identify, assess, and reduce their flood risk. The project addresses portions of Pinellas County, which includes Tarpon Springs, affected by coastal flooding.

Activity 510

514 g., CRS Coordinator's Manual (2017 Edition)

 This Annual Progress Report was prepared by the Building Development Department with assistance from the Finance, Public Services, and Fire Departments. Copies of this report will be distributed to the Board of Commissioners, the local media and made available to the public at the following locations:

- Tarpon Springs City Hall 324 E. Pine Street, Tarpon Springs, FL 34689
- Tarpon Springs Public Library 138 E. Lemon Street, Tarpon Springs, FL 34689
- Tarpon Springs Cultural Center 101 S. Pinellas Avenue, Tarpon Springs, FL 34689
- 2. Copies of the Pinellas LMS and this report can be obtained by contacting the Building Development Department at (727) 942-5604.
- 3. A review of the mitigation opportunities and initiatives in the Pinellas LMS along with local mitigation initiatives and accomplishments during the previous year are outlined in this report.
- 4. All initiatives are ongoing.
- 5. List any recommendations for new projects or revised projects.
 - Tarpon Springs will continue to review its Comprehensive Plan and codes on a regular basis to insure floodplain management planning is current. New and revised projects are scheduled on an annual basis in the City's Capital Improvement Program (CIP).
 - Tarpon Springs will continue to participate in hazard mitigation programs, such as the Pinellas LMS and modify such plans accordingly.
 - Tarpon Springs will continue to participate in FEMA's coastal Risk MAP project.

For more information, please contact the City's CRS Coordinator:

Megan Araya, CFM Floodplain Coordinator Building Development Department (727) 942-5604 <u>maraya@cstfl.us</u>

Activity 510 "Floodplain Management Planning"



City of Tarpon Springs

"2018" 5 Year Cycle Visit

June 2018

| Activity 510 - Floodplain Manage | ement Planning | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Element | Attachment Pg(s) | Description |
| 512.b. FMP | 3-14 | Repetitive loss area analysis (RLAA) |
| 512.b. FMP | 11-14 | RLAA Data Collection - Table is for internal use only. Protected by the Privacy Act of 1974 |
| 512.b. FMP | 9 | Impact Adjustment Map (see calculation in Legend) RLAA Map of Repetitive Loss Areas |
| 512.b. FMP | 10 | RLAA Map of Repetitive Loss Areas and Stormwater Action Plan Locations |
| 512.b. (b) FMP | 15-18 | Documentation showing how the owners or residents of the areas were notified |
| 512.b.(c) FMP | 17-18 | Documentation showing how the analysis was made available to the media and the public - RLAA Flood Prevention Planning - Resident Flood Prevention Planning Questionnaire |
| 512 b (d) EMP | 19 | A copy of the formal action by the governing body that adopts the area analysis or accepts changes in subsequent update - City Board of Commissioners approved American Consulting to conduct SAP |
| 512.b. FMP | 20-202 | Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) Update & SAP |
| 510 | 203-205 | SOP - Flooded Area Response Protocol Application & Maintenance |
| 510 | 206 | Flood Evaluation - Properties Located on the SE Corner of MLK Jr. Drive and S. Groose Avenue |
| 510 | 207-212 | 122-12 Hurricane Shelter Impact Study |
| 512.a. FMP | 213-221 | City of Tarpon Springs Floodplain Management Plan 2017 Annual Report |
| 512.a. FMP | 222-1134 | 2015 Pinellas County Local Mitigation Strategy |
| 512.a. FMP | 1135 | City of Tarpon Springs Staff Memorandum - Annual Update of the Local Mitigation Strategy (LMS) |
| 512.a. FMP | 1136-1213 | Pinellas County 2017 Local Mitigation Strategy Annual Progress Report |
| 512.a. FMP | 1214-1218 | Resolution 2015-17 and follow-up correspondance regarding adoption |

Repetitive Loss Area Analysis (RLAA)

In 2014 the City of Tarpon Springs contracted with STANTEC Engineering to complete an in depth Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) to address drainage to reduce or eliminate flooding in areas that are problematic. In 2018 there was an update to this SAP listing all of the current projects alleviating the areas with drainage issues. The City has completed, resolved or eliminated 18 identified projects and there are currently 6 capital improvement projects in design or in the construction phase.

With the 2017 CRS Coordinator's Manual update requiring a Repetitive Loss Area Analysis (RLAA), we have requested additional money in our Fiscal Year 2019 budget to expand upon the current RLAA/SAP. The RLAA will provide valuable information that can be used in conjunction with our SAP to include future drainage projects not already identified throughout the City. It is to be noted, that both the RLAA and the SAP are projects that are constantly evolving due to completion of drainage improvement projects and receipt of new information regarding flooding from residents or staff.

Table 1 contains a summary of the completed stormwater projects and flood prone areas as identified in SAP Phase 1.

| Table 1 - Repetitive Loss Area Analysis - Stormwater Action Plan Phase I Results | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| Repetitive Loss Area | N/A | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Count |
| Completed Stormwater Projects | 13 | | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 24 |
| Flood Prone Area | 27 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 | | 38 |
| Stormwater Problem To Be Addressed By Others | 6 | | 1 | | | | 7 |

As part of the RLAA initiative, the following activities were performed to satisfy the 5 steps outlined in the 2017 Coordinator's Manual.

Step 1: Advise all the properties in the repetitive loss areas that the analysis will be conducted and request their input on the hazard and recommended actions.

A Storm Savvy flyer was sent to all residents of Tarpon Springs in the utility bill to inform them about the RLAA initiative. To encourage participation in the RLAA, the Storm Savvy informs citizens of where to obtain a Flood Prevention Planning Questionnaire, where they can provide important information regarding flooding issues, flood protection measures, flood-related information, and whether or not the City may follow-up with the resident. The Flood Prevention Planning Questionnaire is available in hard-copy form in City Hall and the City Library.

In addition, the form is available on the City's website. The Storm Savvy publicizes the website and City locations of the hard-copy form. The Streets and Stormwater Department gathers this information to better assess drainage patterns in the area. Data is collected with regard to how streets flood, property flooding, warning notification, what parts of the property flooded, as well as dates and duration of flooding. In addition, the resident may specify the number of inches of water present, road accessibility, flood protection measures in place such as backup valves, sump pumps, waterproofed walls, etc. The ability to attach photos and videos is also enabled for residents to better verify flooding comments and concerns.

Step 2: Contact Agencies or organizations that may have plans or studies that could affect the cause or impacts of flooding.

Active and historical studies were researched and incorporated in the Stormwater Action Plan. Specifically, the Master Drainage Study created by Dames & Moore was evaluated. In some cases, flood prone areas that were identified by Dames & Moore were still problem areas and therefore prioritized in the stormwater focus areas where appropriate.

Step 3: Visit each building in the repetitive loss area and collect basic area.

During the RLAA data collection process, photos of the properties within the repetitive loss area, description and photo numbers, drainage patterns, and mitigation recommendations were collected. It is to be noted that although photos were not captured of every building, that drainage patterns and mitigation recommendations were documented for the overall area and not for the repetitive loss property specifically. Drainage improvements such as a roadside swale were recommended to alleviate flooding. Similarly to repetitive loss areas, the City's stormwater focus areas do not specifically target a single property but incorporate a larger area so that drainage improvements can alleviate flooding. Addressing larger drainage areas reduces flood risk for the largest number of residents and assists in prioritizing critical infrastructure and roadways necessary to execute roadway level of service for emergency vehicles and flood evacuation. The tables in support of the RLAA data collection process are not published and are for internal use only. Protected by the Privacy Act of 1974.

Step 4: Review alternative approaches and determine whether any property protection measures or drainage improvements are feasible.

The RLAA tables contain mitigation improvements based on the types of buildings and location. In the development of the stormwater focus areas, special attention was paid to critical infrastructure as noted in the Emergency Services section of 510-4 of the CRS Coordinator's Manual as well as water quality issues that might impact natural resources. Flood attenuation was also prioritized for both street and private property as a preventative measure to reduce flood risk. The City actively engages its citizens as noted in Activity 330 of the CRS Coordinator's Manual to inform them of their flood zone and help them understand how to address flooding concerns or provide information about flood protection and flood insurance.

Step 5: Document the findings.

The findings for each repetitive loss area are documented below. More detail on each stormwater focus area can be referenced in the attached SAP. The SAP can be found on the City's website at https://www.ctsfl.us/index_htm_files/StormwaterCIP.pdf.

Completed stormwater projects are outlined in the CRS Project Status Update 2018 for projects from 2011-2018. A capital improvement program is in place to plan and fund action items for the stormwater program. The City of Tarpon Springs - Stormwater Capital Improvement Program.can be found on the City's website at https://www.ctsfl.us/index https://www.ctsfl.us/index htm files/StormwaterCIP.pdf.

Repetitive Loss Area 1:

Currently, no stormwater projects or flood prone areas have been identified in Area 1. Due to the density of other flood prone areas and their proximity to residential properties, CIP funds were allocated to address Areas 3, 4, 5, and 6. There are 6 repetitive loss properties within Area 1.

Repetitive Loss Area 2:

1 flood prone area has been identified from the SAP within Repetitive Loss Area 2. Area 2 contains 2 repetitive loss properties. Flood Prone Area #39 has been identified as street and yard flooding.

| ID | Repetitive Loss Area | Location Type |
|----|----------------------|------------------|
| 39 | 2 | Flood Prone Area |

Repetitive Loss Area 3:

Area 3 contains 6 flood prone areas and 6 stormwater projects have been completed to address drainage problems. Area 3 contains 61 repetitive loss properties and was therefore the focus of CIP funding.

| ID | Repetitive Loss Area | Location Type |
|-----|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 23 | 3 | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 61 | 3 | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 49 | 3 | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 59 | 3 | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 24 | 3 | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 48 | 3 | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 43 | 3 | Flood Prone Area |
| 42 | 3 | Flood Prone Area |
| 62 | 3 | Flood Prone Area |
| 25 | 3 | Flood Prone Area |
| 24B | 3 | Flood Prone Area |
| 100 | 3 | Flood Prone Area |
| | | Stormwater Problem To Be Addressed By |
| 76 | 3 | Others |

Flood Prone Area #43 has been identified as street and private property flooding, #42 as street flooding with inadequate infrastructure/backwater, #62 has been identified as street and private property flooding with water quality concerns, #25 as rear yard flooding, #24B as private property flooding, and 100 as street flooding.

Repetitive Loss Area 4:

Two flood prone areas have been identified in the SAP and 1 stormwater project has been completed to alleviate areas of flooding. Area 4 contains 2 repetitive loss properties.

| ID Repetitive Loss Area Location Type |
|---------------------------------------|
|---------------------------------------|

| 30 | 4 | Completed Stormwater Projects |
|----|---|-------------------------------|
| 31 | 4 | Flood Prone Area |
| 70 | 4 | Flood Prone Area |

Flood Prone Area #31 has been identified as roadside flooding due to a ditch along Huey Avenue that floods and #70 as street and private property flooding.

Repetitive Loss Area 5:

Two flood prone areas have been identified in the SAP and 2 stormwater projects have been completed to date. Area 5 contains 3 repetitive loss properties.

| ID | Repetitive Loss Area | Location Type |
|----|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 33 | 5 | Flood Prone Area |
| 15 | 5 | Flood Prone Area |
| 32 | 5 | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 52 | 5 | Completed Stormwater Projects |

Flood Prone Area #15 has been identified as street and private property flooding. Highland Avenue roadway was deemed impassible. #33 contains street and private property flooding.

Repetitive Loss Area 6:

Two stormwater projects have been completed in Area 6. Although no flood prone areas were identified through the SAP, there are 3 repetitive loss properties located in Area 6.

| ID | Repetitive Loss Area | Location Type |
|----|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 16 | 6 | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 66 | 6 | Completed Stormwater Projects |

In addition to targeting flood prone areas that overlap with repetitive loss areas, the City of Tarpon Springs is proactive in addressing drainage problems in other locations to alleviate flooding concerns or stormwater infrastructure issues.

| ID | Repetitive Loss Area | Location Type |
|----|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 13 | N/A | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 38 | N/A | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 40 | N/A | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 36 | N/A | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 34 | N/A | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 19 | N/A | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 26 | N/A | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| | N/A | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 55 | N/A | Completed Stormwater Projects |
| 56 | N/A | Completed Stormwater Projects |

| 60 | N/A | Completed Stormwater Projects | | | |
|-----|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| 64 | N/A | Completed Stormwater Projects | | | |
| 53 | N/A | Completed Stormwater Projects | | | |
| 51 | N/A | Completed Stormwater Projects | | | |
| 3 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 2 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| ID | Repetitive Loss Area | Location Type | | | |
| 6 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 5 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 14 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 4 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 18 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 1B | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 22 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 35 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 20 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 29 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 8 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 10 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 11 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 17 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 28 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 7 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 21 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 57 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 69 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 28A | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 74 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 71 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 72 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 102 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| 101 | N/A | Flood Prone Area | | | |
| | | Stormwater Problem To Be Addressed By | | | |
| 12 | N/A | Others | | | |
| | | Stormwater Problem To Be Addressed By | | | |
| 41 | N/A | Others | | | |
| 27 | NI/A | Stormwater Problem To Be Addressed By | | | |
| 5/ | | Stormwater Droblem To Be Addressed By | | | |
| 8 | N/A | Others | | | |
| | | Stormwater Problem To Be Addressed By | | | |
| 75 | N/A | Others | | | |
| 1A | N/A | Stormwater Problem To Be Addressed By | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | Others |
|--|--------|
| | |

Cause of Flooding

Hurricane Information

There were two dates listed on several of the AW-501's that correspond with storm events in our area:

June 23–27 2012 - Tropical Storm Debby: This was a weak system but lasted a few days and produced catastrophic flooding across northern and central Florida. There was record river flooding in certain areas.

September 1 2016 - Hurricane Hermine: Many counties in Florida received flooding up to nine feet due to river swelling.

Geographic Location and Topography

As a coastal community, the City of Tarpon Springs is tidally influenced and as such has heavy exposure to natural elements. Areas # 1, 2, 3, 5, & 7 are all tidal influenced areas. These areas have high tides & high winds, and water surges affect all locations within these areas. As for areas # 4 & 6, these locations are low-lying depressional areas that are subject to the "bowl effect" and partial to flooding due to topography.

The SAP contains further details for stormwater focus areas incuding reasoning for developing the stormwater focus areas and recommended capital improvements. In 2018, a CRS Project Status Update was developed to summarize the results of the SAP for a total of 18 stormwater improvement projects completed between 2011-2018.

512.b. RLAA Impact Adjustment



City of Tarpon Springs

FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area

Repetitive Loss Areas

This map and all data contained within are supplied as is with no warranty. Cardno Inc. expressly disclaims responsibility for damages or liability from any claims that may arise out of the use or misuse of this map. It is the sole responsibility of the user to determine if the data on this map meets the user's needs. This map was not created as survey data, nor should it be used as such. It is the user's responsibility to obtain proper survey data, prepared by a licensed surveyor, where required

2,300 4,600 Feet 701 1,402 Meters

718/2018 Date Revised: 7/18/2018 File Path: J:\00



380 Park Place Boulevard, Suite 300, Clearwater, FL 33759 USA Phone (+1) 727.531.3505 Fax (+1) 727.539.1294 www.cardno.com



This map and all data contained within are supplied as is with no warranty. Cardno Inc. expressly disclaims responsibility for damages or liability from any claims that may arise out of the use or misuse of this map. It is the sole responsibility of the user to determine if the data on this map meets the user's needs. This map was not created as survey data, nor should it be used as such. It is the user's responsibility to obtain proper survey data, prepared by a licensed surveyor, where required by law. 0 2,300 4,600 Feet 0 701 1,402 Meters

City of Tarpon Springs

FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Repetitive Loss Areas and Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) Locations





380 Park Place Boulevard, Suite 300, Clearwater, FL 33759 USA Phone (+1) 727.531.3505 Fax (+1) 727.539.1294 www.cardno.com



| РНОТО | C/R | FOUNDATION | #. OF STORIES | CONSTRUCTION TYPE | STRUCTURAL CONDITION | DRAINAGE PATTERNS | MITIGATION IMPROVEMENTS |
|---------------------------|-----|---------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| 7, 8 | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | STREET DRAINS | CREATE SWALES |
| 3 | С | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | STREET DRAINS | FLOOD PROOF |
| 1, 2 | С | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | WOOD FCAME | GOOD | STREET DRAINS | FLOOD PROOF |
| N/A | С | | | CANNOT L | OCATE STRUCTURE | | N |
| 4 | С | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | STREET DRAINS | FLOOD PROOF |
| 5, 6 | С | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | WOOD FCAME | GOOD | STREET DRAINS | FLOOD PROOF |
| 13 | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | FAIR | SIDE SWALES | CREATE SWALES |
| 15, 16 | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | WOOD FRAME | FAIR | SIDE SWALES | CREATE SWALES |
| 14 | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | SIDE SWALES | FLOOD PROOF |
| N/A | R | | - | CANNOT L | OCATE STRUCTURE | | |
| 17, 18 | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | WOOD FRAME | GOOD | SIDE SLOPES | CREATE SWALES |
| 19 | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | G <mark>O</mark> OD | SIDE SLOPES | CREATE SWALES |
| 9,10,11, <mark>1</mark> 2 | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | STREET DRAINS | CREATE SWALES |
| 20, 21 | R | STEM WALL | 1 | WOOD FRAME | FAIR | STREET DRAINS | CREATE SWALES |
| 25 | R | STEM WALL | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | SIDE SLOPES | CREATE SWALES |
| 26,27,28,29 | R | STEM WALL | 2 | CONC BLOCK | FAIR | SIDE SLOPES | CREATE SWALES |
| 34,35,36 | R | STEM WALL | 1 | CONC BLOCK | EXCELLENT | SIDE SLOPES | CREATE SWALES |
| 30,31,32,33 | R | STEM WALL | 3 | CONC BLOCK | EXCELLENT | SIDE SLOPES | CREATE SWALES |
| 22,23,24 | R | STEM WALL | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | SIDE SLOPES | CREATE SWALES |
| 55, | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | CURB/ ST. DRAINS | LOWER GRADE LF SD |
| 53, 54 | R | STEM WALL | 1 | CONC & WOOD FRAME | FAIR | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| 52 | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | FAIR | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| 48, 49 | R | STEM WALL | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| 50, <mark>51</mark> | R | STEM WALL | 1 | WOOD FRAME | FAIR | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| 46, 47 | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| 44, 45 | R | STEM WALL | 2 | WOOD FRAME | GOOD | RT. SIDE SWALE | STORMWATER MGT |
| M20,21,22 | С | SLAB ON GRADE | 2 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | SWALES |



| РНОТО | LOCATION/ADDRESS | C/R | FOUNDATION | #. OF STORIES | CONSTRUCTION TYPE | STRUCTURAL CONDITION | DRAINAGE PATTERNS | MITIGATION IMPROVEMENTS |
|------------|------------------|-----|--------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| 40, 41 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| 37, 38, 39 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| 42, 43 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| DG1 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT, SLOPING TOWARD HOUSE IN SOME AREAS | CREATE SWALES |
| DG2, DG3 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE / SPLIT LEVEL | 2 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | Grade Slopes Slightly Away From Foundation | BUILDING CODES |
| DG13 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT / SLOPING TOWARD HOUSE | CREATE SWALES |
| DG4 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FROM FRONT TO REAR | CREATE SWALES |
| DG10 | e6 | R | SLAB / CRAWL SPACE | ATTACHED 1 STORY GARAGE AND BREEZEWAY | BRICK | GOOD | FLAT AND DROPS OFF ON RIVER SIDE | BUILDIN <mark>G</mark> ELEVATION |
| DG8 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| DG11 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | WOOD | GOOD | PITCH TOWARDS HOUSE IN SOME AREAS | CREATE SWALES |
| DG6 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | SLOPING TOWARD HOUSE IN FRONT AND SIDES | BUILDING ELEVATION |
| DG5 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | AWAY FROM FOUNDATION | CREATE SWALES |



| РНОТО | LOCATION/ADDRESS | CR | FOUNDATION | #. OF STORIES | | STRUCTURAL CONDITION | DRAINAGE PATTERNS | MITIGATION IMPROVEMENTS |
|-------------|------------------|----|---------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| DG7 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT GRAD AND SLOPING TOWARD HOUSE IN SOME AREAS | BUILDING ELEVATION |
| MA14, MA15 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | LEFT SIDE NEIGHBOR SLOPES ONTO PROPERTY | BUILDING ELEVATION |
| MA17, MA18 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | POOR | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| MA4 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT / SIDE YARD SLOPES | CREATE SWALES |
| MA5,MA6,MA7 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | FAIR | SLOPES IN ON BOTH SIDES | BUILDING ELEVATION |
| MA1 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 2 | CONC BLOCK / FRAME | GOOD | FLAT / KRAEMER BAYOU IN BACK | CREATE SWALES |
| MA2, MA3 | | R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | FLAT / KRAEMER BAYOU IN BACK | CREATE SWALES |
| MA10,11,12 | | С | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | 1,2 GOOD & 3,4 FAIR | SLOPES TO LEFT | BUILDING ELEVATION |
| MA8, MA9 | | R | MOBILE HOME | 1 | METAL | GOOD | SLOPES TO RIGHT | ACQUISITION |
| MA33,34 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| MA23 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| MA24 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| MA27 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 2 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| MA25,26 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| MA28,29 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | CONSTRUCTION | TBD |
| MA30,31,32 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | SLOPES DOWN FROM L SIDE YARD | CREATE SWALES |
| MA42,43 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| MA37,38 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT/CONCRETE WALL IN FRONT | REMOVE WALL |
| MA35,36 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | SEVERE SLOPE | BUILDING ELEVATION |
| MA41 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |



| DUOTO | | C D | | #. OF | CONSTRUCTION | STRUCTURAL | DRAINAGE | MITIGATION |
|------------|------------------|------------|---------------|---------|--------------------|------------|--|------------------|
| PHOTO | LOCATION/ADDRESS | CR | FOUNDATION | STURIES | ITPE | CONDITION | PATTERNS | IMPROVEMENTS |
| MA41 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | BLOCK / FRAME | FAIR | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| MA39,40 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT / R NEIGHBOR HAS TALL RETENTION WALL | ELEVATION |
| DG13 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | SLOPES FROM THE ROAD TO THE RIVER SLIGHTLY | STORMWATER MGT |
| DG12 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| CB2 | | R | STEMWALL | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | S SWALE TO GULF | ADDITIONAL SWALE |
| CB3 | | R | VACANT LOT | N/A | N/A | N/A | REAR SIDE GULF | N/A |
| CB4 | | R | STEMWALL | 2 | CONC BLOCK / FRAME | GOOD | NORTHSIDE RET POND | CREATE SWALES |
| CB7 | | R | STAMWALL | 2 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | SE RET POND | CREATE SWALES |
| CB15,16,17 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | POOR | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| CB13 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | E AND NORTH SWALES | STORMWATER MGT |
| CB9 | | R | SLAB ON GRADE | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | FLAT | CREATE SWALES |
| CB10,11,12 | | R | PEDESTAL | 1 | WOOD FRAME | FAIR | N CORNER OF RET POND | CREATE SWALES |
| CB14 | | R | MONOSLAB | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | S LAKE TARPON | CREATE SWALES |
| CB8 | | R | MONOSLAB | 1 | CONC BLOCK | GOOD | N SWALE | ADDITIONAL SWALE |
| CB1 | | R | MITIGATED | | | | | |
| CB5,6 | | R | MITIGATED | | | | | |

512 b. (b) RLAA Documentation showing how the owners or residents of the areas were notified

Storm Savvy, 2018



Storm Savvy is a publication of the City of Tarpon Springs Building Development Department Kevin Powell, CBO, CFM, Building Development Director Megan Araya, CFM, Community Rating System Coordinator



Preparing for the Storms

The City of Tarpon Springs is a coastal community. Because it is located on the Gulf of Mexico, the City is subject to periodic flooding. This newsletter will provide you with information that is helpful in preparing for and understanding storm activity.

Flooding is the result primarily from tidal activity (and associated wave action) from the Gulf of Mexico. This is caused by severe weather conditions, including tropical storms and hurricanes. However, not all storms produce high tides.

Flooding has occurred during storms along the coastline including Riverside Drive, Dodecanese Boulevard, Athens Street, Pinellas Avenue and other low lying areas throughout the City.

Recent floods include storms of the 2004 hurricane season as well as Hurricane Katrina (2005), Wilma (2005), Ike (Texas (2008), Hermine (2016) and recently, Irma (2017).

Flood Warning System

The Pinellas County Emergency Management Department issues flood warnings for Hurricanes and severe weather. Pinellas County'swebsite is: <u>http://www.pinellascounty.org/emergenc</u> <u>Y</u>

Tarpon Springs website is: http://www.tspd.us/reverse_911.html This information is available on Local News Channels as well as the Weather Channel and the Pinellas County Government Channel. Check your local TV Guide for channel information. You can also tune in to the City's Local Radio Station AM 1610.

Before, During and After

the Storm

Nobody can stop a flood. But if you are faced with one, there are actions you can take to protect your family and keep your property losses to a minimum.

Before a Flood:

- Know your evacuation zone
- Review your family disaster plan
- Get your survival kit ready
- Fill your vehicle(s) gas tank
- Get cash, secure papers and valuables
- Make sure if you take prescription drugs that you have at least a two week supply available
- Gather special supplies for infants, children, seniors and pets
- Fill containers and tubs with water, even if you plan to evacuate you may need the water when you return
- Secure your yard equipment and furniture

- Shutter your windows
- If you live in an evacuation zone, know where you will go and how you will get there.
- If you have special needs, be sure you are registered for a special needs shelter.

When the Flood Arrives:

- Do not drive through a flooded area. If you come upon a flooded road, turn around and go another way. More people drown in their cars than anywhere else.
- Do not walk through flooded areas. As little as six inches of moving water can knock you off your feet.
- Stay away from downed power lines and electrical wires.
- Electrocution is another major source of deaths in floods.
- Electric current passes easily through water.
- Look out for animalsespecially snakes. Animals lose their homes in floods, too. They may seek shelter in yours.

After the Flood:

- Before entering a building, check for structural damage. Don't go in if there is any chance of the building collapsing.
- Upon entering the building, do not use matches,

- Keep power off until an electrician has inspected your system for safety.
- Flood waters pick up sewage and chemicals. If your home has been flooded, protect your family's health by cleaning up your home right away.
- Throw out foods and medicines that may have come into contact with flood water.
- Until local authorities proclaim your water supply to be safe, boil water for drinking and food preparation vigorously for five minutes before using.
- Take steps to reduce your risk of future floods. Make sure to follow local building codes and ordinances when rebuilding,
- Use flood-resistant materials and techniques to protect yourself and your property from future flood damage. Call the City's Building Development Department for questions at 727-942-5617

Property Protection

- Mark your fuse or breaker box to show the circuits located in floodable areas.
- Consider elevating your house above flood levels.
- Check your building for water entry points. These can be windows, doors and dryer vents.
- These can be protected with low walls or temporary shields.
- Install a floor drain plug, stand- pipe, overhead sewer or sewer backup valve to prevent sewer backup flooding.

More information can be found in Homeowner's Guide to Retrofitting: 3rd Edition (2014) Copies are available at: https://www.fema.gov/medialibrary/assets/documents/480

NOTE: Some flood protection measures may require a building permitand some measures may not be safe for your building, be sure to call the Building Development Department at (727) 942-5617.

Sources of Financial Assistance

https://www.fema.gov/disaster/4294-4297/updates/fact-sheet-what-femasindividual-assistance-program

Pinellas County Community Development: 727-464-8210 http://www.pinellascounty.org/

Florida Department of Community Affairs: 2555 Shumard Oak Blvd Tallahassee, FL 32399-2100 850-413-9960

Flood Insurance

One of the most important things you can do to protect your home and family before a flood is to purchase a flood insurance policy.

You can obtain one through your insurance company or agent. Flood insurance is guaranteed through the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency. Your homeowners insurance does not cover flood damage. Don't wait until a flood is coming to purchaseyour policy. It normally takes 30 days after purchase for a flood insurance policy to go into effect. For more information about the

NFIP and flood insurance, Contact your insurance company oragent, or call the NFIP at 1-888-CALL-FLOOD or 1-888-379-9531 or visit: https://www.fema.gov/nat ional-flood-insuranceprogram for more information.

Manufacture / Mobile Home Residents

Here are a few **SAFETY TIPS** and contacts.

- Check for loose straps
- Make sure straps are properly aligned and not on an angle
- Check to be sure the proper number of tie-downs have been installed properly
- Be sure support piers are in contact with the frame
- Replace straps or ground anchors that show signs of corrosion or damage For additional protection,

 you may want to consider installing a longitudinal tiedown system which is located at the front and rear of your home

For detailed information about manufactured/mobile homes, contact the Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles at 850-617-3004 or visit: https://www.flhsmv.gov/

Important Information

HURRICANE SEASON IS APPROACH- ING; CONTACT THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT AT 324 E PINE STREET, OR PHONE 727-942-5617 FOR FLOOD- ZONE INFORMATION.

THE PUBLIC SERVICES, WATER DIVI-SION IS AVAILABLE TO ASSIST WITH ANY FLOODING PROBLEMS AND TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE, CALL (727) 942-5606.

DUMPING IN STREAMS AND WATER-WAYS IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED, THE HOUSE YOU FLOOD MAY BE YOUR OWN. REPORT DUMPING TO CODEENFORCEM ENT AT 727-937-0017

THE CITY IS IN THE PROCESS OF CONDUCTING A REPETATIVE LOSS AREA ANALYSIS (RLAA). THIS IS PART OF THE CITY'S ON-GOING EFFORT TO IDENTIFY AND ANALYZE AREAS THAT HAVE DRAINAGE ISSUES SO THAT FUTURE PROJECTS CAN CORRECT THESE AREAS. IF YOU HAVE PROBLEMS WITH FLOODING IN YOUR AREA PLEASE VISIT :

http://www.ctsfl.us/flood%20questionnaire.htm TO FILL OUT OUR FLOOD PREVENTION PLANNING QUESTIONNAIRE. COPIES OF THIS FORM ARE LOCATED IN CITY HALL, LIBRARY AND ONLINE

ATTENTION RESIDENTS:

The City of Tarpon Springs needs your input for its Repetitive Loss Area Analysis (RLAA) and a Stormwater Action Plan (SAP). Please note that the RLAA and SAP are ongoing projects due to changes in drainage patterns due to completed stormwater improvement projects. The RLAA and the SAP Capital Improvement Projects may be viewed on the City's Flood Information Page at https://www.ctsfl.us/flood.htm. Hard copies of the RLAA are also available at the Building Department.

Information about your community and its flood history is critical for future flood prevention planning. This Information will only be used for internal planning purposes and all personal information will be kept confidential. For Questions call 727-942-5606. This questionnaire is also available to fill out electronically on the City's Flood Information page at <u>https://www.ctsfl.us/flood.htm</u>

RESIDENT FLOOD PREVENTION PLANNING QUESTIONNAIRE

| Full Name: | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Email Address: | | | | | |
| Street Address: | | | | | |
| City, State, Zip: | | | | | |
| Is address of flooding same as above: YES $\ \square$ NO $\ \square$ | | | | | |
| If different address please list: | | | | | |
| Phone Number: | | | | | |
| May we contact you? YES 🗆 NO 🗆 If yes, please proved best time to call: | | | | | |
| Have the streets in your neighborhood ever flooded? YES $\ \square$ NO $\ \square$ | | | | | |
| If yes please provide the typical duration of the street flooding: | | | | | |
| Has your property ever been flooded? YES 🗆 NO 🗆 | | | | | |
| How long have you owned or lived at this address? | | | | | |
| In the worst flooding of your property, did you receive any warning? YES $\ \square$ NO \square | | | | | |
| If you received warning, from what source? | | | | | |
| What year(s) or dates did your property flood? | | | | | |

What locations on you property were flooded? Crawlspace \Box In home over finished floor \Box On land only \Box Driveway \Box Street \Box

How many inches of water were present? ______

Were roads in your neighborhood flooded at the time your property flooded? YES \square NO \square

How long did it take for flood waters to recede to a manageable level?

Have you installed any flood protection measures on your property? Sump Pump
Overhead sewers, backup valve
Waterproofed walls
Regraded property to keep water away from building Backup power system/generator
Sewer plug or standpipe
Moved things out of basement Other

If other, please explain: ______

How would you classify your flood experience(es)? Nuisance: Water stayed on your lawn/yard for less than 3 days \Box Prohibitive: Water entered the home or stopped you from entering the home or vehicle \Box

Do you have photo or video records of the flooding? YES \Box NO \Box

If yes, please attach photos to questionnaire or upload photos/videos and email to: publicworks@ctsfl.us

Please include any additional comments:



512 b. (d) RLAA A copy of the formal action by the governing body that adopts the area analysis or accepts changes in

subsequent update Tarpon Springs, Horida

Public Services Department 324 E. Pine Street Tarpon Springs, FL 34689 (727) 942-5610

JOHN CRUZ PUBLIC SERVICES DIRECTOR FEDERAL ID # 59-6000437

February 11, 2009

Mr. Ryan Forrestel, P.E. American Consulting Engineers of Florida, LLC 129 6th Avenue North Safety Harbor, FL 34695

RE: Contract: Stormwater Engineering Services Continuing Contract, RFQ No. 080086.

Dear Mr. Forrestel,

Please consider this letter an official Notification to Proceed effective on February 11, 2009 as authorized by the City Board of Commissioners on January 13, 2009 for the subject contract. This project includes professional engineering services required for Continuing Stormwater Engineering Services in accordance with the terms negotiated previously between the City and with American Consulting Engineers of Florida, LLC.

If you have any questions or require any additional information, please telephone me at (727) 942-5610 or you may e-mail me at rrobertson@ci.tarpon-springs.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Bob Robertson Program Manager, Utilities Capital Projects

cc: Jay Jackus, Purchasing Administrator Paul Smith, Assistant Public Services Director

CRS PROJECT STATUS UPDATE 2018



The City's Stormwater Action Plan (*SAP*) is the flood protection "Master Plan", if you will, for the reduction and or elimination of flood prone areas within the City. The Plan was implemented in 2010 and to this day is a living document used to identify, evaluate and address areas susceptible to flooding. The SAP is discussed biweekly during our conference call with our stormwater engineers and is updated quarterly. Since its inception, the City has completed, resolved or eliminated **18** identified Projects. Currently there are **6** Capital Improvement Projects either in design or about to enter construction phase. Of these 6 current Projects, **3** are funded in part by the SWFWMD Cooperative Funding Initiative (*CFI*). The following projects contained in this Report were completed since 2010.



| Project Location and/or Description | Fiscal Year Design to Commence | Fiscal Year Right-Of-Way to be Purchased | Fiscal Year Construction to Commence |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Walton Ave. between Tarpon Ave. & Lime St. | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Roosevelt Boulevard | 2010 | NOT REQUIRED | 2011-2012 |
| Disston Ave. south of Tarpon Ave. | 2011 | NOT REQUIRED | 2013 |
| Chesapeake Drive | 2011 | NOT REQUIRED | 2012 |
| MLK Jr. Drive Technical Memorandum | 2011 | NOT REQUIRED | NA |
| S. Disston Ave. Pond Technical Memorandum | 2011 | NOT REQUIRED | NA |
| Charlotte Ave. Evaluation/Exhibit | 2011 | NOT REQUIRED | 2012 |
| City Golf Course Evaluation | 2011 | NOT REQUIRED | NA |
| Pinellas Trail Outfall Ditch (Safford Ave. Rec. Park) | 2012 | NOT REQUIRED | 2013 |
| Hibiscus St. & Park St. | 2012 | NOT REQUIRED | 2012 |
| Huey Ave. north of Tarpon Ave. | 2012 | NOT REQUIRED | 2013 |
| Gulf Road | 2012 | NOT REQUIRED | 2012 |
| East end of Boston St. | 2013 | NOT REQUIRED | 2013 |
| Island Dr. near Hill St. | 2013 | NOT REQUIRED | 2015 |
| Disston Ave. & Center St. | 2013 | NOT REQUIRED | 2013-2015 |
| Cemetery Stormwater Improvements | 2013 | NOT REQUIRED | 2013 |
| Earl Street Extension Evaluation | 2014 | 2014 | NA |
| North Parcel Recreation Stormwater Improvements | 2015 | NOT REQUIRED | 2015 |
| Earl Street Stormwater Pond Permit | 2015 | NOT REQUIRED | 2015 |
| Riverside Dr. & Hillside Dr. | 2016 | NOT REQUIRED | 2017 |
| Bath St./Shaddock Ave. Alley | 2017 | NOT REQUIRED | 2018 |
| Athens St. & Dodecanese Blvd. | 2016 | NOT REQUIRED | 2016 |
| Highland Ave. & Vista Pl./Jasmine Ave. & Lime St. | 2017 | NOT REQUIRED | 2017 |
| Pent St. 200' east of Grosse Ave. | 2017 | 2018-2019 | 2018-2019 |
| Spruce St. between Levis Ave. & Grosse Ave. | 2017 | NOT REQUIRED | 2020 |
| Palm Ave. between Tarpon Dr. & Gulf Rd. | 2018 | 2017 | 2026 |
| Citywide GIS Mapping | 2018 | NOT REQUIRED | 2018 |
| Watershed Management Plan | 2017 | NOT REQUIRED | 2017 |
| Disston Ave. & Center St. | 2019 | NOT REQUIRED | 2020-2022 |
| Coburn Dr. 100' west of Florida Ave. | 2019 | NOT REQUIRED | 2020 |
| Levis Ave. Alleyway/Levis Ave. between Lime St. & Oakwood St. | 2020 | NOT REQUIRED | 2021 |
| Kenneth Way at Seaside Dr. | 2021 | NOT REQUIRED | 2022 |
| Disston Ave. between Spruce St. & Live Oak St. | 2022 | | 2024-2025 |
| Disston Ave. south of Harrison St. | 2017 | NOT REQUIRED | 2018 |

Current Projects

Athens St. & Dodecanese Blvd. – Drainage Improvement Project

ATTACHMENT A - SCOPE OF SERVICES

Prepared February 16, 2017

City of Tarpon Springs Stormwater Engineering Services Continuing Contract Athens Street & Dodecanese Boulevard Drainage Analysis (Phase 1)

City Task Order Number STN 02-05

A. General

The City of Tarpon Springs requests that the CONSULTANT develop a Technical Memorandum that evaluates design alternatives (2 max.) for drainage and tidal flooding related issues at the intersection of Athens Street and Dodecanese Boulevard.

- A.1. The CONSULTANT will prepare a Technical Memorandum evaluating up to two (2) alternative solutions that address previously reported flooding area(s) generally in the area of the Athens Street and Dodecanese Boulevard intersection. The alternatives would, but not limited to, the evaluation of: increasing the number of roadside inlets and size of the existing internal conveyance pipe(s), providing a stormwater pump and vault system, and providing a check valve on outfall structure/pipe system to prevent tidal influences. Of particular concern is the roadway flooding caused by tidal influences that has been observed/reported.
- A.2. The development of this Technical Memorandum will be based on the following general steps:
 - Data collection
 - Identification of the design criteria being used and development of an evaluation approach
 - · Development of design alternatives
 - Technical Memorandum preparation and recommendations

1. Data collection

1.1 Obtain available roadway as-builts, topographical data, drainage conveyance systems and stormwater data from existing roadway plans, permits, public input/complaints, City's Stormwater Action Plan (SAP), Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) 1-foot Contour Maps, Pinellas County LIDAR contour data, and other information provided by the City to be used in the evaluation of the problem location. A field topographic survey will be performed of existing intersection and storm sewer collection system.

Perform two field investigations (dry with high tide and wet) of the problem area identified by the City. During each field investigation take/obtain photographs at the site and take notes of existing field conditions based on the visual observations and verify contributing drainage basin boundaries. The notes/photos are to be included in the technical memorandum. It is anticipated that two half-day site visits will be required to accomplish this task, including documentation.

- 1.2 Review of the following will be performed relative to this analysis and will be noted in the technical memorandum:
 - City's 2014 Stormwater Action Plan (SAP)

2 Design criteria and development of evaluation approach

2.1 Evaluate the existing closed stormwater collection system using the SCS Type II Florida modified rainfall distribution for a 2.33-mean annual, 5-year/24-hour, 10-year/24-hour, and 25-year/24-hour storm events. An existing conditions model for the intersection will be developed and evaluated using the mean low water (MLW) and mean higher high water (MHHW) for boundary conditions of the Anclote River. The proposed conditions will be evaluated in an overall effort to reduce flood stages and duration of the Athens Street and Dodecanese Boulevard intersection, and eliminate flooding of private property. An emergency pump system will also be evaluated to prevent roadway flooding for 2.33-mean annual storm event combined with the mean higher high tide (MHHW). Each alternative will be evaluated with the existing conditions to assist with determining the feasibility of an acceptable alternative to the City that could provide flooding relief for the intersection and surrounding private property. Estimated construction costs for each alternative will also be developed.

3 Design alternatives and evaluation of results

- 3.1 The following services (3.2 thru 3.5) will be performed for the two (2) alternatives.
- 3.2 Sub-basin delineation will be developed using Pinellas County LIDAR contour data, field review, topographical survey data, and other applicable data. Flood stages and durations will be determined using the SCS Type II Florida modified rainfall distribution for 2.33-mean annual, 5-year/24-hour, 10-year/24-hour, and 25-year/24-hour storm events. Perform a preliminary design evaluation for the alternatives such that the results could be used to develop construction documents, and estimate the costs associated with the construction and permitting of the alternative solution. Construction costs associated with potential utility impacts associated with each alternative are not to be included. Standard stormwater routing procedures are anticipated using the Interconnected Channel and Pond Routing Model (ICPR) (Ver. 3.1) and/or Automated Storm Sewer Analysis and Design (ASAD v3).
- 3.3 Attend a Southwest Florida Water Management District Pre-Application meeting to discuss alternatives, criteria, and confirm permittability.
- 3.4 Summarize the information gathered, stormwater modelling results, and estimated construction costs into a Draft Technical Memorandum. Meet with City staff to review the draft technical memorandum and obtain concurrence on a recommendation. One meeting is anticipated for this activity.
- 3.5 Finalize the evaluation results and provide the final Technical Memorandum (see below) to the City.

4. Technical Memorandum preparation

The following services (4.1 thru 4.4) will be performed.

Prepare and submit a Technical Memorandum that contains the following:

Athens Street and Dodecanes Boulevard Drainage Analysis (Phase 1)

- 4.1. An existing conditions drainage map with 1-foot contours, sub-basin boundaries, basin areas, direction of flow, and a node/link diagram depicting storage and conveyance elements of the stormwater system(s).
- 4.2. The alternative solutions for the Athens Street and Dodecanese Boulevard Drainage Analysis will be depicted on drainage maps with 1-foot contours, sub-basin boundaries, basin areas, direction of flow, and a node/link diagram depicting storage and conveyance elements of the stormwater system(s).
- 4.3. A Draft Technical Memorandum with a written description of the issue(s), photos of the area(s), the evaluated methodology, evaluated alternatives, and estimated construction costs for each of the alternatives. This Draft Technical Memorandum will be discussed with the City, after which the Final Technical Memorandum will be prepared and submitted for approval. One meeting with the City is anticipated for reviewing the Draft Technical Memorandum.
- 4.4. After the City has reviewed the Draft Technical Memorandum the Final Technical Memorandum will be prepared and submitted for approval.

PROJECT SCHEDULE

| Milestone | Date Due |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Issue Draft Technical Memorandum | 42 Calendar Days from receipt of survey |
| Issue Final Technical Memorandum | 14 Calendar Days from receipt of City review comments on Draft Technical Memorandum |

The CONSULTANT shall notify City in writing of any delays beyond the CONSULTANT'S control in accordance with the Agreement. City may grant a time extension for reasons so documented at its sole discretion.

Construction plans, utility coordination/design, permit applications/fees, structural analysis/design, or geotechnical services are not a part of this scope of work.

COST OF SERVICES

The scope of services set forth herein will be completed for a lump sum cost of \$27,060.00, which includes all analysis and design labor. A separate Owner's Allowance of \$5,000.00 is proposed, which is only to be utilized as directed by written authorization of the City. Survey fee is \$6,819.00. Grand total estimate is \$38,879.00.

END OF ATHENS STREET AND DODECANESE BOULEVARD DRAINAGE ANALYSIS (PHASE 1) SCOPE-OF-SERVICES

Highland Ave. & Vista Pl/Jasmine Ave. & Lime St. – Drainage Improvement Project

(2 Projects combined into 1 Cooperative Funding Project with SWFWMD)


Continued



Copy of Contract cancellation request letter to SWFWMD



City of Tarpon Springs, Florida

Public Works Department 325 E. Pine Street Tarpon Springs, FL 34689 (727) 942-5606

February 21, 2018

Joel Brown Government Affairs Regional Manager Southwest Florida Water Management District 7601 US Hwy. 301 Tampa, FL 33637-6759

Subject: FY2017, CFI N782 Highland/Jasmine Avenue Flooding Abatement SWFWMD Agreement No. 17CF0000385

Dear Joel,

Please let this letter serve as the City of Tarpon Springs request that the above referenced project and Award be terminated for the grant award period of October 1, 2016 through June 18, 2019. All regulatory permits (Southwest Florida Water Management District, US Army Corps of Engineers, and Pinellas County) were secured, however, the scope of work required to provide the flood abatement identified in the original proposal and concept, as presented to the Southwest Florida Water Management District, has increased the projected construction costs of which the City is unable to secure funding at this time.

We wish to thank you for your assistance on this project and look forward to working with the District in the near future. Please let us know if you need any further, clarifying information on this request.

Respectfully,

Tom Funcheon Public Works Director City of Tarpon Springs

Scope of Services

Professional Services Agreement

Grosse Avenue Stormwater Rehabilitation from

Tarpon Avenue to Pine Street

Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) #5

City of Tarpon Springs

Final Design and Post-Design Services Task Order

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.00 PROJECT OBJECTIVE AND DESCRIPTION

2.00 PROJECT PHASING AND DESIGN LIMITS

3.00 QUALIFICATIONS DURING TERM OF SERVICES

4.0 BASIC SERVICES - SCOPE AND RESPONSIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

4.1 Project Schedule

4.2 Design, Construction Plans and Bidding Documents

4.3 Plans and Design Submittals

4.4 Design Survey

4.5 Subsurface Investigation and Pavement Design

4.6 Roadway Alignment (NOT APPLICABLE)

4.7 Utility Coordination and Design

4.8 Drainage Design Requirements

4.9 Coordination of Design within Project Limits

4.10 Maintenance of Traffic Plan Preparation

4.11 Construction Cost Estimates

4.12 Post Design Services

4.13 Specifications

4.14 Community Involvement

4.15 Work Not Included in the Scope of Work

4.16 Owner's Allowance

5.00 PLANS PREPARATION, ENDORSEMENT AND OWNERSHIP

6.00 MILESTONE DATES

7.00 SERVICES DURING CONSTRUCTION

8.00 COST PROPOSAL

9.00 SUBCONTRACT SERVICES

10.00 NOTICE TO PROCEED MEETING (NOT APPLICABLE)

11.00 EXPERT WITNESS TESTIMONY

12.00 SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED BY THE CITY

13.00 PLANS REVIEW CHECK LIST

August 19, 2016

Tarpon Avenue to Pine Street Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) #5 City of Tarpon Springs Final Design and Post-Design Services Task Order

1.00 PROJECT OBJECTIVE AND DESCRIPTION

1.1 Services required of the CONSULTANT involve furnishing, providing, and performing engineering analysis, design, plan preparation, contract bid documents as per sub article 4.2.4; permitting services, and limited services during construction in connection with the planned stormwater rehabilitation of Grosse Avenue from Tarpon Avenue to Pine Street. Planned improvements include installation of a closed storm sewer system(s) within the existing right-of-way and planned stormwater easement(s) to alleviate the frequent roadway and private property flooding conditions, construction of a new stormwater management facility (SMF) at the northeast corner of the Grosse Avenue/Cypress Street intersection, construction of a joint-use SMF within the Tarpon Springs Elementary School (TSES) property, reconstruction of the existing roadway pavement and curb where applicable due to installation of stormwater infrastructure, utility coordination and permitting. These improvements would be as conceptually shown on Exhibit 1, and in accordance to the alternative selected by the City as presented within the Stormwater Action Plan - SAP #5 Pent Street 100 ft. east of Grosse Avenue. 1.2 This Project shall be designed by the CONSULTANT upon receipt of a written Notice to Proceed (NTP) with services to be provided as more particularly described herein.

2.00 PROJECT PHASING AND DESIGN LIMITS

CONSULTANT shall provide professional consulting services to the CITY, consisting of two (2) distinct phases hereinafter known as the "Project". 2.1 Phase 1 shall consist of preparation of 30%, 60%, 90% and final (100%) plans and securing all applicable permits. Construction and bidding documents will also be prepared. 2.2 Phase 2 will consist of services during construction.

3.00 QUALIFICATIONS DURING TERM OF SERVICES

The CONSULTANT shall provide all engineering services for the Project, in accord with and of a quality, meeting the minimum design standards established by the City of Tarpon Springs. August 19, 2016

4.00 BASIC SERVICES - SCOPE AND RESPONSIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

The CONSULTANT will provide the following customary basic services for the Project; 4.1 Project Schedule:

The CONSULTANT shall prepare, furnish and maintain a Gantt chart using critical path method for the Project design services. In preparing the bar chart schedule, the scheduling of all design activities shall be the responsibility of the CONSULTANT. The schedule shall be submitted to the CITY within 10 working days of receiving NTP for review.

4.2 Design, Construction Plans and Bidding Documents (Phase 1 Services):

4.2.1 Subject plans shall include design and construction requirements for drainage and roadway improvements; signing and pavement markings; and other incidental design items within the Project limits. Temporary traffic control plans (TTCP) shall be provided.

Specific improvements are as follows:

(a) Tarpon Avenue (approx. 170 ft. east of Grosse Avenue):

1.) Replace existing stormwater inlets and pipes within the existing right-of-way as required; install closed storm sewer system through proposed drainage easement.

(b) Grosse Avenue (Orange Street to Pine Street):

1.) Install new stormwater inlets and pipes within the existing right-of-way as required. New stormwater collection system to connect to existing stormwater collection system at Grosse Avenue/Pine Street intersection.

(c) Cypress Street:

1.) Install new stormwater inlets and pipes within the existing right-of-way as required.

2.) Construct new SMF at northeast quadrant of Grosse

Avenue/Cypress Street intersection on City-owned property. SMF will provide flood abatement volume for the 25-year/24-hour event. SMF will provide water quality treatment for contributing drainage basin area. SMF will discharge into planned stormwater collection system for Grosse Avenue.

(d) Pent Street:

1.) Install new stormwater inlets and pipes within the existing right-of-way as required. New system will address low point approximately 200 ft. east of the Pent St. /Levis Ave. intersection. 2.) Proposed Pent Street system to drain north through a proposed drainage easement located

between Grosse Avenue and Levis Avenue, ultimately discharging into existing SMF currently serving Tarpon Springs Elementary School.

(e) Tarpon Springs Elementary School (TSES) Joint-Use SMF:

1.) Expand existing Pinellas County School Board-owned SMF located on the TSES property. Expansion will provide additional flood abatement for the 25-year/24-hour storm event for additional contributing basin area of new stormwater collection system. Expansion will also provide water quality treatment for the additional contributing residential basin area of the new stormwater collection system. CONSULTANT will coordinate with the Pinellas County School Board to determine if water quantity and water quality requirements should be accounted for within the proposed SMF expansion for planned future school property improvements. 4.2.2 Construction plans and bidding documents shall be prepared for the project in accordance with section 2.1 above. Transmittable electronic plans and bidding documents (Adobe PDF format or similar "portable" format) shall be provided. 4.2.3 Plans shall be prepared in accordance with the current standards adopted by the Florida Department of Transportation, the City of Tarpon Springs, as listed hereinafter or as will be made known to the CONSULTANT during performance of all services for the Project. Specific design and construction references, aids and standards shall include, but will not necessarily be limited to the materials listed below. These design reference materials shall be the latest edition materials in effect at the time of performance of the Services Agreement for the project. The controlling design standards will be those presented in the list below:

(a) FDOT Drainage Manual

(b) FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge

Construction

(c) Current FDOT Design Standards Indexes

(d) Current Florida Greenbook

(e) Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices

(f) City of Tarpon Springs Standards

(g) SWFWMD Standards

(h) Pinellas County Standard Specifications (if no City of Tarpon

Springs Specification exists)

4.2.4 Subject contract bidding documents will be assembled and reproduced for bidding by the CITY.

To be supplied by the CONSULTANT:

- (a) Special Provisions
- (b) Technical Specifications (based on City Standard Technical
- Specifications, See Section 4.13)

(c) Contract Plans, including the following categories: Roadway/Drainage

Plans including Signing and Pavement Marking Plans and

Maintenance of Traffic Plans

(d) Bid Schedule

(e) Construction cost estimate

"Front End" documents to be supplied by the CITY include:

(a) Advertisement for Bids (Legal Notice)

(b) Instruction to Bidders

(c) Project Sign

(d) Bid Bond

(e) Contract Form

(f) Contractor's Guarantee

(g) Contractor's Affidavit and Release of All Claims

(h) Certificate of Corporate Principal

(i) Contractor's Payment and Performance Bond

(j) General Conditions

4.3 Plans and Design Submittals:

4.3.1 Review Plans:

All plans submitted to the CITY for the purpose 30%, 60%, 90% and 100%

(Final) review may be 11" x 17" in size with screened planimetrics background. During the design stage the CONSULTANT shall submit the following:

(a) Five sets of progress plans for review by the CITY at 30%, 60%,

90% and 100% (final) completion intervals.

(b) Two sets of plans for review by the Pinellas County School Board at 30%, 60%, 90% and 100% (final) completion intervals.

(c) Sets of plans (Adobe PDF format or similar "portable" format) for affected utilities at 30%, 60%, and 90% design completion intervals only.

4.3.2 Final Plans:

All final design plans may be 11" x 17" in size and all adopted scales shall be as outlined under subarticle 4.3.2.1. Aerial photographic base maps are not required. The CONSULTANT shall submit the following final plans for the Project:

(a) Five set of plans and two sets of technical specifications signed and sealed in accordance with applicable Florida Statutes.

(b) Bid documents in PDF and Word format.

(c) CD with AutoCAD/Microstation compatible files and PDF format.

4.3.2.1 Plan Scales for 22" x 34" Plan Sheets (Subject to Final

Approval during Design)

Description Horizontal Scale Vertical Scale

(a) Typical Section Sheet(s) N/A

(b) Drainage Map 1" 200' 1"= 5'

(c) Roadway Plan & Profile Sheets 1" 20' 1"= 2'

(d) Drainage Detail Sheet 1" 10' N/A

(e) Other Plans Sheets to be prepared at scales approved by the CITY

4.3.3 Design Computation Documents:

All of the following record documents shall be submitted to the CITY by CONSULTANT, or Sub-Consultant as applicable, at the time of final acceptance or otherwise as noted elsewhere in this scope of services document, neatly bound in an 8 ½" x 11" format or as to the satisfaction of the CITY. All review comments made on design documents or reports submitted as listed below shall be responded to and incorporated into the documents as applicable and such documents or reports shall be resubmitted to CITY at the following design interval submittal date as requested. All plans, specifications, and/or reports prepared or obtained under this Agreement shall be considered works made for hire and shall become the property of the CITY and shall be made available, upon request, to the CITY at any time. Submittals of design computations shall include, but not necessarily be limited to the following:

(a) Five copies of design computations that shall include, but not necessarily be limited to: ICPR routing and storm sewer calculations at 60% and 100% design intervals.

(b) One copy of probable construction cost estimates at 30%, 60%, 90% and 100% design intervals.

(c) One copy of required environmental permit applications for the Project as listed under article 4.8.4 of this scope of services prior to submittal of 60% plans and as amended due to permitting agencies reviews.

(d) Project correspondence required to document design decisions reached during development of the plans at each design review interval as applicable.

4.4 Design Survey:

This work (all of 4.4) will be completed by CITY and provided to the CONSULTANT. This work includes survey, R/W mapping, and easements.

4.5 Subsurface Investigation and Pavement Design: A total of 8 Standard Penetration Test (SPT) borings, 2 SPT borings within each of the pond site alternatives (delineated in Exhibit 2 in red). Of the two borings to be performed in each area, one should be terminated within the limestone layer and the other one should be performed to a depth of 20 feet. We anticipate that the limestone will be encountered between the depths of 15 to 20 feet below the ground surface. Four (4) hand auger borings, 1 hand auger within each of the proposed pond sites and one in the existing pond site (delineated in Exhibit 2 in red). Four (4) Double Ring Infiltration (DRI) tests, 1 in each of the proposed pond sites and one in the existing pond site (delineated in Exhibit 2 in red). Pavement reconstruction will be in accordance with the latest edition of the City of Tarpon Springs Standard Details.

4.6 Roadway Alignment (NOT APPLICABLE)

4.7 Utility Coordination and Design:

4.7.1 The CONSULTANT shall provide sets of plans (Adobe PDF format or similar "portable" format) for affected utilities at 30%, 60%, and 90% design completion intervals only for the Project.

4.7.2 The CONSULTANT shall conduct two utility coordination meetings with affected utility owners and CITY representatives for the Project. The time of such meetings should take place following the 60% and 90% submittals.

4.7.3 The CONSULTANT is not required to perform, provide, and furnish utility engineering, design, and plan preparation services for those private utility facilities enumerated above with the exception that CONSULTANT shall show all existing and planned utility facilities made known to the CONSULTANT by said private utility owners on the Project plans. The existing utility information shall be secured by CONSULTANT from field location surveys and from plans furnished to CONSULTANT by affected utility owners. Also, CONSULTANT shall be responsible for coordinating with the CITY and Utilities to resolve utility conflicts and to modify design, if needed, to resolve such conflicts and shall show that on construction plans.

4.7.4 It is anticipated that any design services for the CITY water and sewer facilities within the limits of this project will be completed by the CITY.

4.7.5 Subsurface utility exploration is anticipated to be required for this project. CONSULTANT will provide technicians, equipment and special tools to locate (SUE Level A) the utilities identified in the plans provided at the proposed drainage structures. This estimate is based on up to 35 locates and survey of the 35 locations. CONSULTANT will provide horizontal and vertical information for the utility located at the test holes preformed, the survey data will consist of the existing ground elevation and top of utility. This information will be provided to the CITY in a signed and sealed Surveyor's report.

4.8 Drainage Design Requirements:

4.8.1 There are existing drainage facilities along Tarpon Avenue, Cypress Street, Pent Street, and Grosse Avenue. The CONSULTANT, with input from the CITY, will determine what structures and storm sewer pipes will be replaced. It is anticipated that only those facilities within the existing right-of-way would require replacement.

4.8.2 The CONSULTANT will design a closed storm sewer collection system within the existing right-of-way, to the maximum extent feasible, along the east side of Grosse Avenue to provide for conveyance of roadway and offsite runoff. A diversion storm structure or "smart box" will be designed at Grosse Avenue/Cypress Street intersection to divert low stormwater flows into the proposed stormwater management facility (SMF) in the northeast corner of the intersection. High stormwater flows will pass through the diversion structure (and by-pass the proposed SMF) and continue to drain along the proposed closed storm sewer collection system for Grosse Avenue. The CONSULTANT will design the proposed stormwater collection system to connect to the existing stormwater collection system at the Grosse Avenue/Pent Street with every intent to not cause significant revisions to the existing stormwater collection system. The CONSULTANT will design a closed storm sewer collection system within the existing right-of-way along Pent Street to provide for conveyance of roadway and offsite runoff. Runoff will be conveyed north through a proposed/future drainage easement and ultimately discharge into an existing dry detention SMF currently serving the Tarpon Springs Elementary School. The proposed closed storm sewer systems will be designed using the 3-year design frequency (rational method). The proposed SMF at the northeast corner of the Grosse Avenue/Cypress Street intersection will be designed to attenuate the pre verses post runoff volume from the 25-year/24-hour storm event (SWFWMD FLMOD 24-hour rainfall distribution) as well as to provide as much stormwater treatment as possible within the limits of the proposed SMF site shown on Exhibit 1. The proposed TSES SMF expansion will be designed to attenuate the pre verses post runoff volume from the additional 5.90 acres of offsite residential area for the 25-year/24-hour storm event (SWFWMD FLMOD 24hour rainfall distribution) as well as to provide as much stormwater treatment as possible within the limits of the proposed SMF site shown on Exhibit 1. CONSULTANT will coordinate with the

Pinellas County School Board to determine if water quantity and water quality requirements should be accounted for within the proposed SMF expansion for planned future school property improvements.

4.8.3 The CONSULTANT shall coordinate permitting requirements during preliminary drainage design tasks with SWFWMD. The CONSULTANT shall attend a pre-application meeting with the CITY and SWFWMD for this task. One meeting is anticipated.

4.8.4 The CONSULTANT shall prepare all applicable permit applications.

4.9 Coordination of Design within Project Limits:

4.9.1 Design services specified herein shall include transitions to match existing sidewalk, curb, pavement, driveways, and pathways on TSES property.

4.9.2 To the extent necessary for CONSULTANT'S performance of services and upon request from CONSULTANT, the CITY will provide all available record data, information, plans, right-of-way permits, etc. relating to adjacent land developments (existing and proposed).

4.10 Maintenance of Traffic Plan Preparation:

The CONSULTANT is required to design and prepare plans for the maintenance of traffic (MOT) for the entire project. MOT plans shall address vehicular, pedestrian, and bicyclist traffic, through the construction area, as well as maintaining access to adjacent properties. MOT plans will consist of general notes and a detour plan, if applicable. Detailed MOT plans are not required.

4.11 Construction Cost Estimates:

Prepare and submit an engineers' estimate of probable construction cost with the 30%, 60%, 90%, and 100% (final) plans submittal.

4.12 Post Design Services (Phase 2 Services)

The CONSULTANT shall provide services during construction as described below. These services are included in the CONSULTANT's Basic Lump Sum Services. The CITY will provide construction inspection and administrative services.

4.12.1 BIDDING SERVICES: The CONSULTANT shall assist the CITY in bidding by attending the pre-bid meeting, attending the pre-construction meeting, responding to questions, issuing plan revisions, and reviewing bids received. The CONSULTANT will participate in a total of four meetings (one pre-bid, one preconstruction meeting and two construction progress meetings).

4.12.2 PERIODIC SITE VISITS: The CONSULTANT shall provide up to four site visits during construction for the project.

4.12.3 STORMWATER CERTIFICATION (AS-BUILTS): The CONSULTANT shall review the provided record drawings and prepare final project certificates for the required certification of the SWFWMD ERP Permit based on the contractor provided as-built drawings provided no significant deviations will be made to the approved construction plans.

4.12.4 SAFETY: The CONSULTANT is not responsible for observing, monitoring, or inspecting the safety aspects of the contractor's construction operations. The contractor shall be solely

responsible for all safety aspects of the project including safety of his/her employees, subcontractor employees, and the public.

4.12.5 CONSTRUCTION METHODS: CONSULTANT is not responsible for the contractor methods and means of constructing the proposed improvements. The CONSULTANT shall not be responsible for any acts or omissions of the contractor or subcontractors. The CONSULTANT does not guarantee the performance of the contractor and shall not be responsible for the contractor's failure to perform its work in accordance with the contract documents or any applicable laws, codes, rules, or regulations.

4.12.6 SHOP DRAWING REVIEWS: The CONSULTANT shall review and process shop drawings, furnished by the Contractor, associated with material included on the construction plans. It is the Contractor's responsibility to provide all documentation required to prove any substitute material is the same or better than the material specified. It is assumed all submittals will be made in a timely manner allowing the CONSULTANT a minimum of two (2) weeks of review time. It is also assumed that the submittals will be provided in no more than three (3) submittal packages, and no more than twenty-five (25%) percent of submittals will require re-submittal.

4.12.7 RFI / SUPPORT SERVICES: CONSULTANT will provide office support and assistance during the construction phase of the project. This will include, on a limited basis, responding to Requests for Information (RFI) and providing other input and clarification to the CITY, or Contractor for issues relating/resulting from the elements of the project designed by the CONSULTANT. This will include up to four (4) RFIs, any additional will be considered as additional services.

4.13 Specifications

The CONSULTANT shall prepare special provisions, bid tabulation sheet and the technical specifications for the project using the CITY's standard technical specifications. The CITY will provide an electronic file (Microsoft Word ™ or other electronic format) of all the CITY's standard technical specifications. Any deviations required by the design from the CITY's standard technical specifications will be prepared by the CONSULTANT. The CITY will be responsible for preparing the "front end" documents as noted in Article 4.2.4. One set of signed and sealed specifications will be prepared for the project.

4.14 Community Involvement

CONSULTANT shall attend two (2) public information meetings, one to be held at the beginning of the project to review the 30% plans and one at the 90% design phase. The purpose of these meetings is to acquaint citizens with the project. The initial meeting is to solicit citizen comments and respond to questions regarding the project design. The subsequent public meeting is for the purpose of familiarizing the public with the project and is not for the purpose of soliciting public input to the design. The arrangements for the meeting shall be made by the CITY. Prior to the meetings, CONSULTANT shall prepare the visual aids necessary to depict the project, including: roll plot of proposed design on display boards that depict proposed stormwater improvements, right-of-way, proposed easements, and cross-sectional views of improvements; two design plan sets (11" x 17") with proposed right-of-way and easements marked in color; drainage maps; maps indicating proposed stormwater detention/retention sites; and, copies of all studies prepared for the project. The CONSULTANT's Project Manager and at least one senior member of the CONSULTANT's staff shall attend the meetings. The CITY will review citizen comments and questions and advise CONSULTANT of any action to be taken, or design revisions that may be required, as a result of the comments.

- 4.15 Work Not Included In Scope of Work:
- 4.15.1 Public information newsletters, flyers, etc.

4.15.2 Topographic, Right-of-way surveys or the preparation of right-of-way or easement documents.

4.15.3 Structural design.

- 4.15.4 Environmental (wetland mitigation, wildlife, contamination, etc.) services.
- 4.15.5 Landscaping and irrigation.
- 4.15.6 Tree pruning.
- 4.15.7 Utility design of water or sewer facilities
- 4.16 Owner's Allowance

During the course of this project, the CITY and/or the CONSULTANT may identify Additional Services required of the CONSULTANT which are necessary to either complete this work scope or enhance the overall project. These additional services could include, but would not be limited to: those items listed above, additional survey, preparation of presentations, additional graphics or other items. If Additional Services are identified during the course of this project, the CONSULTANT at the request of the CITY will prepare a detailed work scope and budget to perform the Additional Services. Once the CITY and the CONSULTANT have agreed on the scope and budget, the CITY will issue a written notice to proceed for the Additional Services under Owner's Allowance. Because it is impossible to adequately budget for individual Additional Services work scope and budget is approved by the CITY it will be performed and invoiced in accordance with the salary rates established in the Agreement for this Scope of Services.

5.00 PLANS PREPARATION, ENDORSEMENT AND OWNERSHIP

5.1 All plan/profile sheets, except key sheets, shall have a title block across the entire bottom of the sheet. A standard Project title block shall also be furnished by the CITY.

5.2 All final plans, documents, reports, studies and other data prepared by the CONSULTANT and/or its subconsultants will bear the signature and seal of the CONSULTANT's record engineer or the applicable design engineer who shall be duly registered in the appropriate professional category.

5.3 After the CITY's acceptance of final plans and documents and in accordance with Article Six of the Agreement, five (5) record set of 11" X 17" plans will be provided to the CITY. The CONSULTANT shall signify, by affixing an endorsement (seal/signature, as appropriate) on the five (5) record sets, that the work shown on the endorsed sheets was produced by the CONSULTANT. A CD shall be provided to the CITY of the design files in AutoCAD/Microstation compatible format and Adobe PDF or other similar "portable" document format.

6.00 MILESTONE DATES:

6.1 The schedule for the final design and plan preparation services for Project improvements is presented below.

6.2 Project design status submittals for the Project improvements shall be completed in the number of calendar days as follows following issuance of the Notice to Proceed:

6.2.1 30% Design Submittal:

To be completed within 56 calendar days (8 weeks) from the receipt of project survey. 6.2.2 60% Design Submittal:

To be completed within 155 calendar days (22 weeks) from the receipt of project survey. 6.2.3 90% Design Submittal:

To be completed within 250 calendar days (35 weeks) from the receipt of project survey. 6.2.4 100% Design (Final Plans) Submittal:

To be completed within 299 calendar days (43 weeks) from the receipt of project survey.

6.3 The CITY anticipates that a (maximum) two (2) week formal review and critique period will be necessary for each milestone design submittal identified in Article 6.2 upon receipt of plans and accompanying information from the CONSULTANT. Accordingly, this 14 calendar day review period has been included in the plan submittal schedule, Article 6.2 above. 6.4 The CONSULTANT shall formulate and prepare the bar chart schedule for the Project in specific accord with the above stated design status review schedule.

7.00 SERVICES DURING CONSTRUCTION (Phase 2 Services)

The CITY will require the CONSULTANT to provide professional services during the bidding and construction phases for the Project, as identified in Article 4.12.

8.00 COST PROPOSAL:

8.1 The CONSULTANT's services described herein shall be provided for a lump sum cost as follows: for all services, a grand total fee of \$164,244.00; which includes \$132,865.00 (not to exceed) for design/permitting/post design, \$6,725.00 (not to exceed) for geotechnical subconsultant, \$14,320.00 (not to exceed) for subsurface utility engineering subconsultant, \$2,184.00 for SWFWMD ERP Permit, \$8,000 for Owner's Allowance, and \$150.00 for expenses.

8.2 The CONSULTANT's cost proposal herein does include costs for permit application fees to respective environmental or CITY agencies. The cost for permitting, if paid by CONSULTANT, shall be reimbursable by the CITY in full upon submittal of fees justification.

9.00 SUBCONTRACT SERVICES:

Due to the nature and scope of the required services, it may be desirable for the CONSULTANT to subcontract portions of the work (i.e. survey and geotechnical investigation). The CONSULTANT shall be authorized to subcontract these services under the provisions of this Agreement. The subcontracting firms must be approved in writing by the CITY prior to initiation of any work. The fee for subcontracted work shall be a pass-through cost and shall not include a CONSULTANT markup.

10.00 NOTICE TO PROCEED MEETING: (NOT APPLICABLE):

11.0 EXPERT WITNESS TESTIMONY:

The CONSULTANT shall serve as an expert witness for the Project in legal proceedings if required by the CITY. A separate fee schedule for these services shall be established, if and when said services are required.

12.00 SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED BY THE CITY

The following tasks, activities and or items will be provided by the CITY

- 12.1 CITY utility as-builts, plans, and/or GIS data.
- 12.2 Notifications to property owners.
- 12.3 "Front-end" contract documents identified in Article 4.2.4.
- 12.4 Assemble and copy construction documents for bidding.
- 12.5 Electronic files (Microsoft Word Format) of CITY standard technical specifications.
- 12.6 Copies of proposed development plans adjacent to the project limits.
- 12.7 Provide inspection and administrative services during construction.
- 12.8 Public information coordination with businesses and public.

13.00 PLANS REVIEW CHECK LIST:

The following four (4) pages represent the minimum amount of information that shall be shown for each design interval. Minimum submittal requirements herein listed for the 30%, 60%, 90% and 100% (final) design status intervals are not all-inclusive; see Article 4.3 for additional service requirements.

CONSULTING ENGINEERING SERVICESAGREEMENT SCOPE OF BASIC PROFESSIONALSERVICES Grosse Avenue Stormwater Rehabilitation from Tarpon Avenue to Pine Street Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) #5 30% Plan Review (Preliminary Plans)

Purpose/Description: To review the CONSULTANT's proposed grades, geometric layout, survey data, basic geometrics, right-of-way, study/report recommendations, and other preliminary design materials. Provides the technical basis for further plan development. Submission of review plans Five (5) 11" X 17" size construction plans sets and other data two copies of any design documentation data to City. Minimum submittal requirements (shown thus X) (Typical, including the following).

- X 1. Update of Project Schedule
- X 2. Key Sheet (Complete)
- X 3. Drainage Map (Existing Conditions) (Complete)
- NIC 4. Typical Sections (Preliminary)
- X 5. General Notes Sheet (Preliminary)
- NIC 6. Summary of Quantities (Preliminary)
- X 7. Plan / Profile Sheet (Preliminary)
- NIC 8. Drainage Detail Sheets (Preliminary)
- NIC 9. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Sheets (Preliminary)
- NIC 10. Contract Technical Specifications (Preliminary)
- X 11. Estimate of Probable Construction Cost (Preliminary)

CONSULTING ENGINEERING SERVICESAGREEMENT SCOPE OF BASIC PROFESSIONALSERVICES

Grosse Avenue Stormwater Rehabilitation from Tarpon Avenue to Pine Street Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) #5 60% Plan Review (Preliminary Plans)

Purpose/Description: To review the CONSULTANT's final grades, drainage mapping, typical sections, drainage structures, and similar design elements. Final designs at this stage are subject to minor revisions. Substantive changes to the type, size, and location of major features will be considered extra work. Initial review of the quantities. Submission of review plans Five (5) 11" X 17" size construction plans sets and other data two copies of any design documentation data to City. Minimum submittal requirements (shown thus X) (Typical, including the following).

- X 1. Update of Project Schedule
- X 2. Key Sheet (Complete)
- X 3. Drainage Map (Existing and Proposed Conditions) (Complete)
- X 4. Typical Section Sheet(s) (Complete)
- X 5. Summary of Quantities (Preliminary)
- NIC 6. Summary of Drainage Structure Sheets
- X 7. Project Layout (Complete)
- X 8. General Notes (Complete)
- X 9. Roadway Plan-Profile (Complete)

- 10. Drainage Structures (Complete) Х
- Х 11. Pond Details (Complete)
- Х 12. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (Complete)
- 13. Temporary Traffic Control Plan (Preliminary) Х
- 14. Contract Technical Specifications (Preliminary) NIC
- 15. Estimate of Probable Construction Cost (Preliminary) Х

CONSULTING ENGINEERING SERVICESAGREEMENT SCOPE OF BASIC PROFESSIONALSERVICES

Grosse Avenue Stormwater Rehabilitation from Tarpon Avenue to Pine Street Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) #5 90% Plan Review (Preliminary Plans)

Purpose/Description: To review the CONSULTANT's final plans, evaluations, recommendations, quantities, contract documents, and the like, for content, completeness, and form and sufficiency for bidding purposes. Initial review of specifications. Purpose of review is to correct typographic errors. To determine outstanding contract obligations by CONSULTANT and timetable for contract closeout. Submission of review plans Five (5) 11" X 17" size construction plans sets and other data two copies of any design documentation data to City. Minimum submittal requirements (shown thus X) (Typical, including the following).

- 1. Update of Project Schedule Х
- Х 2. Key Sheet (Complete)
- Х 3. Drainage Map (Existing and Proposed Conditions) (Complete)
- X X 4. Typical Section Sheet(s) (Complete)
- 5. Summary of Quantities (Complete)
- Х 6. Summary of Drainage Structure Sheets (Complete)
- Х 7. Project Layout (Complete)
- Х 8. General Notes (Complete)
- X X 9. Roadway Plan-Profile (Complete)
- 10. Drainage Structures (Complete)
- Х 11. Pond Details (Complete)
- Х 12. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (Complete)
- Х 13. Temporary Traffic Control Plan (Complete)
- Х 14. Contract Technical Specifications (Preliminary)
- Х 15. Signing and Pavement Marking Plans (Preliminary)
- 16. Electronic Design Files on CD (AutoCAD/Microstation compatible) NIC
- 17. Estimate of Probable Construction Cost (Preliminary) Х

CONSULTING ENGINEERING SERVICESAGREEMENT SCOPE OF BASIC PROFESSIONALSERVICES

Grosse Avenue Stormwater Rehabilitation from Tarpon Avenue to Pine Street Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) #5 **100% Plan Final Submittal**

Purpose/Description: To review the CONSULTANT's final plans, evaluations, recommendations, quantities, contract documents, and the like, for content, completeness, and form and sufficiency for bidding purposes. Submission of review plans Five (5) 11" X 17" size construction plans sets and other data two copies of any design documentation data to City. Minimum submittal requirements (shown thus X) (Typical, including the following).

- Х 1. Key Sheet (Complete)
- 2. Drainage Map (Existing and Proposed Conditions) (Complete) Х
- Х 3. Typical Section Sheet(s) (Complete)
- Х 4. Summary of Quantities (Complete)
- Х 5. Summary of Drainage Structure Sheets (Complete)
- Х 6. Project Layout (Complete)
- Х 7. General Notes (Complete)
- 8. Roadway Plan-Profile (Complete)
- 9. Drainage Structures (Complete)
- 10. Pond Details (Complete)
- X X X X X X 11. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (Complete)
- 12. Temporary Traffic Control Plan (Complete)
- Х 13. Contract Technical Specifications (Complete)
- Х 14. Signing and Pavement Marking Plans (Complete)
- Х 15. Electronic Design Files on CD (AutoCAD/Microstation compatible)
- Х 16. Estimate of Probable Construction Cost (Final)

B. SCOPE OF SERVICES

The services to be provided by Terracon are summarized in the following paragraphs. **Field Program**: We propose to perform the following field program:

• A total of 8 Standard Penetration Test (SPT) borings, 2 SPT borings within each of the pond site alternatives (delineated in Figure 1 in red). Of the two borings to be performed in each area, one should be terminated within the limestone layer and the other one should be performed to a depth of 20 feet. We anticipate that the limestone will be encountered between the depths of 15 to 20 feet below the ground surface.

4 hand auger borings, 1 hand auger within each of the pond site alternatives (delineated in Figure 1 in red)

■ 4 Double Ring Infiltration (DRI) tests, 1 in each of the pond site alternatives (delineated in Figure 1 in red).

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION SCOPE

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 504 East Tyler Street Tampa, FL 33602 P [813] 221-0050 F [813] 221-0051 terracon.com

July 25, 2016 ICON Consultant Group, Inc. 10006 North Dale Mabry Highway, #201 Tampa, Florida 33618 Attn: Bryan Anderson, P.E. Tel: 813.962.8689 E: <u>banderson@iconconsultantgroup.com</u>

Re: Proposal for Preliminary Geotechnical Exploration

City of Tarpon Springs, SAP 5 – Storm water Improvements Pent Street and Grosse Avenue Tarpon Springs, Florida 34689 Proposal No. PH4165103

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Terracon Consultants, Inc. (Terracon) appreciates the opportunity to submit this proposal to provide a geotechnical exploration for the above referenced project. The purpose of this study will be to evaluate soil conditions for a new storm water management facility at the northwest corner of Pent Street and Grosse Avenue in Tarpon Springs, Florida. This proposal outlines our understanding of the project and scope of services and provides a lump sum fee for our services.

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

Site Location / Project Description ITEM DESCRIPTION

Location

The proposed 4 sites for testing in Tarpon Springs, Florida are delineated by N. Grosse Avenue and N. Lewis on the west/east respectively and by Spruce Street and E. Orange Street on the north/south respectively. The proposed locations for ponds are more specifically shown on Figure 1 below. General area: Latitude 28.151223°, Longitude -82.750996°

Existing improvements Based on on-line aerial photos, the subject areas are vacant lots

Current ground cover the ground cover at these locations are generally wooded.

Existing topography the sites are relatively flat with an approximate elevation between +6 of +12 feet.

Proposed Construction/

Stormwater

Management

The project will include a brand new stormwater management facility at the NW corner of Pent St./Grosse Ave. and a SMF expansion and/or new SMF located on the Tarpon Springs Elementary School property **Proposal for Geotechnical Engineering Services**

Proposal for Geotecnnical Engineering Services

Storm Water Improvements <a>
 Tarpon Springs, Florida

July 25, 2016 Terracon Proposal No. PH4165103

Responsive Reliable Resourceful 2

Should any of the above information or assumptions be inconsistent with the planned construction, please let us know so that we may make any necessary modifications to this proposal.

B. SCOPE OF SERVICES

The services to be provided by Terracon are summarized in the following paragraphs.

Field Program: We propose to perform the following field program:

• A total of 8 Standard Penetration Test (SPT) borings, 2 SPT borings within each of the pond site alternatives (delineated in Figure 1 in red). Of the two borings to be performed in each area, one should be terminated within the limestone layer and the other one should be performed to a depth of 20 feet. We anticipate that the limestone will be encountered between the depths of 15 to 20 feet below the ground surface.

4 hand auger borings, 1 hand auger within each of the pond site alternatives (delineated in Figure 1 in red)

4 Double Ring Infiltration (DRI) tests, 1 in each of the pond site alternatives (delineated in Figure 1 in red).

Proposal for Geotechnical Engineering Services

Storm Water Improvements

Tarpon Springs, Florida

Terracon Proposal No. PH4165103

Responsive Reliable Resourceful 3

Sampling of the SPT borings will be in general accordance with industry standard procedures wherein five split-barrel samples are obtained in the upper ten feet of each boring and at intervals of about five feet thereafter. Once the samples have been collected and classified in the field, they will be placed in appropriate sample containers for transport to our laboratory. The geotechnical scope of services for this project does not include, either specifically or by implication, any environmental assessment of the site intended to identify or quantify potential site contaminants.

Site Access: Based upon our review of on-line aerial photographs, we expect that the site is accessible to our track-mounted drilling equipment and no major site clearing, wet ground conditions, tree or shrub clearing, repair of landscape damage. We have provided an option for a private utility locate of underground utilities to be used in conjunction with contacting Sunshine State One Call of Florida (SSOCOF). If conditions are known to exist on the site which limit our access, Terracon should be notified so that we may adjust our scope of services and fee, if necessary. To conduct our exploration of the project site, we must be granted access by the property owner. By acceptance of this proposal without information to the contrary, we consider that you have provided access to our exploration equipment for the conduct of our work consistent with the agreed work scope.

Conditions/Items to be provided by client: Items to be provided by the client include the right of entry to conduct the exploration and an awareness and/or location of any private subsurface utilities existing in the area. Terracon will take reasonable efforts to reduce damage to the property. However, it should also be understood that in the normal course of our work some disturbance could occur. We have not budgeted to restore the site beyond backfilling our boreholes with grout when the borings extend down into the limestone. If there are any restrictions or special requirements regarding this site or exploration, these should be known prior to commencing field work. For safety purposes, the boring will be backfilled immediately after completion. Because backfill material often settles below the surface after a period of time, Terracon recommends the borehole be checked periodically and backfilled if necessary.

Laboratory Testing: The samples will be returned to our laboratory and visually classified in general accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Based on our observation and the field data, index testing may be performed on representative samples.

Proposal for Geotechnical Engineering Services

Storm Water Improvements Tarpon Springs, Florida July 25, 2016 Terracon Proposal No. PH4165103 Responsive Reliable Resourceful 4

Engineering Analysis and Report: The results of our field and laboratory test program will be reviewed by a professional geotechnical engineer licensed in the State of Florida. Based on the results of our field testing, an engineering report will be prepared that details the results of the testing performed, provides logs of the borings and a diagram of the site/boring layout. The report will include the following:

- Computer generated boring logs with soil stratification based on visual soil classification.
- A brief review of our test procedures and the results of testing conducted;
- A summary of the area and site conditions;
- Graphical representation of the boring and laboratory test data as appropriate;
- Current water level and estimated seasonal high groundwater level:
- DRI results and Recommendations

Schedule: We estimate the final written geotechnical report can be completed within 3 weeks from notice-to-proceed. We can provide verbal information after we have completed our field and laboratory program.

C. SAFETY - IIF

At Terracon, we all have a personal and uncompromising commitment to everyone going home safely each and every day. Incident and Injury-Free (IIF) is about care and concern for people. It is our personal and organizational commitment at all levels of the company and is where safety is held as a core value as well as an operational priority. Working safely is an inseparable part of working correctly, just as much as other operational priorities, in particular quality, profitability and schedule. Incident and Injury-Free is our commitment to our people and others, who we value for who they are and what they do. IIF is not just something we do; it's in everything we do. As part of our IIF process, we will prepare a "Pre-Task Plan" for this project where we will identify the potential site safety and job hazards associated with your site. Our Pre-Task Plan will identify and prepare our personal to be able to handle conditions such as but not limited to traffic control, environmental contamination, site access issues, overhead and underground utilities, adverse weather conditions, and personal protection equipment and will continually be reviewed and reevaluated throughout the field work activities. We understand that each site is unique and may contain different safety conditions and as a company to protect our personal as well as others, we look at each site individually to identify the potential concerns. Reference Number: PH4165103

AGREEMENT FOR SERVICES

This **AGREEMENT** is between ICON Consultant Group, Inc. ("Client") and Terracon Consultants, Inc. ("Consultant") for Services to be provided by Consultant for Client on the City of Tarpon Springs, SAP 5 ? Pent St./Grosse Ave. Stormwater Improvements project ("Project"), as described in the Project Information section of Consultant's Proposal dated 07/21/2016 ("Proposal") unless the Project is otherwise described in Exhibit A to this Agreement (which section or Exhibit is incorporated into this Agreement).

1. Scope of Services. The scope of Consultant's services is described in the Scope of Services section of the Proposal ("Services"), unless Services are otherwise described in Exhibit B to this Agreement (which section or exhibit is incorporated into this Agreement). Portions of the Services may be subcontracted. Consultant's Services do not include the investigation or detection of, nor do recommendations in Consultant's reports address the presence or prevention of biological pollutants (e.g., mold, fungi, bacteria, viruses, or their byproducts) or occupant safety issues, such as vulnerability to natural disasters, terrorism, or violence. If Services include purchase of software, Client will execute a separate software license agreement. Consultant's findings, opinions, and recommendations are based solely upon data and information obtained by and furnished to Consultant at the time of the Services.

2. Acceptance/ Termination. Client agrees that execution of this Agreement is a material element of the consideration Consultant requires to execute the Services, and if Services are initiated by Consultant prior to execution of this Agreement as an accommodation for Client at Client's request, both parties shall consider that commencement of Services constitutes formal acceptance of all terms and conditions of this Agreement. Additional terms and conditions may be added or changed only by written amendment to this Agreement signed by both parties. In the event Client uses a purchase order or other form to administer this Agreement, the use of such form shall be for convenience purposes only and any additional or conflicting terms it contains are stricken. This Agreement shall not be assigned by either party without prior written consent of the other party. Either party may terminate this Agreement or the Services upon written notice to the other. In such case, Consultant shall be paid costs incurred and fees earned to the date of termination plus reasonable costs of closing the project.

3. Change Orders. Client may request changes to the scope of Services by altering or adding to the Services to be performed. If Client so requests, Consultant will return to Client a statement (or supplemental proposal) of the change setting forth an adjustment to the Services and fees for the requested changes. Following Client's review, Client shall provide written acceptance. If Client does not follow these procedures, but instead directs, authorizes, or permits Consultant to perform changed or additional work, the Services are changed accordingly and Consultant will be paid for this work according to the fees stated or its current fee schedule. If project conditions change materially from those observed at the site or described to Consultant at the time of proposal, Consultant is entitled to a change order equitably adjusting its Services and fee.

4. Compensation and Terms of Payment. Client shall pay compensation for the Services performed at the fees stated in the Compensation section of the Proposal unless fees are otherwise stated in Exhibit C to this Agreement (which section or Exhibit is incorporated into this Agreement). If not stated in either, fees will be according to Consultant's current fee schedule. Fee schedules are valid for the calendar year in which they are issued. Fees do not include sales tax. Client will pay applicable sales tax as required by law. Consultant may invoice Client at least monthly and payment is due upon receipt of invoice. Client shall notify Consultant in writing, at the address below, within 15 days of the date of the invoice if Client objects to any portion of the charges on the invoice, and shall promptly pay the undisputed portion. Client shall pay a finance fee of 1.5% per month, but not exceeding the maximum rate allowed by law, for all unpaid amounts 30 days or older. Client agrees to pay all collection-related costs that consultant incurs, including attorney fees. Consultant may suspend Services for lack of timely payment. It is the responsibility of Client to determine whether federal, state, or local prevailing wage requirements apply and to notify Consultant if prevailing wages apply. If it is later determined that prevailing wages apply, and Consultant was not previously notified by Client, Client agrees to pay the prevailing wages. Client also agrees to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless Consultant from any alleged violations made by any governmental agency regulating prevailing wage activity for failing to pay prevailing wages, including the payment of any fines or penalties.

5. Third Party Reliance. This Agreement and the Services provided are for Consultant and Client's sole benefit and exclusive use with no third party beneficiaries intended. Reliance upon the Services and any work product is limited to Client, and is not intended for third parties. For a limited time period not to exceed three months from the date of the report, Consultant will issue additional reports to others agreed upon with Client, however Client understands that such reliance will not be granted until those parties sign and return Consultant's reliance agreement and Consultant receives the agreed-upon reliance fee.

6. LIMITATION OF LIABILITY. CLIENT AND CONSULTANT HAVE EVALUATED THE RISKS AND REWARDS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT, INCLUDING CONSULTANT'S FEE RELATIVE TO THE RISKS ASSUMED, AND AGREE TO ALLOCATE CERTAIN OF THEASSOCIATED RISKS. TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, THE TOTAL AGGREGATE LIABILITY OF CONSULTANT (AND ITS RELATED CORPORATIONS AND EMPLOYEES) TO CLIENT AND THIRD PARTIES GRANTED RELIANCE IS LIMITED TO THE GREATER OF \$50,000 OR CONSULTANT'S FEE, FOR ANY AND ALL INJURIES, DAMAGES, CLAIMS, LOSSES, OR EXPENSES (INCLUDING ATTORNEY AND EXPERT FEES) ARISING OUT OF CONSULTANT'S SERVICES OR THIS AGREEMENT. PRIOR TO ACCEPTANCE OF THIS AGREEMENT AND UPON WRITTEN REQUEST FROM CLIENT, CONSULTANT MAY NEGOTIATE A HIGHER LIMITATION FOR ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATION. THIS LIMITATION SHALL APPLY REGARDLESS OF AVAILABLE PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE COVERAGE, CAUSE(S) OR THE THEORY OF LIABILITY, INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE, INDEMNITY, OR OTHER RECOVERY. THIS LIMITATION SHALL NOT APPLY TO THE EXTENT THE DAMAGE IS PAID UNDER CONSULTANT'S COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY POLICY.

7. Indemnity/Statute of Limitations. Consultant and Client shall indemnify and hold harmless the other and their respective employees from and against legal liability for claims, losses, damages, and expenses to the extent such claims, losses, damages, or expenses are legally determined to be caused by their negligent acts, errors, or omissions. In the event such claims, losses, damages, or expenses are legally determined to be caused by the joint or concurrent negligence of Consultant and Client, they shall be borne by each party in proportion to its own negligence under comparative fault principles. Neither party shall have a duty to defend the other party, and no duty to defend is hereby created by this indemnity provision and such duty is explicitly waived under this Agreement. Causes of action arising out of Consultant's services or this Agreement regardless of cause(s) or the theory of liability, including negligence, indemnity or other recovery shall be deemed to have accrued and the applicable statute of limitations shall completion of services on the project

8. Warranty. Consultant will perform the Services in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the same locale. EXCEPT FOR THE STANDARD OF CARE PREVIOUSLY STATED, CONSULTANT MAKES NO WARRANTIES OR GUARANTEES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, RELATING TO CONSULTANT'S SERVICES AND CONSULTANT DISCLAIMS ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR WARRANTIES IMPOSED BY LAW, INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

9. Insurance. Consultant represents that it now carries, and will continue to carry: (i) workers' compensation insurance in accordance with the laws of the states having jurisdiction over Consultant's employees who are engaged in the Services, and employer's liability insurance (\$1,000,000); (ii) commercial general liability insurance (\$1,000,000 occ / \$2,000,000 agg); (iii) automobile liability insurance (\$1,000,000 B.I. and P.D. combined single

SUBSURFACE UTILITY ENGINEERING (SUE) SCOPE

8509 Benjamin Road, Suite E, Tampa, FL 33634 Telephone: (813) 852-1888 Fax: (866) 485-3356

August 2, 2016 ICON Consultant Group, Inc. 10006 N. Dale Mabry Highway, Suite 201 Tampa, FL 33618

ATTN: Bryan Anderson, P.E.

RE: CTS-SAP 5 Pent Street

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide SUE services for the project referenced above. The table below contains our rates and proposed scope of work. We are ready to mobilize immediately.

SERVICES QUANTITY RATE FEE

SUE Locating 5 Days \$1,925.00 Per Day \$9,625.00 Survey Crew 2 Days \$1,655.00 Per Day \$3,310.00 SUE PM 5 Hours \$135.00 Per Hour \$675.00 Sr. Surveyor & Mapper 2 Hours \$175.00 Per Hour \$350.00 Survey Tech 4 Hours \$90.00 Per Hour \$360.00 **TOTAL \$14,320.00**

Proposed Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) and Survey

OMNI will provide technicians, equipment and special tools to Locate (SUE Level A) the utilities identified in the plans provided at the proposed drainage structures. This estimate is based on up to 35 locates and survey of the 35 locations. OMNI will provide horizontal and vertical information for the utility located at the test holes preformed, the survey data will consist of the existing ground elevation and top of utility. This information will be provided to the Client in a signed and sealed Surveyor's report. The Client is responsible to provide project horizontal and vertical control to be used. *This estimate does not include performance bonding or permits if needed.*

8509 Benjamin Road, Suite E, Tampa, FL 33634 Telephone: (813) 852-1888 Fax: (866) 485-3356

Locating (SUE Level A)

Vacuum excavation is a technique used to safely expose utilities by using a combination of compressed and vacuum air. Once the utility has been exposed, depth, diameter and material type can be obtained. Utilization of the equipment and methods above are the industry recognized procedure for finding/locating underground utilities and features. Although effective and reliable, there is the possibility that all utilities may not be located or field-verified due to environmental or soil conditions, water table, excessive depth, feature makeup and/or equipment limitations.

Sincerely, Shannon Wright SUE Manager 8509 Benjamin Road, Suite E, Tampa, FL 33634 Telephone: (813) 852-1888 Fax: (866) 485-3356

Palm Avenue – Drainage Improvement Project

March 26, 2018

EXHIBIT - A

Scope of Services Professional Services Agreement Palm Avenue Stormwater Improvements Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) #22 City of Tarpon Springs Final Design and Post-Design Services Task Order (STN03-06)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.00 PROJECT OBJECTIVE AND DESCRIPTION

2.00 PROJECT PHASING AND DESIGN LIMITS

3.00 QUALIFICATIONS DURING TERM OF SERVICES

4.0 BASIC SERVICES - SCOPE AND RESPONSIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

4.1 Project Schedule

4.2 Design, Construction Plans and Bidding Documents

4.3 Plans and Design Submittals

4.4 Design Survey

4.5 Geotechnical Investigation and Pavement Design

4.6 Roadway Alignment (NOT APPLICABLE)

4.7 Utility Coordination and Design

4.8 Drainage Design Requirements

4.9 Coordination of Design Within Project Limits

4.10 Maintenance of Traffic Plan Preparation

4.11 Construction Cost Estimates

4.12 Post Design Services

4.13 Specifications

4.14 Community Involvement (NOT APPLICABLE)

4.15 Work Not Included in the Scope of Work

4.16 Owner's Allowance

5.00 PLANS PREPARATION, ENDORSEMENT AND OWNERSHIP
6.00 MILESTONE DATES
7.00 SERVICES DURING CONSTRUCTION
8.00 COST PROPOSAL
9.00 SUBCONTRACT SERVICES
10.00 NOTICE TO PROCEED MEETING (NOT APPLICABLE)
11.00 EXPERT WITNESS TESTIMONY
12.00 SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED BY THE CITY
13.00 PLANS REVIEW CHECK LIST

Scope of Services Professional Services Agreement Palm Avenue Stormwater Improvements Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) #22 **City of Tarpon Springs** Final Design and Post-Design Services Task Order (STN03-06)

1.00 PROJECT OBJECTIVE AND DESCRIPTION

1.1 Services required of the CONSULTANT involve furnishing, providing, and performing engineering analysis, design, plan preparation, contract bid documents as per subarticle 4.2.4; permitting services, and limited services during construction in connection with the planned stormwater rehabilitation along Palm Avenue between Glades Avenue and Gulf Road. Planned improvements include installation of a closed storm sewer system(s) within the existing right-ofway to alleviate the frequent roadway and private property flooding conditions, construction of a new stormwater management facility (SMF) along the east side of Tarpon Avenue between Village Drive and Gulf Road, reconstruction of the existing roadway pavement and curb where applicable due to installation of stormwater infrastructure, utility coordination and permitting. These improvements would be as conceptually shown on Exhibit 1, and in accordance to the alternative selected by the City as presented within the Stormwater Action Plan - SAP #22.

1.2 This Project shall be designed by the CONSULTANT upon receipt of a written Notice to Proceed (NTP) with services to be provided as more particularly described herein.

2.00 PROJECT PHASING AND DESIGN LIMITS

CONSULTANT shall provide professional consulting services to the CITY, consisting of two (2) distinct phases hereinafter known as the "Project".

2.1 Phase 1 shall consist of preparation of 30%, 60%, 90% and final (100%) plans and securing all applicable permits. Construction and bidding documents will also be prepared.

2.2 Phase 2 will consist of services during construction.

3.00 QUALIFICATIONS DURING TERM OF SERVICES

The CONSULTANT shall provide all engineering services for the Project, in accord with and of a quality, meeting the minimum design standards established by the City of Tarpon Springs.

4.00 BASIC SERVICES - SCOPE AND RESPONSIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

The CONSULTANT will provide the following customary basic services for the Project; 4.1 Project Schedule:

The CONSULTANT shall prepare, furnish and maintain a Gantt chart using critical path method for the Project design services. In preparing the bar chart schedule, the scheduling of all design activities shall be the responsibility of the CONSULTANT. The schedule shall be submitted to the CITY within 15 working days of receiving NTP for review.

4.2 Design, Construction Plans and Bidding Documents (Phase 1 Services):

4.2.1 Subject plans shall include design and construction requirements for drainage and roadway improvements; driveway/sidewalk improvements, signing and pavement markings; and other incidental design items within the Project limits. Temporary traffic control plans (TTCP) shall be provided.

The above designs and plans shall be prepared in accordance with current standards adopted by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, the Florida Department of Transportation, the City of Tarpon Springs, as listed hereinafter or as will be made known to the CONSULTANT during performance of all services for the Project.

Specific improvements for Palm Avenue and Tarpon Avenue are as follows:

(a) Palm Avenue (approx. 500 ft west and 300 ft east of Palm Avenue/Tarpon Avenue intersection):

1.) Install new stormwater inlets and pipes within the existing right-of-way as required. New system will address low points approximately 500 ft west and 300 ft east of Palm Avenue/Tarpon Avenue intersection. (b) Tarpon Avenue (Palm Avenue to approximately 270 feet south of Gulf Road/Tarpon Avenue intersection):

1.) Install new stormwater manholes and pipes within the existing right-of-way as required. New stormwater collection system to connect to proposed system for Palm Avenue stormwater collection system at Palm Avenue/Tarpon Avenue intersection.

2.) Construct new SMF along the east side of Tarpon Avenue between Village Drive and Gulf Road on City-owned property. SMF will provide flood abatement volume for the 25-year/24-hour event. SMF will provide water quality treatment for contributing drainage basin area. SMF will discharge into existing an existing wetland/tidal bayou.

4.2.2 Construction plans and bidding documents shall be prepared for the project in accordance with section 2.1 above. Transmittable electronic plans and bidding documents (Adobe PDF format or similar "portable" format) shall be provided.

4.2.3 Specific design and construction references, aids and standards shall include, but will not necessarily be limited to the materials listed below. These design reference materials shall be the latest edition materials in effect at the time of performance of the Services Agreement for the project. The controlling roadway design standards will be those presented in the Florida Green Book (see item 4.2.3 (a)).

(a) Manual of Uniform Minimum Standards for Design, Construction, and Maintenance for Streets and Highways, latest edition (Florida Green Book)

- (b) FDOT Drainage Manual
- (c) FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction
- (d) Current FDOT Roadway Design Standards Indexes
- (e) Current Florida Greenbook
- (f) Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
- (g) AASHTO Policy on Design of Urban Highways and Arterial Streets
- (h) City of Tarpon Springs Standards
- (i) SWFWMD Standards

(j) Pinellas County Standard Specifications (if no City of Tarpon Springs Specification exists)

4.2.4 Subject contract bidding documents will be assembled and reproduced for bidding by the CITY.

To be supplied by the CONSULTANT:

(a) Special Provisions

(b) Technical Specifications (based on City Standard Technical Specifications, See Section 4.13)

(c) Contract Plans, including the following categories: Roadway/Drainage Plans including Signing and Pavement Marking Plans and Maintenance of Traffic Plans

(d) Bid Schedule

(e) Construction cost estimate

"Front End" documents to be supplied by the CITY include:

(a) Advertisement for Bids (Legal Notice)

(b) Instruction to Bidders

(c) Project Sign

(d) Bid Bond

(e) Contract Form

(f) Contractor's Guarantee

(g) Contractor's Affidavit and Release of All Claims

(h) Certificate of Corporate Principal

(i) Contractor's Payment and Performance Bond

(j) General Conditions

4.3 Plans and Design Submittals:

4.3.1 Review Plans:

All plans submitted to the CITY for the purpose 30%, 60%, 90% and 100% (Final) review may be 11" x 17" in size with screened planimetrics background. During the design stage the

CONSULTANT shall submit the following:

(a) Five sets of progress plans for review by the CITY at 30%, 60%, 90% and 100% (final) completion intervals.

(b) Sets of plans (Adobe PDF format or similar "portable" format) for affected utilities at 30%, 60%, and 90% design completion intervals only.

4.3.2 Final Plans:

All final design plans may be 11" x 17" in size and all adopted scales shall be as outlined under subarticle 4.3.2.1. Aerial photographic base maps are not required. The CONSULTANT shall submit the following final plans for the Project:

(a) Five set of plans and two sets of technical specifications signed and sealed in accordance with applicable Florida Statutes.

(b) Bid documents in PDF and Word format.

(c) CD with AutoCAD/Microstation compatible files and PDF format.

4.3.2.1 Plan Scales for 22" x 34" Plan Sheets (Subject to Final Approval during Design) Description Horizontal Scale Vertical Scale

(a) Typical Section Sheet(s) N/A N/A

- (b) Drainage Map 1" 200' 1"= 5'
- (c) Roadway Plan & Profile Sheets 1" 40' 1"= 2'

(d) Drainage Detail Sheet 1" 10' N/A

(e) Other Plans Sheets to be prepared at scales approved by the CITY

4.3.3 Design Computation Documents:

All of the following record documents shall be submitted to the CITY by CONSULTANT, or Sub-Consultant as applicable, at the time of final acceptance or otherwise as noted elsewhere in this scope of services document, neatly bound in an 8 ½" x 11" format or as to the satisfaction of the CITY. All review comments made on design documents or reports submitted as listed below shall be responded to and incorporated into the documents as applicable and such documents or reports shall be resubmitted to CITY at the following design interval submittal date as requested. All plans, specifications, and/or reports prepared or obtained under this Agreement shall be considered works made for hire and shall become the property of the CITY and shall be made available, upon request, to the CITY at any time. Submittals of design computations shall include, but not necessarily be limited to the following:

(a) Five copies of design computations that shall include, but not necessarily be limited to: ICPR routing and storm sewer calculations at 60% and 100% design intervals.

(b) One copy of probable construction cost estimates at 30%, 60%, 90% and 100% design intervals.

(c) One copy of required environmental permit applications for the Project as listed under article 4.8.4 of this scope of services prior to submittal of 60% plans and as amended due to permitting agencies reviews.

(d) Project correspondence required to document design decisions reached during development of the plans at each design review interval as applicable.

4.4 Design Survey:

Design Survey to be provided by Omni Communications, Inc.

This following field survey tasks shall be performed:

4.04.1 Prepare a "topographic survey" of the project area as shown on the attached Exhibit A. 4.04.2 Hydrographic Survey: (NOT APPLICABLE)

4.04.3 Horizontal coordinates will be referenced to the West Zone of the Florida State Plane Coordinate System, North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83). Elevations will be referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88).

4.04.4 CONSULTANT shall also provide an electronic version of the survey in either "DWG", "DXF" or other acceptable digital format as well as an xyz file of the survey points in ASCII format.

4.04.5 Centerline Referencing: (NOT APPLICABLE)

4.04.6 Drainage Survey: (NOT APPLICABLE)

4.04.7 Right-of-Way: Recover and locate the approximate right of way lines immediately adjacent to the project limits based on the latest official records and existing monumentation. 4.04.8 Wetland Survey: survey the wetland jurisdictional limit flags to be set by ICON Consultant Group as shown in red on attached survey exhibit.

4.5 Geotechnical Investigation and Pavement Design:

Geotechnical investigation to be provided by MC Squared, Inc. (MC₂).

Two (2) hand auger borings, 1 hand auger within each of the proposed pond sites and one in the existing pond site (delineated in Exhibit 2 in red).

Four (4) Double Ring Infiltration (DRI) tests, 1 in each of the proposed pond sites and one in the existing pond site (delineated in Exhibit 2 in red).

Pavement reconstruction will be in accordance with the latest edition of the City of Tarpon Springs Standard Details. 4.6 Roadway Alignment (NOT APPLICABLE)

4.7 Utility Coordination and Design:

4.7.1 The CONSULTANT shall provide sets of plans (Adobe PDF format or similar "portable" format) for affected utilities at 30%, 60%, and 90% design completion intervals only for the Project.

4.7.2 The CONSULTANT shall conduct two utility coordination meetings with affected utility owners and CITY representatives for the Project. The time of such meetings should take place following the 60% and 90% submittals.

4.7.3 The CONSULTANT is not required to perform, provide, and furnish utility engineering, design, and plan preparation services for those private utility facilities enumerated above with the exception that CONSULTANT shall show all existing and planned utility facilities made known to the CONSULTANT by said private utility owners on the Project plans. The existing utility information shall be secured by CONSULTANT from field location surveys and from plans furnished to CONSULTANT by affected utility owners. Also, CONSULTANT shall be responsible for coordinating with the CITY and Utilities to resolve utility conflicts and to modify design, if needed, to resolve such conflicts and shall show that on construction plans.

4.7.4 It is anticipated that any design services for the CITY water and sewer facilities within the limits of this project will be completed by the CITY.

4.7.5 Subsurface utility investigation is anticipated to be required for this project.

Subsurface utility investigation to be provided by Omni Communications, Inc.

The designation/investigation effort will be performed with a combination of ground penetrating radar (GPR) and EM (electromagnetic signal induction) toning. Deliverable items for this effort will be (i) a field sketch diagram of designated utilities; and (ii) the markings upon the ground reflecting designated utilities.

Technicians, equipment and special tools will be provided to locate (SUE Level A) the utilities identified in the plans provided at the proposed drainage structures. This estimate is based on up to 20 locates and survey of the 20 locations. The deliverable item for this task will be field markings of each excavation together with a horizontal and vertical reference point for each excavation; excavation data sheets noting the utility type, size, material, and direction together with a measured depth from the top of utility to the established reference point; and photographs at each excavation.

4.8 Drainage Design Requirements:

4.8.1 There are existing drainage facilities along Gulf Road and Tarpon Drive. The CONSULTANT, with input from the CITY, will determine what structures and storm sewer pipes will be replaced, if any.

4.8.2 The CONSULTANT will design a closed storm sewer collection system within the existing right-of-way, to the maximum extent feasible, along the south side of Palm Avenue to provide for conveyance of roadway and offsite runoff. The Palm Avenue storm sewer systems will converge at the Palm Avenue/Tarpon Drive intersection and flow south along Tarpon Drive.

Runoff will be conveyed south crossing under Gulf Road and ultimately discharge into a proposed stormwater management facility (SMF) before discharging into the tidal bayou. The proposed closed storm sewer systems will be designed using the 3-year design frequency (rational method).

The proposed SMF will be designed to attenuate the pre verses post runoff volume from the additional offsite residential area (west side of Tarpon Drive along Palm Avenue) for the 25-year/24-hour storm event (SWFWMD FLMOD 24-hour rainfall distribution) as well as to provide as much stormwater treatment as possible within the limits of the proposed SMF site shown on Exhibit 1.

4.8.3 The CONSULTANT shall coordinate permitting requirements during preliminary drainage design tasks with SWFWMD and Pinellas County (Gulf Road). The CONSULTANT shall attend a pre-application meeting with the CITY and SWFWMD for this task. One meeting is anticipated.

4.8.4 The CONSULTANT shall prepare all applicable permit applications (SWFWMD, Pinellas County, and USACOE).

4.9 Coordination of Design within Project Limits:

4.9.1 Design services specified herein shall include transitions to match existing sidewalk, curb, pavement, and driveways.

4.9.2 To the extent necessary for CONSULTANT'S performance of services and upon request from CONSULTANT, the CITY will provide all available record data, information, plans, right-of-way permits, etc. relating to adjacent land developments (existing and proposed).

4.10 Maintenance of Traffic Plan Preparation:

The CONSULTANT is required to design and prepare plans for the maintenance of traffic (MOT) for the entire project. MOT plans shall address vehicular, pedestrian, and bicyclist traffic, through the construction area, as well as maintaining access to adjacent properties. MOT plans will consist of general notes and a detour plan, if applicable. Detailed MOT plans are not required. 4.11 Construction Cost Estimates:

Prepare and submit an engineers' estimate of probable construction cost with the 30%, 60%, 90%, and 100% (final) plans submittal.

4.12 Post Design Services (Phase 2 Services)

The CONSULTANT shall provide services during construction as described below. These services are included in the CONSULTANT's Basic Lump Sum Services. The CITY will provide construction inspection and administrative services.

4.12.1 BIDDING SERVICES: The CONSULTANT shall assist the CITY in bidding by attending the pre-bid meeting, attending the pre-construction meeting, responding to questions, issuing plan revisions, and reviewing bids received. The CONSULTANT will participate in a total of four meetings (one pre-bid, one preconstruction meeting and two construction progress meetings).

4.12.2 PERIODIC SITE VISITS: The CONSULTANT shall provide up to four site visits during construction for the project.

4.12.3 STORMWATER CERTIFICATION (AS-BUILTS): The CONSULTANT shall review the provided record drawings and prepare final project certificates for the required certification of the SWFWMD ERP Permit based on the contractor-provided as-built drawings provided no significant deviations will be made to the approved construction plans.

4.12.4 SAFETY: The CONSULTANT is not responsible for observing, monitoring, or inspecting the safety aspects of the contractor's construction operations. The contractor shall be solely

responsible for all safety aspects of the project including safety of his/her employees, subcontractor employees, and the public.

4.12.5 CONSTRUCTION METHODS: CONSULTANT is not responsible for the contractor methods and means of constructing the proposed improvements. The CONSULTANT shall not be responsible for any acts or omissions of the contractor or subcontractors. The CONSULTANT does not guarantee the performance of the contractor and shall not be responsible for the contractor's failure to perform its work in accordance with the contract documents or any applicable laws, codes, rules, or regulations.

4.12.6 SHOP DRAWING REVIEWS: The CONSULTANT shall review and process shop drawings, furnished by the Contractor, associated with material included on the construction plans. It is the Contractor's responsibility to provide all documentation required to prove any substitute material is the same or better than the material specified. It is assumed all submittals will be made in a timely manner allowing the CONSULTANT a minimum of two (2) weeks of review time. It is also assumed that the submittals will be provided in no more than three (3) submittal packages, and no more than twenty-five (25%) percent of submittals will require re-submittal.

4.12.7 RFI / SUPPORT SERVICES: CONSULTANT will provide office support and assistance during the construction phase of the project. This will include, on a limited basis, responding to Requests for Information (RFI) and providing other input and clarification to the CITY, or Contractor for issues relating/resulting from the elements of the project designed by the CONSULTANT. This will include up to four (4) RFIs, any additional will be considered as additional services.

4.13 Specifications

The CONSULTANT shall prepare special provisions, bid tabulation sheet and the technical specifications for the project using the CITY's standard technical specifications. The CITY will provide an electronic file (Microsoft Word TM or other electronic format) of all the CITY's standard technical specifications. Any deviations required by the design from the CITY's standard technical specifications will be prepared by the CONSULTANT. The CITY will be responsible for preparing the "front end" documents as noted in Article 4.2.4. One set of signed and sealed specifications will be prepared for the project.

4.14 Community Involvement (NOT APPLICABLE

4.15 Work Not Included In Scope of Work: 4.15.1 Public information newsletters, flyers, etc. 4.15.2 Topographic, Right-of-way surveys or the preparation of right-of-way or easement documents.

- 4.15.3 Structural design.
- 4.15.4 Environmental (wetland mitigation, wildlife, contamination, etc.) services.
- 4.15.5 Landscaping and irrigation.
- 4.15.6 Tree pruning.
- 4.15.7 Utility design of water or sewer facilities

4.16 Owner's Allowance

During the course of this project, the CITY and/or the CONSULTANT may identify Additional Services required of the CONSULTANT which are necessary to either complete this work scope or enhance the overall project. These additional services could include, but would not be limited

to: those items listed above, additional survey, preparation of presentations, additional graphics or other items.

If Additional Services are identified during the course of this project, the CONSULTANT at the request of the CITY will prepare a detailed work scope and budget to perform the Additional Services. Once the CITY and the CONSULTANT have agreed on the scope and budget, the CITY will issue a written notice to proceed for the Additional Services under Owner's Allowance. Because it is impossible to adequately budget for individual Additional Services at this time, an Owners Allowance budget of \$8,000 has been established for such activities. If the Additional Services work scope and budget is approved by the CITY it will be performed and invoiced in accordance with the salary rates established in the Agreement for this Scope of Services.

5.00 PLANS PREPARATION, ENDORSEMENT AND OWNERSHIP

5.1 All plan/profile sheets, except key sheets, shall have a title block across the entire bottom of the sheet. A standard Project title block shall also be furnished by the CITY.

5.2 All final plans, documents, reports, studies and other data prepared by the CONSULTANT and/or its subconsultants will bear the signature and seal of the CONSULTANT's record engineer or the applicable design engineer who shall be duly registered in the appropriate professional category.

5.3 After the CITY's acceptance of final plans and documents and in accordance with Article Six of the Agreement, five (5) record set of 11" X 17" plans will be provided to the CITY. The CONSULTANT shall signify, by affixing an endorsement (seal/ signature, as appropriate) on the five (5) record sets, that the work shown on the endorsed sheets was produced by the CONSULTANT. A CD shall be provided to the CITY of the design files in AutoCAD/Microstation compatible format and Adobe PDF or other similar "portable" document format.

6.00 MILESTONE DATES:

6.1 The schedule for the final design and plan preparation services for Project improvements is presented below.

6.2 Project design status submittals for the Project improvements shall be completed in the number of calendar days as follows following issuance of the Notice to Proceed:

6.2.1 30% Design Submittal:

To be completed within 56 calendar days (8 weeks) from the receipt of project survey. 6.2.2 60% Design Submittal:

To be completed within 155 calendar days (22 weeks) from the receipt of project survey. 6.2.3 90% Design Submittal:

To be completed within 250 calendar days (35 weeks) from the receipt of project survey. 6.2.4 100% Design (Final Plans) Submittal:

To be completed within 299 calendar days (43 weeks) from the receipt of project survey. 6.3 The CITY anticipates that a (maximum) two (2) week formal review and critique period will be necessary for each milestone design submittal identified in Article 6.2 upon receipt of plans and accompanying information from the CONSULTANT. Accordingly, this 14 calendar day review period has been included in the plan submittal schedule, Article 6.2 above. 6.4 The CONSULTANT shall formulate and prepare the bar chart schedule for the Project in specific accord with the above stated design status review schedule.

7.00 SERVICES DURING CONSTRUCTION (Phase 2 Services)

The CITY will require the CONSULTANT to provide professional services during the bidding and construction phases for the Project, as identified in Article 4.12.

8.00 COST PROPOSAL:

8.1 The CONSULTANT's services described herein shall be provided for a lump sum cost as follows: for all services, a grand total fee of \$131,592.00; which includes \$87,590.00 (not to exceed) for design/permitting/post design, \$6,181.40 (not to exceed) for geotechnical subconsultant, \$21,420.00 (not to exceed) for survey and utility designation subconsultant, \$8,000.00 subsurface utility engineering subconsultant, \$250.00 for SWFWMD ERP Permit, \$8,000 for Owner's Allowance, and \$150.60 for expenses.

8.2 The CONSULTANT's cost proposal herein does include costs for permit application fees to respective environmental or CITY agencies. The cost for permitting, if paid by CONSULTANT, shall be reimbursable by the CITY in full upon submittal of fees justification.

9.00 SUBCONTRACT SERVICES:

Due to the nature and scope of the required services, it may be desirable for the CONSULTANT to subcontract portions of the work (i.e. survey, SUE, and geotechnical investigation). The CONSULTANT shall be authorized to subcontract these services under the provisions of this Agreement. The subcontracting firms must be approved in writing by the CITY prior to initiation of any work. The fee for subcontracted work shall be a pass-

through cost and shall not include a CONSULTANT markup.

10.00 NOTICE TO PROCEED MEETING: (NOT APPLICABLE): 11.0 EXPERT WITNESS TESTIMONY:

The CONSULTANT shall serve as an expert witness for the Project in legal proceedings if required by the CITY. A separate fee schedule for these services shall be established, if and when said services are required.

12.00 SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED BY THE CITY

The following tasks, activities and or items will be provided by the CITY 12.1 CITY utility as-builts, plans, and/or GIS data.

- 12.2 Notifications to property owners.
- 12.3 "Front-end" contract documents identified in Article 4.2.4.
- 12.4 Assemble and copy construction documents for bidding.
- 12.5 Electronic files (Microsoft Word Format) of CITY standard technical specifications.
- 12.6 Copies of proposed development plans adjacent to the project limits.
- 12.7 Provide inspection and administrative services during construction.
- 12.8 Public information coordination with businesses and public.

13.00 PLANS REVIEW CHECK LIST:

The following four (4) pages represent the minimum amount of information that shall be shown for each design interval. Minimum submittal requirements herein listed for the 30%, 60%, 90% and 100% (final) design status intervals are not all-inclusive; see Article 4.3 for additional service requirements.

CONSULTING ENGINEERING SERVICESAGREEMENT SCOPE OF BASIC PROFESSIONALSERVICES Palm Avenue Stormwater Improvements Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) #22 30% Plan Review (Preliminary Plans)

Purpose/Description To review the CONSULTANT's proposed grades, geometric layout, survey data, basic geometrics, right-of-way, study/report recommendations, and other preliminary design materials. Provides the technical basis for further plan development.

Submission of review plans Five (5) 11" X 17" size construction plans sets and other data Two copies of any design documentation data to City.

Minimum submittal requirements (shown thus X) (Typical, including the following).

- X 1. Update of Project Schedule
- X 2. Key Sheet (Complete)
- X 3. Drainage Map (Existing Conditions) (Complete)
- NIC 4. Typical Sections (Preliminary)
- X 5. General Notes Sheet (Preliminary)
- NIC 6. Summary of Quantities (Preliminary)
- X 7. Plan / Profile Sheet (Preliminary)
- NIC 8. Drainage Detail Sheets (Preliminary)
- NIC 9. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Sheets (Preliminary)
- NIC 10. Utility Adjustment Sheets (Preliminary)
- NIC 11. Contract Technical Specifications (Preliminary)
- X 12. Estimate of Probable Construction Cost (Preliminary)CONSULTING

ENGINEERING SERVICESAGREEMENT SCOPE OF BASIC

PROFESSIONALSERVICES

Palm Avenue Stormwater Improvements Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) #22 60% Plan Review (Preliminary Plans)

Purpose/Description: To review the CONSULTANT's final grades, drainage mapping, typical sections, drainage structures, and similar design elements. Final designs at this stage are subject to minor revisions. Substantive changes to the type, size, and location of major features will be considered extra work. Initial review of the quantities. Submission of review plans Five (5) 11" X 17" size construction plans sets and other data Two copies of any design documentation data to City.

Citywide GIS Mapping – *Infrastructure/Drainage Mapping Project* SCOPE OF SERVICES

City of Tarpon Springs Professional Services Agreement Stormwater Infrastructure GIS Data Collection Task Order Number STN-04-04

A. General

The City of Tarpon Springs (CITY) requests that the Stantec Consulting Services (CONSULTANT) provide field data collection/input process for the development of a stormwater infrastructure GIS inventory system. More specifically, this task order will enable the CONSULTANT to perform as an extension of CITY staff in performing field data collection of stormwater features and building the GIS.

1. GIS Field Survey and Data Collection

1.1. The CONSULTANT will coordinate with CITY staff to develop and execute a plan to collect stormwater infrastructure locations and characteristics throughout the City's jurisdiction. The resulting data will be delivered as a geodatabase containing these locations and characteristics.

This task will include the following activities:

- Field identification of drainage structures and connectivity
- Real Time Kinematic (RTK) and traditional survey data collection
- Coordination with CITY staff for assistance as needed in accessing structures and clearing of blocked structures
- QA/QC of collected data
- GIS mapping and database activities as needed to support the field data collection
- Regularly scheduled progress reports/updates
- After the collection of data within the initial EMS grid, an assessment will be made as to the estimated number of structures which are anticipated to be provided under

the current project budget. This assessment will be communicated to CITY staff and, if requested, adjustments may be made to collection procedures in order to increase the estimated number of structures that can be collected within the current project budget.

- Finalization of GIS deliverables, including topology adherence, data transformations, etc.
- Meetings and presentations as needed

Disclaimers

The CONSULTANT will not perform any subsurface utility engineering (SUE) activities including, but not limited to ground penetrating radar (GPR) and vacuum excavation. All locations of underground stormwater infrastructure such as pipes, will be pulled from existing data in the GIS or as-builts and verified, where possible, by field observations of structures.

Also, the CONSULTANT will not perform any work in confined spaces such as junction boxes. Work in confined spaces requires that the person entering the confined space be certified and using the appropriate equipment/instrumentation to do so. All invert elevation data will be collected using measure downs from the rim elevation of the manhole or grate as determined by RTK GPS and supplemented by conventional methods where necessary.

Deliverables

The following deliverables will be provided by the CONSULTANT to the CITY at task completion:

• Final Stormwater Geodatabase populated with final attribute data for all collected structures.

B. Schedule

CONSULTANT'S services shall commence upon written authorization from the CITY, which will constitute Notice to Proceed (NTP). This Task Order will end on October 31st, 2019. Per the nature of this time and materials Task Order, the schedule for all activities will be determined by the client on an ongoing basis. At a minimum, all items identified in the Deliverables section will be provided by the end of this Task Order. The following table presents a proposed schedule.

| Schedule | Start | Duration |
|---|--------------|------------------------|
| Kickoff | NTP | 1 week |
| GIS Field Survey and Data Collection - Task 1 | NTP + 1 week | Duration of Task Order |

The CONSULTANT shall notify CITY in writing of any delays beyond the CONSULTANT'S control in accordance with the Agreement. CITY may grant a time extension for reasons so documented at its sole discretion.
C. Cost of Services

The fees for these professional services shall be based on a TIME-CHARGE basis based on the executed contract for Stormwater Engineering Services Continuing Contract, RFQ No. 140031-S-JJ plus any out-of-pocket expenses for a Not-To-Exceed (NTE) cost of \$200,000.00; said sum including labor and expenses.

END OF SCOPE

Watershed Management Plan (City of Tarpon Springs/Pinellas County) Drainage/Basin Mapping Project

Pinellas County/City of Tarpon Springs Anclote River Watershed Management Plan Scope

The cost for Tarpon Springs share, based on percent area of the watershed, is \$152,000.00. This would be spread out over 3 fiscal years and would also provide a multitude of information for you all. The WMP will provide an evaluation of the watershed, identify problems requiring management of resources, and recommend solutions to improve water quality and natural systems. The WMP will address localized flooding situations, erosion, sedimentation, SLR, and stormwater pollution. The WMP will include the initial collection of design, water quality, and sediment data, the evaluation of existing 10-year, 25-year, 50-year and 100-year flood elevations, the diagnostic evaluation of the watershed, the use of an appropriate hydraulic/hydrologic model that is approved by the National Flood Insurance Program, the County and SWFWMD, and the development of a WMP that provides recommendations for non-structural and site-specific structural improvements. Climate change scenarios such as SLR and changes in rainfall patterns should also be considered. The County's preference is to model the watershed using ICRP4. A pollutant loading model will be developed to estimate pollutant loads generated by sub-basins, relative levels of pollutant loads delivered to the Anclote River watershed by major outfalls or adjacent sub-basins, and relative pollutant loads delivered from the watershed to St. Joseph Sound. The model will also estimate pollutant load reductions that may result through implementing the WMPs. The WMPs will also address the Florida Department of Environmental Protection's (FDEP) Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) program.

512.b. RLAA - Stormwater Action Plan

City of Tarpon Springs Stormwater Action Plan





City of Tarpon Springs Stormwater Dollars at Work

Stormwater System Improvement Project

> Please call 727-937-2557 for additions information



Task Order No. STN 01-02

Prepared For: City of Tarpon Springs 324 E. Pine Street Tarpon Springs, FL 34689

Stantec

Stormwater Action Plan Final Report October 2014

T

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

| 1.0 | Purpose |
|-----|--|
| 2.0 | Scope of Work |
| 2.1 | Data Collection and Review |
| 2.2 | Develop Conceptual Solutions. |
| 2.3 | Conceptual Solution Analysis |
| 2.4 | Stormwater Capital Improvement Program (CIP) |
| 2.5 | Stormwater Action Plan Report |
| 3.0 | Data Collection and Review |
| 3.1 | Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phase I & II |
| 3.2 | Stormwater Action Plan Phase I |
| 3.3 | Field Investigation |
| 4.0 | Conceptual Solution Recommendations |
| 4.1 | Problem Areas Selected for Drainage Improvements |
| 4.2 | Engineer's Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate |
| 4.3 | Cost/Benefit Analysis |
| 4.4 | Funding Implementation |
| 4.5 | Recommended Capital Improvements |
| 4.6 | Permitting |
| 5.0 | Completed Projects |
| 5.1 | Record of Completed Projects |
| | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| rigure 1: Project Location Map |
|--------------------------------|
|--------------------------------|

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1: Summary of Stormwater Focus Areas | 6 |
|---|----|
| Table 2: Summary of Evaluated Conceptual Solutions | 9 |
| Table 3: Cost/Benefit Summary of Recommended Conceptual Solutions | 11 |
| Table 4: Projects Eligible for Additional Funding | 12 |
| Table 5: Stormwater Capital Improvement Program | 13 |
| Table 6: Summary of Completed Projects | 15 |
| | |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix A | Recommended Solutions, Problem Descriptions, and Cost Estimates |
|------------|---|
| Appendix B | Stormwater Focus Area Scoring Criteria |
| Appendix C | Secondary Alternatives and Cost Estimates |
| Appendix D | Completed/Constructed Projects |
| | • |

1.0 Purpose

Development of a Stormwater Action Plan for the multiple stormwater problem areas located within the limits of the City. The project area, as shown on Figure 1 – Project Location Map, is generally bounded by the Gulf of Mexico (West), Klosterman Road (South), Lake Tarpon (East), and the Anclote River (North). The purpose of the project is to access the flooding conditions that occur to numerous locations within the City limits, provide potential conceptual solutions to abate these flooding conditions, prepare a prioritized capital improvement plan for implementation, and enhance water quality by utilizing treatment systems and best management practices.

2.0 Scope of Work

The following scope of work was developed for the Stormwater Action Plan Phase II and presents specific items, which were performed as services for this project.

2.1 Data Collection and Review

American Consulting Professional's performed a detailed review of the 1992 and 1993 Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phases I and II, American Consulting Professional's Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) Phase I, existing aerial photographs, and right-of-way maps as a prerequisite to field investigations and during development of the conceptual solutions. Available roadway design plans, as-built information, 1-foot contour maps, and other pertinent materials were obtained from the Florida Department of Transportation, City of Tarpon Springs Engineering Department, Pinellas County Environmental Management Department, and the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) for review.

2.2 Develop Conceptual Solutions

Upon completion of the data review and field investigations, two conceptual solutions were developed for each of the 36 stormwater focus areas identified in the Stormwater Action Plan Phase II to resolve the particular stormwater issue for each location. These conceptual solutions are not engineered solutions based on survey data, geotechnical investigations, or engineering calculations. The conceptual solutions are shown on aerial exhibits utilizing the Pinellas County 2007 LIDAR contour aerial with existing 1-foot contours, in addition to Pinellas County Property Appraiser parcel lines.

1



2.3 Conceptual Solution Analysis

Each of the developed conceptual solutions were evaluated to reduce flooding and provided recommended system improvements. An alternative cost/benefit analysis was utilized in the selection and prioritization of the recommendations. Stantec prepared preliminary construction cost estimates that included survey, geotechnical, final design services, permitting, estimated property costs, construction, a twenty-five percent (25%) contingency, and materials.

2.4 Stormwater Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

Based on the available funding projections, a multi-year stormwater capital improvement program was developed. Consideration was also given to the potential of these recommendations to receive cooperative funding through the State of Florida (SWFWMD or the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP)). A Miscellaneous Service task has also been incorporated into the CIP program.

2.5 Stormwater Action Plan Report

The Draft Stormwater Action Report was reviewed by the City. City requested revisions were incorporated and the Final Stormwater Action Report was prepared. The City's stormwater engineer continues to maintain the report periodically.

3.0 Data Collection and Review

The following review and actions were performed in the development of the Stormwater Action Plan Phase II and presents specific items, which were performed as services for this project.

3.1 Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phase I & II

American obtained these two reports during the data collection task under the SAP Phase I. Both reports contain valuable information pertaining to the City's existing stormwater infrastructure, watershed drainage basins, hydrologic and hydraulic parameters, and potential solutions to several of the stormwater focus areas.

Each of the reports was used as references throughout the development of the SAP Phase I and the SAP Phase II tasks.

3.2 Stormwater Action Plan Phase I

Phase I of the Stormwater Action Plan was the data collection and problem identification phase for the Stormwater Action Plan. It was in this phase where American obtained the following to be used in the development of the SAP Phase I and II: all available stormwater data from past studies, reports, permits, regulatory requirements, plans, public complaints, City's Comprehensive and Capital Improvement Plan, and other information provided by the City.

After reviewing all the obtained data American documented the existing and resolved stormwater focus areas. A total of 73 stormwater focus areas were identified during this phase. After several meetings with the City a number of the stormwater focus areas were removed. There were a total of 32 locations removed from the original listing because the problem had either been addressed, completed, or was out of the City's jurisdiction. Five other stormwater focus areas were removed from the original listing because these locations will be governed by future regulatory regulations from the FDEP.

American then performed site visits to all remaining 36 stormwater focus areas. Photographs were taken at all sites in addition to notes of the existing field conditions based on the visual observations. Notes of the existing field conditions and descriptions of the problem areas are located in Appendix A.

Each of the 36 stormwater focus areas identified in the SAP Phase I were then analyzed on the following criteria: traffic safety, emergency access/route, property impacts, environmental, problem documentation, maintenance, and City score. Using these criteria a Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) Score was developed for each of the stormwater focus areas. The scoring criteria can be located within Appendix B. A summary of the 36 stormwater focus areas that were identified as needing drainage improvements is shown on Table 1: Summary of Stormwater Focus Areas.

3.3 Field Investigation

During the SAP Phase I American conducted field investigations for all 36 stormwater focus areas within the City limits. Particular attention was given to the location, elevation, and condition of the existing infrastructure (if any) in the areas identified as having flooding problems. Existing field information was verified and updated if possible as well as determining the impacts that contribute to the flooding. Surface water and topographical features were evaluated to identify any particular physical characteristics affecting current drainage patterns. American also

4

evaluated potential sites for flood attenuation and water quality improvement projects. Descriptions of the stormwater focus areas are located in Appendix A.

Table 1: Summary of Stormwater Focus Areas

| | Map ID | I SAP PL | | Problem | |
|------|--------|------------|--|---|--|
| Ref | No. | Score | Stormwater Focus Area | Tropen | |
| 1 | 1B | 34 | Disston Ave. (south of Tarpon Ave.) | Street and private property flooding: roadway impassable | No. 1 CIP - Utility Element Table 9 |
| 2 | 5 | 31 | Pent St. 200' east of Grosse Ave. | Street and private property flooding | No. 7 CIP - Utility Element Table 8/No |
| 3 | 101 | 30 | Alternate 19 & Anclote Rd. | Street and private property flooding | 2014 Field Investigation (Stantec) |
| 4 | 100 | 29 | Intersection of marina Dr. & Anclote rd. | Street flooding | 2014 Field Investigation (Stantee) |
| 5 | 15 | 28 | Highland Ave. and Vista Place | Street and private property flooding: Highland Ave, madway impassable | No. 8 CIP - Utility Element Table 8/No |
| 6 | 3 | 26 | Walton Ave. between Tarpon Ave. & Lime St. Phase III | Street flooding: impassable road | No. 10 CIP - Utility Element Table 8/N |
| 7 | 2 | 23 | Disston Ave. & Center St. | Street and private property flooding | No. 9 CIP - Utility Element Table 8/No |
| 8 | 33 | 18 | Jasmine Ave. & Lime St. | Street and private property flooding | No. 21 Dames & Moore Master Drains |
| 9 | 71 | 18 | Riverside Dr. at Hillside Dr. | Low spot - street flooding | Staff recommendation |
| 10 | 11 | 13 | Boston St. between Disston Ave. & Walton Ave. | Street and private property flooding | No. 13 CIP - Utility Element Table 8/N |
| 11 | 39 | 12 | Coburn Dr. 100' west of Florida Ave. | Street and yard flooding | No. 31 Dames & Moore Master Drains |
| 12 | 57 | 12 | Kenneth Way @ Seaside Dr. | Street flooding | Staff recommendation |
| 13 | 102 | 12 | Mango St. & Mango Circle | Street flooding | 2014 Field Investigation (Stantac) |
| 14 | 18 | 11 | Hibiscus St. & Park St. | Street & yard flooding | No. 15 CIP - Utility Element Table 8/N |
| 15 | 21 | 10 | Palm Ave. between Tarpon Dr. & Glades Ave. | Street & private property flooding | No. 18 CIP - Utility Element Table 8/N |
| 16 | 28A | 10 | Walton Ave. & Center St. | Street and private property flooding | Staff recommendation |
| 17 | 69 | 10 | Pent St. 100' east of Levis Ave. | Street and private property flooding | Staff recommendation |
| 18 | 9 | 9 | Disston Ave. between Spruce St. & Live Oak St. | Street and vard flooding | No. 12 Dames & Moore Master Draina |
| 19 | 14 | 9 | Grosse Ave. between Pine St. & Orange St. | Street & vard flooding | No. 5 CIP - Utility Element Table 8/No |
| 20 | 42 | 9 | Intersection of Athens St. & Dodecanese Blvd. | Street flooding: inadequate infrastructure/backwater | No. 37 Dames & Moore Master Drains |
| 21 | 17 | 8 | Pent St. between Disston Ave. & Walton Ave. | Street & vard flooding | No. 14 CIP - Utility Element Table 8/N |
| 22 | 24B | 8 | Bath St./Shaddock Ave. Alley | Private property flooding | Staff recommendation |
| 23 | 25 | 8 | Levis Ave. Alleyway | Rear yard flooding | No. 3 CIP - Utility Element Table 9 |
| 24 | 62 | 7 | Roosevelt Blvd. | Street flooding/water quality/property flooding | Staff recommendation |
| 25 | 43 | 7 | Island Dr. near Hill St. | Street and private property flooding | No. 38 Dames & Moore Master Drainag |
| 26 | 28 | 7 | Cypress St. between Disston Ave. and Walton Ave. | Street and private property flooding | No. 11 Dames & Moore Master Drainag |
| 27 | 4 | 6 | Tarpon Ave. 100' east of Grosse Ave. | Street flooding | No. 4 CIP - Utility Element Table 8/No. |
| 28 | 70 | 6 | East end of Boston St. | Street and private property flooding | Staff recommendation |
| 29 | 74 | 6 | Avoca Dr. 500' west of Florida Dr. | Low spot - street and property flooding | Staff recommendation |
| 30 | 35 | 6 | Disston Ave. south of Harrison St. | Street flooding | No. 25 Dames & Moore Master Drainas |
| 31 | 73 | 6 | Riverside Dr. at Scabreeze Dr. | Low spot - street flooding | Staff recommendation |
| 32 | 7 | 5 | Outfall @ Pinellas Trail & Spruce St. | Needs maintenance/obstructing flow | American recommendation |
| 33 | 29 | 5 | Spruce St. between Levis Ave. & Grosse Ave. | Street and private property flooding | No. 14 Dames & Moore Master Drainag |
| 34 | 6 | 5 | Cypress St. 200' east of Grosse Ave. | Street & yard flooding | No. 6 CIP - Utility Element Table 8/No. |
| 35 | 22 | 5 1 | Palm Ave. between Tarpon Dr. & Gulf Rd. | Private property flooding | No. 19 CIP - Utility Element Table 8/No |
| 36 | 20 | 4 | Levis Ave. between Lime St. & Oakwood St. | Minor street flooding | No. 17 CIP - Utility Element Table 8/No |
| 37 | 31 | 3] | Huey Ave. north of Tarpon Ave. | Roadside flooding: ditch along Huey Aye, floods | No. 18 Dames & Moore Master Drainag |
| 38 | 72 | 3 1 | 314 Riverside Dr. | Low spot - street flooding | Staff recommendation |
| · 39 | 10 | 2 | Spruce St. between Disston Ave. & Walton Ave. | Street and yard flooding | No. 12 CIP - Utility Element Table 8/No |
| 6 | | City of Ta | rpon Springs | | Internet of the only internet labor of the |

Stormwater Action Plan Phase II Task Order No. ACE 01-03

C

| D. | . Ø | | | |
|--------|------|-----|--------------|----|
| - 14.6 | ara. | -01 | 1 121 | ρ. |
| 10.000 | | | | • |

o. 15 Dames & Moore Master Drainage Study - Phase 1

o. 20 Dames & Moore Master Drainage Study - Phase 1 No. 23 Dames & Moore Master Drainage Study - Phase 1 o. 5 Dames & Moore Master Drainage Study - Phase 1 age Study - Phase 1

No. 10 Dames & Moore Master Drainage Study - Phase 1 age Study - Phase 1

No. 2 Dames & Moore Master Drainage Study - Phase 1 No. 33 Dames & Moore Master Drainage Study - Phase 1

ige Study -Phase I

6 Dames & Moore Master Drainage Study - Phase 1

ige Study - Phase 1

to. 9 Dames & Moore Master Drainage Study - Phase 1

ge Study - Phase 1

ge Study - Phase 1

1 Dames & Moore Master Drainage Study - Phase 1

ge Study - Phase 1

ge Study - Phase 1

16 Dames & Moore Master Drainage Study - Phase 1
32 Dames & Moore Master Drainage Study - Phase 1
24 Dames & Moore Master Drainage Study - Phase 1
ge Study - Phase 1

o. 8 Dames & Moore Master Drainage Study - Phase 1

4.0 **Conceptual Solution Recommendations**

Upon completion of the data review and field investigations, up to two conceptual solutions were developed for each of the 36 stormwater focus areas identified in the SAP Phase I to resolve the individual stormwater issue for each location. These conceptual solutions are not engineered solutions based on survey data, geotechnical investigations, or engineering calculations. The conceptual solutions are shown on aerial exhibits utilizing the Pinellas County 2007 LIDAR contour aerial with existing 1-foot contours, in addition to Pinellas County Property Appraiser parcel lines. The recommended conceptual solutions along with their descriptions are located in Appendix A. The other evaluated conceptual alternatives and their respective cost estimates are located in Appendix B.

4.1 Problem Areas Selected for Drainage Improvements

A total of 36 stormwater focus areas were identified as needing drainage improvements in the SAP Phase I. These locations were an accumulation of stormwater problems originally identified in the 1992 Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phase I, areas identified by City resident's, areas identified by City staff, and those identified by American during the initial field investigations for SAP Phase I.

As the City continues to grow, additional areas of concern will be identified, reviewed, and evaluated utilizing the same approach previously discussed. These new areas will then be added to the City's Stormwater CIP.

While developing conceptual solution(s) each of these locations was reviewed individually and collectively in order to join multiple stormwater focus areas into a common or phased project. Several of the conceptual solutions utilized grouping multiple stormwater focus.

4.2 Engineer's Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

Preliminary construction cost estimates were developed for all conceptual solutions. The preliminary cost estimates included survey, geotechnical, final design services, permitting, estimated property costs, construction, a twenty-five percent (25%) contingency, and materials. Unit prices are based on the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 (includes Pinellas County). These unit prices will be updated periodically with the stormwater contract. The preliminary cost estimates for all developed conceptual solutions are shown in Table 2: Summary of Evaluated Conceptual Solutions. The itemized preliminary cost estimates for each of the recommended conceptual solutions are

located in Appendix A. The itemized preliminary cost estimates for the other alternative conceptual solutions are located in Appendix C.

Table 2: Summary of Evaluated Conceptual Solutions

0

0

| Focus Area Map ID No. | Option No. | Project Location | Resolved Focus Area Map ID No. | Design & Construction Cost | ROW Acquisition Estimate | Total Cost | Total SAP Score | Total Cost/SAP Point | Level of Permitting |
|--------------------------|------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 18 | 1 | Disston Ave. south of Taroon Ave. | IA. IB. IC | \$301.504 | NA | \$180.309 | 54 | 5.583 | MEDIUM |
| 2 | 1 | Disston Ave. & Center St. Disston Ave. & Center St. | 2 2 17 28 28A | \$254.400 \$818.117 | <u>NA</u> | \$254.400 \$818.117 | 31 72 | 8.206 11.363 | MEDILIM HIGH |
| 3 | 1 | Walton Ave. between Taroon Ave. & Lime St. | 3 | \$40.500 | \$120,000 | \$160.500 | 36 | 4.458 | MEDIUM |
| 3 | 2 | Walton Ave. between Tarnon Ave. & Lime St | 3 | \$103.000 | NA | \$103.000 | 36 | 2.861 | MEDIUM |
| 5 | l | Pent St. 200' east of Grosse Ave. | 5.6.14.69.4 | \$904,999 | \$1.50,000 | \$1.054.999 | 93 | 11.344 | HIGH |
| 7 | 1 | Pinellas Trail Outfall Ditch | 1 | \$22.600 | NA | \$22,600 | | 2.055 | LOW |
| 9 | 1 | Disston Ave, between Spruce St. & Live Oak St. Disston Ave, between Spruce St. & Live Oek St. | 9.10.11 | \$75.400 \$610.500 | \$0 | \$75.400 \$610.500 | 15 | 5.027 | LOW MEDICM |
| 9 | 3 | Disston Ave. between Soruce St. & Live Oak St. | 9.10.11 | \$667.483 | <u>so</u> | \$667.483 | 38 | 17.565 | MEDIUM |
| 15 | 2 | Highland Ave. & Vista Pl. Highland Ave. & Vista Pl | 15 | \$145.760 \$250.100 | \$50,000 NA | \$195,760 \$250,100 | 36 | 5.438 6.947 | MEDIUM |
| 18 | 1 | Hibiscus St. & Park St. | 18 | \$61,200 | NA | \$61,200 | 17 | 3.600 | LOW |
| 22 | 1 | Palm Ave, between Tarron Dr. & Gulf Rd | 21,22 | \$387.500 | NA | \$387.500 | 25 | 15,500 | MEDIUM |
| 22 | 2 | Palm Ave, between Tarpon Dr. & Gulf Rd. | 21.22 | \$500.758 | \$350.000 | \$850.758 | 25 | 34.030 | MEDIUM |
| 24B | 1 | Bath St./Shaddock Ave. Allev | 24B | \$95.800 | \$0 | \$95.800 | 14 | 6.843 | LOW |
| 25 25 | 12 | Levis Ave. Allevway/Levis Ave. between Lime St. & Oakwood St. Levis Ave. Allevway/Levis Ave. between Lime St. & Oakwood St. | 20.25 20.25 | \$105.600 \$248.638 | \$120.000 \$0 | \$225.600 \$248.638 | 20 20 | 11.280 12.432 | MEDIUM |
| 29 | 1 | Spruce St. between Levis Ave. & Grosse Ave. | 29 | \$48,400 | NA | \$48,400 | 11 | 4.400 | LOW |
| 29 | 2 | Sonuce St. between Levis Ave. & Grosse Ave. | 29 | \$151.230 | SU | 8151.230 | | 13.748 | LOW |
| 3 | | Huev Ave. north of Tarbon Ave. | 31 | \$31.700 | NAI | \$11.700 | | 4.329 | LOW |
| 33 | | Jasmine Ave. & Lime St | 33 | \$135.580 | SU | \$(33,350 | 24 | 3.049 | LOW |
| 35 | 2 | Diston Ave. south of Harrison St. Diston Ave. south of Harrison St. | 35 35 | \$123,400 \$91,500 | NA \$0 | \$123,400 | 10 | 9.150 | LOW |
| 39 | 1 | Coburn Dr. 100' west of Florida Ave. | 39 | \$220.040 | <u>\$0</u> | \$220.040 | 18 | 12.224 | MEDIUM |
| 39 | | L'acount Dr. Tab west of Florida Ave | 39 | \$14.900 | NA | 8106100 | 16 | 7.007 | MEDIUM |
| 42 | 2 | Athens St. & Dodecanese Blvd. | 42 | \$105.100 | \$0 | \$51.105 | 15 | 3.407 | MEDIUM |
| 43 | .1 | Island Dr. near Hill St. | .43 | \$57.600 | NA | \$57.600 | 13 | 4.431 | LOW |
| 57 | 1 | Kenneth Way at Seaside Dr. | 57 | \$91,000 | NA \$10,000 | \$91,000 | 18 | 5.056 | MEDIUM |
| 62 | 1 | Roosevelt Blvd | 62 | \$543.648 | NA | \$543.648 | 24 | 22.652 | LOW |
| 70 | 1 | East end of Boston St. | 70 | \$47.300 | NA | \$47.300 | 12. | 3.942 | LOW |
| 71 | 1 | Riverside Dr. & Hillside Dr. | 71.72 | \$124.600 | NA | \$124.600 | 29 | 4.297 | MEDIUM |
| 71 | 2 | Riverside Dr. & Hillside Dr. | 71.72 | \$88.861 | \$0 | \$88,861 | 29 | 3.064 | LOW |
| 73 | 1 | Riverside Dr. at Seabreage Dr. | 73 | \$70.871 | \$0 | \$70.871 | 7 | 10.124 | LOW |
| 100 100 | 1 2 | Intersection of Marina Dr. & Anclote Rd. Intersection of Marina Dr. & Anclote Rd. | 100 100 | \$56,200 \$34,488 | \$0 \$0 | \$56,200 \$34,488 | 29 29 | 1,938 1,189 | LOW |
| 101 | 1 | Alternate US 19 & Anciote Rd. | 101 | \$70,000 | \$0 | \$70,000 | 30 | 2,333 | MEDIUM |
| 101 | • 2 | Alternate US 19 & Anclote Rd. | 101 | \$24,800 | \$0 | \$24,800 | 30 | 827 | |
| 102 | 1 | Mango St. & Mango Cl. | 102 | \$45,900 | \$0 | \$45,900 | 12 | 3,825 | LOW |
| 102 | 2 | Mango St. & Mango Cl. | 102 | \$60,700 | \$0 | \$60,700 | 12 | 5,058 | |

Recommended Alternative

1 Total cost includes additional funding from EDOT (\$171 105)

4.3 Cost/Benefit Analysis

Using the SAP Phase I score for each of the stormwater focus areas and the preliminary construction cost estimates for the conceptual solutions a cost/benefit analysis was performed. This analysis was used to assist with determining which conceptual alternative provided the best solution to the problem for the least cost. From the original 36 stormwater focus areas a total of 24 individual stormwater projects were developed. As the City continuous to grow, additional stormwater problem areas have been added to the stormwater CIP. Please see Table 3: Cost/Benefit Summary of Recommended Solutions.

| Table 3: Cost | v/Benefit | Summary of Recommended Conceptual Solutio | SU(| | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Focus Area Map ID No. | Option No. | Project Location | Resolved Focus Area Map ID No. | Design & Construction Cost | ROW Acquisition Estimate | Total Cost | Total SAP Score | Total Cost/SAP Point |
| 100 | 2 | Intersection of Marina Dr. & Andore Rd. | 100 | \$34,488 | 80 | \$34,488 | 29 | 1,189 |
| 101 | 1 | Alternate US 19 & Anclote Rd. | 101 | \$70,000 | \$0 | \$70,000 | 30 | 2,333 |
| 11 | 2 | Riverside Dr. & Hillside Dr. | 71,72 | \$88,861 | \$0 | \$88,861 | 29 | 3,064 |
| 42 | 2 | Athens St. & Dodecasese Blvd. | 42 | \$51,105 | 80 | \$51,105 | 15 | 3,407 |
| 102 | | Mango St. & Mango Cl. | 102 | \$45,900 | 80 | \$45,900 | 12 | 3,825 |
| 43 | 1 | Island Dr. near H31 St. | 43 | \$57,600 | \$0 | \$57,600 | 13 | 4,431 |
| 15 | 1 | Highland Ave. & Vista Pl. | 15 | \$145,760 | \$50,000 | \$195,760 | 36 | 5,438 |
| 20 | - | Diston Ave. south of Tarpon Ave. | 1A, 1B, 1C | \$301,504 | \$0 | \$180,309 | 25 | 5,583 |
| 33 | - | Jasmine Ave. & Lime St. | 33 | \$135,580 | \$0 | \$135,580 | 24 | 5,649 |
| 24B | - | Beth St/Shaddock Ave. Alley | 24B | \$95,800 | \$0 | \$95,800 | 14 | 6,843 |
| 35 | 2 | Disston Ave. south of Harrison St. | 35 | \$91,500 | \$0 | \$91,500 | 10 | 9,150 |
| 52 | 1 11 | Riverside Dr. at Seatmezze Dr. | 73 | \$70,871 | \$0 | \$70,871 | 7 | 10,124 |
| S | - | Pent St. 200° cast of Grosse Ave. | 5, 6, 14, 69, 4 | \$904,999 | \$150,000 | \$1,054,999 | 93 | 11,344 |
| 2 | 2 | Dission Ave. & Center St. | 2, 17, <u>2</u> 8, 28A | \$818,117 | \$0 | \$818,117 | 12 | 11,363 |
| 39 | - | Coburn Dr. 100° west of Florida Ave. | 39 | \$220,040 | \$0 | \$220,040 | 18 | 12,224 |
| 25 | 2 | Levis Ave. Alleyway/Levis Ave. between Lime St. & Oakwood St. | 20, 25 | \$248,638 | \$0 | \$248,638 | 20 | 12,432 |
| 29 | 2 | Spruce St. between Levis Ave. & Grosse Ave. | 29 | \$151,230 | 20 | \$151,230 | 11 | 13,748 |
| 57 | 2 | Kenneth Way at Seaside Dr. | 57, 74 | \$418,642 | \$30,000 | \$448,642 | DK. | 14,955 |
| 6 | 'n | Disston Ave. between Spruce St. & Live Oak St. | 9, 10, 11 | \$667,483 | \$0 | \$667,483 | 38 | 17,565 |
| 22 | 2 | Palm Ave. between Tarpon Dr. & Gulf Rd. | 21.22 | <u>\$500 758</u> | 000 0555 | \$940 74E | 35 | JA DOD |

| 1 |
|-------------------------|
| 8 |
| -E |
| Ξ |
| 득 |
| -21 |
| 44 |
| |
| |
| 읖 |
| |
| 3 |
| E. |
| 6 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ |
| Ξ |
| 굿 |
| |
| a |
| - 60 |
| Ē |
| |
| |
| 8 |
| 8 |
| 2 |
| |
| 1 |
| 1 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 00 |
| - |
| |
| 9 |
| |
| 21 |
| E. |
| 슻 |
| 쮱 |
| ıت، |
| - |
| ai l |
| 121 |
| 2 |
| <u>م</u> |

4.4 Funding Implementation

The City has as existing Stormwater Utility Fee from which the funds will be implemented in order to design, permit, and construct recommended solutions to the stormwater focus areas. The City's projected available funding based on this Stormwater Utility Fee is approximately \$400,000 annually.

Recommended conceptual solutions that could qualify for additional funding through the SWFWMD Cooperative Funding Initiative Program, the FDEP Section 319(h) Nonpoint Source Management Grant Program, the FDEP TMDL Water Quality Restoration Grant Program, or other funding sources are shown in Table 4: Projects Eligible for Additional Funding.

Table 4: Projects Eligible for Additional Funding

| Focus Area Map ID No. | Project Location | Resolved Focus Area Map ID No. | Design & Construction Cost | ROW Acquisition Estimate | Total Cost | Discharges to Impaired Waterbody |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 33 | Jasmine Ave. & Lime St. | 33 | \$135, <u>580</u> | NA | \$135,580 | YES |
| 15 | Highland Ave. & Vista Pl. | 15 | \$145,760 | \$50,000 | \$195,760 | YES |
| 5 | Pent St. 200' east of Grosse Ave. | 5, 6, 14, 69, 4 | \$904,999 | \$150,000 | \$1,054,999 | YES |
| 9 | Disston Ave. between Spruce St. & Live Oak St. | 9, 10, 1 1 | \$667,483 | NA | \$667, <u>483</u> | YES |
| 22 | Palm Ave. between Tarpon Dr. & Gulf Rd. | 21, 22 | \$500,758 | \$350,000 | \$850,758 | YES |
| | | | | A # # A A A A A | AA AA 4 800 | |

TOTALS

\$2,354,580 \$550,000 \$2,904,580

4.5 Recommended Capital Improvements

Using the SAP Phase I score and the preliminary construction cost estimates for the conceptual solutions a cost/benefit analysis was performed to assist with determining which conceptual alternative provided the best solution to the problem for the least cost. These recommended alternatives were then implemented into a Capital Improvement Program. This program was developed using an initial stormwater account balance of approximately three million dollars and an approximate annual stormwater fee of four hundred thousand dollars. The recommended alternative design, construction, and right-of-way costs are separated per fiscal calendar year. A summary of all projects (active, completed, and future projects) are shown in Table 5: Overall Stormwater Capital Improvement Program.

4.6 Permitting

American met with SWFWMD on August 11, 2010 to discuss generally the permitting requirements in addition to discussing potential cooperative funding for several of the recommended solutions. The following are the highlights from the meeting:

- Re-routing any current closed basins to either an open basin (drains to Anclote River, Gulf of Mexico, or Lake Tarpon) or another closed basin will require demonstrating that the problem/issue is not being relocated.
- Projects that incorporate stormwater treatment options (ponds or other means – CDS units, stormceptor/others) could be eligible for District funding consideration.
- > There are no permit exemptions for the small addition of inlets/pipes to existing infrastructure.
- Individual project pre-application meetings should be held during the design phases for each project.
- > Projects will most likely be permitted individually.

Table 5: City of Tarpon Springs - Stormwater Capital Improvement Program (UPDATED 10/27/14)

| 1 | | Id | DIA 1 | 2. 01 | LY C | 21 14 | ar po | II OK | 2111V | 3 - 1 | 5101 | IIIIVA | atai | Va | JILAI | | 10 | AGUIG | GIILI | TUY | JI GUIII | TOP | Un | I has been | 10 | | Pr and | | | 77 SAIS | Concestion of the | 1.1 | 3PT 10004 | | | PTINE | | | PY and | 1 | |
|--|---------------------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|-------|------------|--------------|-------|-----------|------------------|------|------------------------|--|--|--------------|----------------|--------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|---|------------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----|------------|--|-------------------|--------|--|----------|---------|------------|------|-----------|------------|-----|--------------|
| | A | | PLER | T | | - Friday | | - | | | | - Aller | 1 | | Printer | 1 | | FLOR | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | [| | | | |
| Postus Area Dires 10 Ma. Product / accellant | Resolved Poos | . Desta | | - | Desire | - Course | Brad | Conten | Const | HON . | Dealers | - | RCM | Dashes | Canad | INCOM. | Owleth | Ownet | INCOM. | Design | Game | BOR | Deales | (Derar). | 10071 | Dealers | Dent | HOW | Desim | Genel | 19CHY | Denico | QUINS | ROW | Prim | Deart | BOR | Oreine | Orent | NON | OP Telef |
| Comparison of the second | artist sings of the | | | 1947 | - Hanna | - | | - Meen | | CROOT | - trained | | | | | | 1 | | T | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | - | | | 1 | | | | | | | 194419 |
| The surger of Charten Dr. & Annothin Fill. | - | 1 | 1 | - | CHR.4 | 1 | 1 | | COMER | | | - | - | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | T | | | 175,000 |
| 191 Alexandre With St. S. Accession, Did. | | + | | | 18.49 | 1 | | - | 10.01 | | | | - | - | | | - | + | | - | | | | - | - | - | | | | | <u>.</u> | | | | | | | | | | BELOW1 |
| 71 Winnehân Dr. & Hillebie De. | 71/8 | - | - | 1 | FRA.74 | + | - | | 683,000 | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| 4 diama B. A Reference Birds | | - | - | - | 191.40 | - | - | - | 1 | | _ | | - | - | | | - | | - | | | | | | | - | | _ | | | _ | | | | | - | 1 | - | 1 | | No. |
| 1982 Minutes dis. di Minutes dis. | - | 1 10 | | + | 97.68 | 838-050 | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | - | - | - | | | | | | _ | - | - | | - | | + | | | |
| a dial in sec 107 in . | | - | RELIER | - | | - | | | | | | C | | | | | | + | | - | | | | - | 1 | - | - | | | | | | - | | - | - | | + | - | + + | MEAN |
| R Regional Ass. & Visio PL | 18 | | | | | - | - | DAM. | | da.m | | 1110.000 | | | | | | | - | - | | | | | - | - | _ | | | | | | | - | - | | - | + | | | PERTER |
| 33 Hearting Are, & Lines 45. | | | 1 | | | | | 107.00 | 100.000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 100 | | | | | | | - | | - | | | - | + | | ++ | 2756.099 |
| 16 Bell K. Bellint An. Aller | 540 | | | | | | | 611.000 | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | - | | _ | - | + | | 605,048 |
| 10 Status des sents of instinue its | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | - | | | | 100.000 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ě. | | | | | 1 | | | 101.018 |
| 75 Rissandito (is, et; destinenzes (is, | 79 | | | | | | | | | | 010.768 | | | | 894.778 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | - | | 129471 |
| . Paul 8. 207 and of feature Jan. | 5.8.25.68.4 | | | | | 0 | | | | | 110.07 | - | | | | 100.00 | | MRC/CR | | | 0155.535 | | | 694.65 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | |
| R Klinster for, & Daster få. | L 17. 10. 104 | | | | | C. | | | | | | | | 1994.317 | - | | | - | | | 8946.274 | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | MILIN |
| B Statute St. SW value of Paralle Are. | 28 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | (m.21) | | | | 1100.000 | | | | | - | | | | | | | - | _ | | | | | - | | MAR |
| | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| 18 Loris Atto, Alternationis, Atto, Italianas, Libra R. S. Statewood R. | 16,58 | 12 | - | | | - | 1 | | | - | | _ | - | | | | 648,139 | | | - | COLLARS | | | | | | | | _ | | | | 14 | - | | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | | And the |
| 28 Barrison Ris, between Locke Ales, 5 Bittone Ales. | - 10 | - | - | | - | - | - | | | - | | _ | - | - | - | - | - | | - | \$95,370 | | | | 9194,409 | - | | | | | | | | | - | | | | - | | 1 | TIPLER |
| 67 Recently View at Innelials De | 85.78 | 1 | | - | | | - | | | | | _ | | - | | | | | - | 400,000 | | 83-6,1992 | <u>, </u> | | - | - | GALIRO | | | | | | | | | | - | - | - | 1 | 644,94 |
| B Ellenten forn, Indones: Sproen 26. S Line Oak 26. | 1.18.91 | _ | 1 | 1.50 | | | | | - | - | | | | <u> </u> | | | | - | - | - | | | 107,041 | - | - | | CERTIFIC | | | 1021-002 | - | | | - | | - | | | + | + + | _ MR.AN |
| M Robert Annu Ballances Tampies Dr. 8 Golf Rd. | 15.22 | 1 | | | | | - | | | | | _ | | | | | | 1000 | | | | | | | | | | | - | _ | | _ | | 6289.484 | - | | 1000 | 4- | 546L-198 | | 1992.239 |
| to Rath Barrow Reg. | | | | | BLACK. | | | | | | _ | _ | 2 | | | | | _ | - | 1 | | | | 1 | - | | | | | | | _ | | - | | | | | - | | |
| Cara Company | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | · · | | | M3.634 |
| Charles and the second s | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 18 | | 34 | | 1 | | | | | | | | 9 | 2 | | | | | | 1 | | | | - | | | PANH |
| | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | " | | 8 | | | | | | | 1 m | | | - | | | | | 1.1 | | | | | | 1 10- | | | 84.895 |
| | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | - | | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| Contraction of the local division of the state of the sta | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | - | | | | | | 1 | | | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 88.448 |
| A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC | | | + | | | 1 | | | | | - | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 10.40s |
| | 10.00-000 | - | - | | | - | - | | | - | - | | - | | | | - | - | 1 | | | | - | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | design Table |
| 18 Annual Annual of Party Statements of Party Statements | 10.1%.99 | - | 1 | | | - | - | - | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | - | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | - | | | - | | | |
| and the new participation of the first | | - | - | | - | | | - | | | - | - | | | | - | 1 | - | - | - | | | | - | - | | | | 10000 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A Malan Are brown Pages that & Lots Its | | - | - | | | <u> </u> | - | 1 | | - | - | _ | | | | | | - | | | | | | - | - | | | - | | - | - | - | | - | | | 8 | | 1 | | RHOMET - |
| II PROFESSION IN CONTRACTOR | | | 18 | | | | - | | | - | - | | _ | | 1.20 | | - | | - | - | _ | | | 1 | | - | | | 14 | - | | | - | 1 | | | | 1- | <u> </u> | | |
| | - | | - | | _ | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | - | | | | | _ | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | - | + | <u>+</u> | | |
| - A Anna and and a farmer ba | | 1 | | | _ | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | 1 | | - | | | _ | _ | | | | - | - | | | | | 90 |
| a la manage | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | 5 | | - | | 5996,001 |
| NA Mor Setvices | | P16.955 | | | - | | | 995,655 | | | 100.000 | | | 103,004 | | | | | | 985,693 | | | (880,000 | | | - | | | 100,000 | | | Hart | | | 100.000 | | | 100,000 | - | | - |
| | | | auto auto | | | | | | | | | | 2 C | | | | | | | | | | | 1.10 | | | | | - 1 | - | | | | | | | - | | | | 67.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 101.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | 9 | | 1 | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 158,000 |
| | - | | Planet | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | - | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | - |
| LA Deservation dell'historica | 1 | - | - | | | | | - | | | - | 977 | - | | | | 9 | - | | | | - 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | 100.000 |
| | - | | 198,499 | | | - | | | | - | - | | | | | | | - | 1 | | | | | £ | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | - | | | | 244 |
| MAReak AL Winds of Alls 19 | | | | | / | | - | | | - | - | _ | | | | 1.27.1 | | - | - | - | | - | Nº. | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | | |
| Not | - | 101.000 | 175.00 | 813,698 | | 14 | - | | | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | _ | - | - | | | | | | - | - | | | STILLING |
| | | | | 449.000 | Acres and | | | BASING COM | | | - | - | | Freed war | - | | - | 4899.44 | | 697.44 | 1 | 899,944 | HERALA | 1006.175 | | 885,389 | 8898,679 | | press, max | - | | - | | 1038,000 | 800,994 | | | 300,000 | \$461,135 | 80 | |
| | | and back | 11,128,000 | UNLING I | 8177,309 | 041,500 | | Literan | frank and 1 | | an IV-sea | ha and and | | Name of the local data | the set of the set | Transferrer | Conception . | and and | _ | | | 1 | 1 | Casa #20 | | | 17.1907 | | - | NATE THE | Sec. 1 | | B029 | | | \$27K | - | Transie I | \$7/2.4ml | 1 | |
| 97 CM (M. | | | 32.972.000 | | | 49.U.42.594 | | | CT 2000 4110 | | | D 4.0405.4.06 | | | Carl Street or a | and the second distance of the second distanc | | Train and | | | A COMPANY - | | | STRINGS! | | | tore the | | | | | | and the second second | | | - Data and | | | | | 68.072.601 |
| Trans Trans () providently | | | ALC: NO. | | | STREET, STORE | | | | | | and so its | | | SHCEP. | | | an train | | | ALC: NO | | | A PRILE | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| Balance Available for Heat Year | | | H.484,888 | and the second | | 81,888,448 | | | 1,000,000 | | | 81,888,848 | | - | 101.101.100 | | | \$916,821 | | | 6301,584 | | Weeks | 2224,047 | 1 | | \$28,775 | | 1.0 | 100,000 | | | **** | | | \$372,008 | | | 1001,480 | | |
| Considential United Stand In the CP | 7 | | - | T | - | | | - | | T | | AL 913 47 | | | | T | | MTH/P | | | N. 438. 914 | T | 1 | 87.108.1/5 | e 10 | a think a | 1.773,826 | I | - | 8,177,384 | | | 14,477,200 | - 191 | | 88,817,394 | - | | 88,118,591 | | |
| AND MADE IN THE MANY OF ADALES IN CASE AND | | 1 | \$4,173,19K | | - | 100,000,000 | | | THE PART OF | _ | | and and a second | | | and a state of the | _ | - | and the second | | | and the second second | | | | | | | | | and the second s | | | and the second s | | | | | 1 | | | |

15

5.0 Completed Projects

5.1 Record of Completed Projects

As projects are completed/constructed, the stormwater SAP will be updated to show a track record of completed projects. Table 6 below is the summary of completed projects. Completed project description, conceptual layout & cost estimate can be found in Appendix D.

Table 6: Summary of Completed Projects

| Project Map ID No. | Project Location | Fiscal Year Completed | Total Cost | Notes |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| 1 B | Disston Ave. South of Tarpon Ave. | 2013 | \$680,762 | |
| 3 | Walton Ave. between Tarpon Ave. & Lime St. | 2012 | \$193,577 | |
| 7 | Pinellas Trail Outfall Ditch (Safford Rec Park) | 2013 | \$541,574 | |
| 18 | Hibiscus St. & Park St. | 2013 | \$90,110 | |
| 62 | Roosevelt Blvd. | 2011 | \$315,961 | |
| 70 | East end of Boston St. | 2013 | \$47,300 | Lowe's Dev. |
| 31 | Huey Ave. north of Tarpon Ave. | 2013 | \$31,700 | CTS Maintenance |
| NA | Chesapeake Drive | 2011 | \$184,459 | |
| NA | MLK Drive Technical Memorandum | 2011 | \$19,041 | |
| NA | S.Disston Ave. Pond Treatment Memorandum | 2011 | \$4,050 | |
| NA | Charlotte Ave. Evaluation/Exhibit | 2011 | \$948 | |
| NA | City Golf Course Evaluation | 2011 | \$32,455 | |
| NA | Gulf Road | 201 1 | \$57,545 | |

Appendix A

Recommended Solutions, Problem Descriptions, and Cost Estimates

> City of Tarpon Springs Stormwater Action Plan Phase II

0

0

Map ID No. 2 - Disston Ave. & Center St.

Problem:

Intersection is located in a closed basin; however, the low point is along the west side of Disston Ave. where it intersects with Center St. There is no stormwater infrastructure for the intersection. Ponding occurs within the entire intersection and with heavy or long duration storm events the intersection floods as well as adjacent properties. Several adjacent property owners have constructed earthen berms in the right-of-way to prevent flooding of their property.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

This conceptual solution would require installing a series of inlets at the intersection with a storm sewer collection system that would be routed to the west along Center St. At Levis Ave. the system would discharge into an existing dry detention system. This section of Center St. has been listed by the City as a future brick street replacement project therefore this system could be installed conventionally along the centerline of Center St. The existing City-owned dry detention pond would require an expansion. This conceptual solution would require a deep pipe installation approximately 14 to 16 feet deep due to the topography of Center St. between Levis Ave. and Disston Ave. An analysis to determine whether the pond expansion could provide the additional volume needed to accommodate the additional stormwater runoff would be required.

Option 2

This conceptual solution would require installing a series of inlets at the intersection with a storm sewer collection system that would be routed to the east along Center St. At Walton Ave. the storm sewer and inlet system would continue to the east and discharge into the existing cypress swamp located at the east end of Center St. Inlets would be placed at the Walton Ave. & Center St. intersection and just south of this intersection within the low point along Walton Ave. (Map ID No. 28A – Walton Ave. & Center St.). The main trunk system along Center St. at Walton Ave. could be sized to convey stormwater runoff collected by future extension of the system that would be installed along Pent St. between Disston Ave. and Walton Ave. (Map ID No. 17 - Pent St. between Disston Ave. & Walton Ave.) as well as along Cypress St. between Disston Ave. & Walton Ave.). This conceptual solution would require a deep pipe installation approximately 8 to 10 feet deep due to the topography of Center St. between Disston Ave. and Walton Ave. An analysis to determine whether the cypress swamp can handle the additional runoff would be required.

Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| MAP ID NO. 2: (OPTION 2 - INCLUDES MAP ID NO. 28A): DISSTON AVE. AND CENTER ST. | | | 09/04/2014 | | |
|---|--|----------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$27,275.00 | \$27,275.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 384 | LF | \$16.00 | \$8,144.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,270 | LF | \$1.00 | \$1,270.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.362 | AC | \$23,382.09 | \$8,457.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 14,100 | SF . | \$4.75 | \$66,975.00 |
| 6 | MANHOLE | 2 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$8,400.00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 12 | EA | \$5,706.33 | \$88,476.00 |
| 8 | CONCRETE CLASS I. ENDWALLS | 4.53 | CY | \$1,351.95 | \$6,124.00 |
| 9 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 841 | LF | \$67.08 | \$58,414.00 |
| 10 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-38" | 262 | LF | \$138.14 | \$36,183.00 |
| 11 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 293 | SY | \$40.71 | \$11,928.00 |
| 12 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 759 | SY | \$3.13 | \$2,376.00 |

MAP ID NO. 2 CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL \$300,0

| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Unita | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|--|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$11,852.00 | \$11,852.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 64 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 515 | LF | \$1.00 | \$515.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.145 | AC | \$23,362.09 | \$3,388.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 6,204 | SF | \$4.75 | \$29,489.00 |
| 6 | MANHOLE | 1 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$4,200.00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$5,708.33 | \$11,413.00 |
| 8 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 240 | LF | \$87.08 | \$16,099.00 |
| 9 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-36" | 269 | LF | \$138.14 | \$39,922.00 |
| 10 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 281 | SY | \$40.71 | \$11,440.00 |
| 11 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 337 | SY | \$3.13 | \$1,055.00 |

| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|--|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$9,440.00 | \$9,440.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 64 | LF | \$18.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 537 | LF | \$1.00 | \$537.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.152 | AC | \$23,352.09 | \$3,551.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 6,468 | SF | \$4.75 | \$30,729.00 |
| 6 | MANHOLE | 1 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$4,200.00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$5,708.33 | \$11,413.00 |
| 8 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24", | 551 | LF | \$67.08 | \$38,961.00 |
| 9 | CONCRETE CURB | 293 | LF | \$18.70 | \$4,893.00 |
| 10 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 351 | SY | \$3.13 | \$1,099.00 |

MAP ID NO. 17 CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL \$103,841.00

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$534,250.0 |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$133,600.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$687,850.00 |
| SURVEY | \$20,036.04 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$10,018.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$120,213.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$818,117.00 |
| | |

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 10/01/2013 to 09/30/2014. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the costs of Right-of-Way Acquisition or Permitting.

Map ID No. 5 - Pent St. 200' east of Grosse Ave.

Problem:

This is a low spot within the road with no stormwater infrastructure. Street and property flooding is occurring according to City staff. Property damage is also occurring at 428 Pent St. causing the City to install pumps to address the problem several times throughout the wet season.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

The conceptual solution would involve installing a storm sewer collection system along Grosse Ave., utilizing the City-owned property at the northeast corner of the intersection of Grosse Ave. and Cypress St. for a stormwater pond, extending the system east along Pent St. and then north through an easement prior to discharging into the existing dry detention stormwater management facility currently serving the Tarpon Springs Elementary School. The existing dry detention stormwater management facility currently serving the Tarpon Springs Elementary School would require an expansion.

This conceptual system could resolve the following five flooding locations: Map ID No. 4 - Tarpon Ave. 100' east of Grosse Ave., Map ID No. 5 - Pent St. 200' east of Grosse Ave., Map ID No. 6 - Cypress St. 200' east of Grosse Ave., Map ID No. 14 - Grosse Ave. between Pine St. & Orange St., and Map ID No. 69 – Pent St. 100' east of Levis Ave.

The proposed system would begin with 2 inlets being installed along Tarpon Ave. The storm sewer system would convey the runoff from this location (Map ID No. 4 - Tarpon Ave. 100' east of Grosse Ave.) to the north through a proposed easement. This system would then be directed to the west towards Grosse Ave. within the right-of-way between Tarpon Ave. and Orange St. Grosse Ave. After reaching Grosse Ave. the storm system would turn north along Grosse Ave. Additional inlets would be installed at the Grosse Ave. and Orange St. intersection and approximately half the distance between Orange St. and Cypress St. The storm sewer system would convey the runoff from this location (Map ID No. 14 - Grosse Ave. between Pine St. & Orange St.) to the north along Grosse Ave. up to Cypress St. where it would enter a structure with a diversion weir on the northeast corner at the intersection of Cypress St. and Grosse Ave. This diversion weir structure would divert low stormwater flows into a proposed wet detention stormwater pond utilizing the City-owned property at the northeast corner at the intersection of Grosse Ave. and Cypress St. This pond will provide attenuation and some water quality treatment for the runoff prior to discharging back into the proposed system along Grosse Ave. Two inlets and storm sewer are being proposed along Cypress St. approximately 200 feet east of Grosse Ave. These inlets will replace the existing 6-inch PVC outfall into the City-owned property located at the northeast corner of the intersection of Cypress St. and Grosse Ave. and alleviate the flooding occurring at Map ID No. 6 - Cypress St. 200' east of Grosse Ave.

The diversion weir structure will divert low stormwater flows into the proposed wet detention stormwater pond but will permit high stormwater flows from larger storm events to by-pass the proposed pond and continue north along Grosse Ave. At the intersection of Grosse Ave. and Pent St. the system would split where the main line for this system is directed east at Pent St. and two inlets are recommended approximately 200 feet east of Grosse Ave. These two inlets and the proposed stormwater pond could alleviate the flooding problem occurring at Map ID No. 5 - Pent St. 200' east of Grosse Ave. The main line storm sewer system continues east along Pent St. and then north through a proposed easement prior to discharging into the existing dry detention stormwater management facility currently serving the Tarpon Springs Elementary School. An extension of the storm sewer system could be constructed to the east along Pent St. where two inlets are recommended to alleviate the flooding occurring at Map ID No. 69 – Pent St. 100' east of Levis Ave. Where the main line of the storm sewer system is split at the intersection of Grosse Ave. and Pent St. a smaller outfall pipe is proposed along Grosse Ave. to connect into the existing storm sewer system serving the Grosse Ave. and Pine St. intersection. This split would serve two purposes; it will provide a higher discharge capacity for the proposed system for large storm events and possibly reduce the required pipe size for the outfall of the main line located within the proposed easement. Additional analysis would be required to determine whether or not the proposed connection to the existing storm sewer system serving the Grosse Ave. and Pine St. intersection would require further downstream modifications to the existing system.

An expansion of the existing dry detention stormwater management facility that currently serves the Tarpon Springs Elementary School would be required. There are several options/configurations for expanding this existing pond to provide the required volume for attenuation and treatment of the additional stormwater from the proposed stormwater collection system. The proposed pond utilizing the City-owned property at the northeast corner at the intersection of Grosse Ave. and Cypress St. will provide some attenuation and treatment for the stormwater runoff thus reducing the overall expansion needed at the existing dry detention stormwater management facility that currently serves the Tarpon Springs Elementary School. Coordination with the School Board to develop an optimal pond expansion that fits the school's future land use plans would be required along with a Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) ERP permit modification.

C

0

Stomwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| 9id Hem No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
|-------------|---|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| 4 | OVATUETIC BALER | 84 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| - | STAKED SILT FENCE TYPE III | 1,630 | LF | \$1.00 | \$1,630.00 |
| | CI SADING & OPUBBING | 0,990 | AC | \$23,352.09 | \$23,128.00 |
| | DOND EXCAVATION | 6,024 | CY | \$3.82 | \$23,012.00 |
| | BOADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 10.224 | 8F | \$4,75 | \$48,564.00 |
| | MANHOLE | 4 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$15,800.00 |
| 7 | CUBB IN ET | 2 | EA | \$5,705.83 | \$11,413.00 |
| | MITERED END SECTION | 1 | EA | \$3,347.18 | \$3,347.00 |
| 0 | DIDE CLILVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 27 | LF | 857.08 | \$1,811.00 |
| 10 | RIPE CLILVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-36" | 856 | LF | \$138.14 | \$118,248.0 |
| 11 | CONCRETE CURB | 478 | LF | \$18.70 | \$7,963.00 |
| 49 | BIDEWALK CONCRETE | 437 | BY | \$40.71 | \$17,790.00 |
| 13 | PERFORMANCE TURF. 800 | 350 | 8Y | \$3.13 | \$1,098.00 |

| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Unite | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|--|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| 4 | SYNTHETIC BALER | 64 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| | STAKED BILT FENCE TYPE III | 190 | LF | \$1.00 | \$190.00 |
| 4 | | 0,083 | AC | \$23,352.09 | \$1,472.00 |
| 4 | BOATHAY DECONSTRUCTION | 2,760 | 8F | \$4.75 | \$13,110.00 |
| | | 2 | EA | \$5,708.33 | \$11,413.00 |
| 0 | DIDE OULVERT REINFORCED CONORETE 0-24" | 250 | LF | \$57.08 | \$18,428.00 |
| 4 | CONODETE CLIDR | 190 | LF | \$16.70 | \$3,173.00 |
| | SUDGWALK CONCRETE | 108 | 6Y | \$40.71 | \$4,315.00 |
| 0 | DEDEADNANCE THRE SOD | 84 | BY | \$3.13 | \$263.00 |

| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|---|-----------------|--------|---------------|-------------|
| | OVATUETIC BALES | 95 | UF | \$10.00 | \$1,538.00 |
| | STAKED SILT FENCE TYPE III | 1,300 | UF. | \$1.00 | \$1,300.00 |
| | CI CADINO & GRUBBING | 0.184 | AC | \$23,352.09 | \$3,131.00 |
| | BOND EXCAVATION | 6,944 | CY | \$3.82 | \$26,526.00 |
| | BOADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 4,224 | BF | 84.75 | \$20,064.00 |
| - | MANIFOLE | 2 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$8,400.00 |
| 7 | INTCH ACTTOM INLET | 1 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$2,500.00 |
| | CIDE INIET | 2 | EA | \$5,796.33 | \$11,413.0 |
| 0 | MITERED END SECTION | 1 | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 10 | DIDE CULIVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE 0-24" | 415 | LF | \$67.08 | \$27,838.0 |
| 44 | CONCRETE CURR | 348 | LF | \$16.70 | \$5,812.00 |
| | | 193 | BY | \$40.71 | \$7,857.00 |
| 14 | DEREORMANCE TURE SOD | 155 | BY | \$3,13 | \$485.00 |
| 19 | It must determine the family set | MAP ID NO. 6 CH | NSTRUC | TION BUBTOTAL | \$118,862.0 |

| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | AVMTHETIC BALER | 64 | UF | \$18.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| | GTAKED SILT FENCE TYPE III | 549 | LF | \$1.00 | \$549.00 |
| | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.150 | AC | \$23,362.09 | \$3,504.00 |
| | BOADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 5,985 | 8F | \$4.75 | \$25,443.00 |
| | MANUCI E | 1 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$4,200.00 |
| | INVERSION REPLICIT IRF | 1 1 | EA | \$6,200.00 | \$5,200.00 |
| | | 2 | EA | \$5,706.83 | \$11,413.00 |
| 8 | MITERED END SECTION | 1 | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 0 | DIDE OULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE 0-24 | 519 | LF | \$67.08 | \$34,816.00 |
| 10 | CONCRETE CLIDE | 461 | LF | \$16.70 | \$7,632.00 |
| 10 | | 251 | BY | \$40.71 | \$10,218.00 |
| 11 | ISCREADWANCE TIRE SOD | 269 | BY | \$3.13 | 8811.00 |

MAP ID NO. 4: TARPON AVE. 100" EAST OF GROSSE AVE.

| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|---|----------------|----------|---------------|-------------|
| | MORE TATION | - 1 | LB | \$3,780.00 | \$3,750.00 |
| | | 64 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| | ISTAKED BILT FENCE, TYPE III | 78 | LF | \$1.00 | \$78.00 |
| 4 | C FARING & GRUBBING | 0.033 | AC | \$23,382.09 | \$771.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 1,200 | GF | \$3.76 | \$4,500.00 |
| A | CURPINLET | 2 | EA | \$5,706.33 | \$11,413.00 |
| 7 | SUBBURFACE BTORAGE SYSTEM | 1.00 | LB | \$10,000,00 | \$10,000.00 |
| | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24* | 52 | LF | \$67.08 | \$3,488.00 |
| 8 | CONCRETE CURB | 78 | LF | \$16.70 | \$1,303.00 |
| 10 | BIDEWALK CONCRETE | 43 | 8Y | \$40.71 | \$1,751.00 |
| 11 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 36 | 6Y | \$3.13 | \$110.00 |
| | | MAPID NO. 4 CO | DINSTRUC | TION BUBTOTAL | \$38,168.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$592,983.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| MOBILZATION | \$35,580.00 |
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$148,249.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$775,822 |
| SURVEY | \$34,967.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$15,837.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$77,688.00 |
| ROW | \$180,000.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$1,054,999 |

09/04/2014

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 10/01/2013 to 69/30/2014. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the costs of Right-of-Wey Acquisition or Permitting.

Map ID No. 9 - Disston Ave. between Spruce St. & Live Oak St.

Problem:

Low spot along Disston Ave. collects runoff which creates ponding to occur in roadway and residential yards. There is no stormwater infrastructure at this location. Runoff flows to the west into a wetland prior to discharging under Live Oak St. and the Pinellas Trail $(3 - 19^{\circ} \times 30^{\circ} \text{ ERCP})$ toward the Anclote River.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

The conceptual solution would involve installing three inlets and storm sewer pipe along Disston Ave. to collect and convey the stormwater runoff into the wetland west of Disston Ave. During a field review we were able to speak with the owner of the property (733 Disston Ave.) who said that he would work with the City to grant a drainage easement for the outfall pipe into the wetland.

Option 2

The conceptual solution would involve installing three inlets and storm sewer pipe along Disston Ave. to collect and convey the stormwater runoff into the wetland west of Disston Ave. During an additional field review we were able to speak with the owner of the property (733 Disston Ave.) who said that he would work with the City to grant a drainage easement for the outfall pipe into the wetland. The proposed pipe sizes for this option are larger than those in Option 1 to allow for solving multiple upstream problem areas as indicated below.

This conceptual solution has been developed so that a future expansion of the storm sewer system could be incorporated in order to resolve two additional flooding problem areas, Map ID No. 10 - Spruce St. between Disston Ave. & Walton Ave. and Map ID No. 11 - Boston St. between Disston Ave. & Walton Ave.

() Stantec

0

0

Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| 3ld Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|--|-------------------------|---------|---------------|-------------|
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 98 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,536.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 452 | LF | \$1.00 | \$452.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.105 | AC | \$23,362.09 | \$2,453.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 1,008 | SF | \$4.75 | \$4,788.00 |
| 6 | MANHOLE | 1 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$4,200.00 |
| 7 | CURB INLET | 3 | EA | \$5,708.33 | \$17,119.00 |
| 8 | MITERED END SECTION | 1 | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 9 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-36" | 275 | LF | \$67.08 | \$18,447.00 |
| 10 | CONCRETE CURB | 70 | LF | \$18.70 | \$1,169.00 |
| 11 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 39 | SY | \$40.71 | \$1,588.00 |
| 12 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 31 | SY | \$3.13 | \$97.00 |
| | MAF | PID NO. 9 (OPTION 3) CO | DNSTRUC | TION SUBTOTAL | \$53,849.00 |
| APID NO 10 | OPTION 31- SPRUCE ST. RETWEEN DISSTON AVE. & WALTO | DN AVE. | | | 09/04/20 |

| MAP ID NO. IV OF HON 37. SPROOL ST. BETHLER DIGGTOR ATE. & WALTON ATE | | | | | 00/04/2014 |
|---|--|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 84 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,370 | LF | \$1.00 | \$1,370.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.230 | AC | \$23,362.09 | \$5,373.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 3,264 | SF | \$4.75 | \$15,504.00 |
| 6 | MANHOLE | 5 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$21,000.00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$2,862.52 | \$5,725.00 |
| 8 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 184 | LF | \$87.08 | \$12,343.00 |
| 9 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-38" | 650 | LF | \$138.14 | \$89,791.00 |
| 10 | CONCRETE CURB | 260 | LF | \$16.70 | \$4,342.00 |
| 11 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 144 | SY | \$40.71 | \$5,862.00 |
| 12 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 849 | SY | \$3,13 | \$2,657.00 |

MAP ID NO. 10 (OPTION 3) CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL \$184,891.00

| MAP ID NO. 11 (OPTION 3): BOSTON ST. BETWEEN DISSTON AVE. & WALTON AVE. | | | | 09 | | |
|---|--|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|--|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount | |
| 2 | SYNTHET/C BALES | 160 | LF | \$16.00 | \$2,580.00 | |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,171 | LF | \$1,00 | \$1,171.00 | |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.337 | AC | \$23,382.09 | \$7,873.00 | |
| 5 | POND EXCAVATION | 62 | CY | \$3.82 | \$237.00 | |
| 6 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 14,676 | SF | \$4.75 | \$69,711.00 | |
| 7 | MANHOLE | 4 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$18,800.00 | |
| 8 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 5 | EA | \$2,862.52 | \$14,313.00 | |
| 9 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 931 | LF | \$67.08 | \$62,451.00 | |
| 10 | PIPE CULVERT RE/NFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" (DEPTH >10') | 300 | 냳 | \$82.00 | \$24,600.00 | |
| 11 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 647 | SY | \$40.71 | \$26,339.00 | |
| 12 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 505 | SY | \$3.13 | \$1,581.00 | |

MAP ID NO. 11 (OPTION 3) CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL \$227,838.00

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$446,476.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| MOBILIZATION | \$22,324.00 |
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$111,619.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$580,419 |
| SURVEY | \$28,119.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$14,611.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$45,434.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$667,483 |
| | |

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 10/01/2013 to 09/30/2014. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the costs of Right-of-Way Acquisition or Permitting.

Map ID No. 15 - Highland Ave. & Vista Place

Problem:

Intersection is located within a depression and there is a stormwater collection system that collects and conveys the runoff to a City-owned stormwater pond located on the southeast corner of Boyer St. and Camelia Ave. According to the Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phase II this intersection experiences flooding up to 1 foot of water that has impacted private property during large storm events. This pond discharges to another City-owned pond located on the northeast corner of the intersection of Oakwood St. and Jasmine Ave.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

This conceptual solution would entail constructing a small wet detention pond in the northwest corner of the intersection of Highland Ave. and Lime St. The detention pond could provide flooding relief for the intersection and provide some additional water quality treatment for the stormwater runoff. Installation of a control structure and storm sewer to control and convey the discharge from the proposed pond to the existing wet detention pond located in the southeast corner of the intersection of Boyer St. and Camelia Ave. would also be required. Removal of non-native vegetation and accumulated sediment in this existing wet detention pond located in the southeast corner of the intersection of Boyer St. and Camelia Ave. is also recommended. Property acquisition or an easement would be required for the proposed wet detention pond in the northwest corner of the intersection of Highland Ave. and Lime St. An analysis would be required to determine whether or not the proposed improvements require further downstream modifications to the existing system.

Option 2

In the Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phase II it was shown that the existing collection system for the Highland Ave. and Vista Pl. intersection is undersized. This conceptual solution would involve upsizing this collection system to an equivalent 36-inch culvert as recommended in the Phase II study. In addition, a retaining wall could be constructed around the perimeter of the existing wet detention pond located in the southeast corner of the intersection of Boyer St. and Camelia Ave. The perimeter retaining wall would provide additional storage volume in addition to providing additional water quality treatment for the stormwater runoff. The improvements to the pond could also require modification or replacement of the existing control structure. Removal of non-native vegetation and accumulated sediment in this existing wet detention pond is also recommended. Property acquisition would not be required for this conceptual solution. An analysis would be required to determine whether or not the proposed improvements require further downstream modifications to the existing system.

Additional Notes (Map ID No. 15 & 33):

This system is part of a larger stormwater system that serves the residential area south of Court St., between Japonica Ave. and Highland Ave. A conceptual solution that is

Stormwater Problem and Conceptual Solution Descriptions

similar to alternative one as described in the Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phase II is also presented. This conceptual solution is based on improvements throughout the entire stormwater collection and conveyance system that could also resolve flooding that is occurring at the Map ID No. 33 - Jasmine Ave. & Lime St. location. This conceptual solution would discharge to the south into the existing wetland via proposed storm drain. A spreader swale is also recommended at the discharge point. Due to possible wetland impacts at the discharge location wetland mitigation may be required for this conceptual solution.

Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| HAP ID NO. 16 (OPTION 1): HIGHLAND AVE. AND VISTA PLACE | | | 09/04/2014 | | |
|---|--|----------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$8,410.00 | \$8,410.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 160 | LF | \$16.00 | \$2,560.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 565 | LF | \$1.00 | \$565.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.193 | AC | \$23,352.09 | \$4,509.00 |
| 5 | POND EXCAVATION | 2,073 | CY | \$3.82 | \$7,919.00 |
| 8 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 1,655 | SF | \$4.75 | \$7,881.00 |
| 7 | MANHOLE | 2 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$8,400.00 |
| 8 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 5 | EA | \$2,882.52 | \$14,313.00 |
| 9 | MITERED END SECTION | 2 | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$4,000.00 |
| 10 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 314 | LF | \$67.08 | \$21,083.00 |
| 11 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-36" | 30 | LF | \$138.14 | \$4,144.00 |
| 12 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 827 | SY | \$3.13 | \$1,963.00 |
| 13 | MISC. WET DETENTION POND MAINTENANCE | 1 | LS | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$67,700.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$21,900.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$108,600.00 |
| SURVEY | \$5,480.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$3,280.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$27,400.00 |
| ROW | \$50,000.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$195,760.00 |

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 10/01/2013 to 09/30/2014. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the costs of Right-of-Way Acquisition or Permitting.

Problem:

There is a low point along Palm Ave. with no stormwater infrastructure. Street and private property flooding is occurring.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

This conceptual solution would require installing two ditch bottom inlets within the rightof-way of Palm Ave. at the low point in the road (adjacent to private residence at 712 Palm Ave.). This proposed system would extend west along Palm Ave. and cross under Tarpon Dr. After crossing under Tarpon Dr. the system would turn south to Gulf Rd. where it would then turn to the west and connect into an existing storm sewer system along Gulf Rd. New inlets and pipe would replace the existing 6-inch underdrain system currently serving this portion of Gulf Rd. and adjacent offsite area. The existing stormwater system on Gulf Rd. would require an analysis to determine whether or not the increased flow will require further downstream modifications to the existing system.

The proposed system along Tarpon Dr. and Gulf Rd. could be sized to provide flooding relief to existing stormwater focus area Map ID No. 21 - Palm Ave. between Tarpon Dr. and Glades Ave. A future extension along Palm Ave. from this trunk line on Tarpon Dr. could be constructed to resolve the flooding issue at this location. Again, the existing storm drain system on Gulf Rd. would require an analysis to determine whether or not the increased flow will require further downstream modifications to the existing system.

Option 2

This conceptual solution would require installing two ditch bottom inlets within the rightof-way of Palm Ave. at the low point in the road (adjacent to private residence at 712 Palm Ave.). This proposed system would extend west along Palm Ave. and to Tarpon Dr. At Tarpon Dr. the system would turn south and run along the east side of Tarpon Dr. and cross under Gulf Rd. where it would continue south and discharge into a proposed wet retention stormwater pond. Property acquisition would be required for the proposed wet retention stormwater pond. The proposed pond could provide flooding relief in addition to water quality treatment. The proposed system along Tarpon Dr. could be sized to provide flooding relief to existing stormwater focus area Map ID No. 21 - Palm Ave. between Tarpon Dr. and Glades Ave. A future extension along Palm Ave. from this trunk line along Tarpon Dr. could be constructed to resolve the flooding issue at this location.

Stantec

Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|--|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 64 | LF | \$18.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| 2 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,598 | LF | \$1.00 | \$1,598.00 |
| 3 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.791 | AC | \$23,382.09 | \$18,479.00 |
| 4 | POND EXCAVATION | 3,377 | CY | \$3.82 | \$12,900.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 10,464 | SF | \$4.75 | \$49,704.00 |
| 6 | MANHOLE | 5 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$21,000.00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 3 | EA | \$2,882.52 | \$8,588.00 |
| 8 | MITERED END SECTION | 2 | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$4,000.00 |
| 9 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 270 | LF | \$67.08 | \$18,112.00 |
| 10 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-36" | 701 | LF | \$138.14 | \$96,836.00 |
| 11 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 80 | SY | \$40.71 | \$3,257.00 |
| 12 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 3,180 | SY . | \$3.13 | \$9,953,00 |

MAP ID NO. 21 (OPTION 2): PALM AVE. BETWEEN TARPON DR. & GLADES AVE. 09/04/2014 Bid Item No. Description Quantity Units Unit Price Amount SYNTHETIC BALES 64 LF \$16.00 \$1,024.00 1 500 LF \$1.00 0.152 AC \$23,362.09 6,624 SF \$4.75 \$500.00 2 STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III \$3,551.00 \$31,484.00 CLEARING & GRUBBING 3 4 ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION 2 EA \$4,200.00 MANHOLE \$8,400.00 5 Z EA \$4,200.00 \$8,400.00 2 EA \$2,662.52 \$6,725.00 552 LF \$87.06 \$37,028.00 64 SY \$40.71 \$2,805.00 333 SY \$3,13 \$1,042.00 MAP ID NO. 21 (OPTION 2) CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL \$61,339.00 DITCH BOTTOM INLET 8 PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" SIDEWALK CONCRETE (PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD 7 8

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$336,790.00 |
|-----------------------|---|
| MOBILIZATION | \$20,208.00 |
| 28% CONTINGENCY | \$84,197.50 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$441,190 |
| SURVEY | \$15,442.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$8,824.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$35,298.00 |
| ROW | \$350,000.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$850,768 |
| | the second se |

Notes:

1. Unit Prices based on FDOT item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 10/01/2013 to 08/30/2014. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the costs of Right-of-Way Acquisition or Permitting.


Map ID No. 24B - Bath St./Shaddock Ave. Alley

Problem:

According to City staff backyard flooding is occurring along this alleyway and during the summer months this problem area creates an insect (mosquito) problem. When improvements to Bath St. were previously constructed, a stub out into the alley from the storm sewer system along Bath St. was constructed for future use to assist in alleviating the flooding in this area.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

The existing stormwater infrastructure along Bath St. has been stubbed out with a 15" pipe extending into the east entrance of the alley from Bath St. A system of ditch bottom inlets and connecting storm sewer is recommended along the north-south portion of the alley and could tie into the existing infrastructure along Bath St. to alleviate this flooding and insect problem.



Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate MAP ID NO. 24B: BATH ST. / SHADDOCK AVE. ALLEY

| MAP ID NO. 24B: BATH ST. / SHADDOCK AVE. ALLEY | | | 09/04/2014 | | |
|--|---|----------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$5,890.00 | \$5,890.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 84 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,024,00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,052 | LF | \$1.00 | \$1,052,00 |
| - 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.145 | AC | \$23,382.09 | \$3,388,00 |
| 6 | MANHOLE | 1 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$4,200,00 |
| 8 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$2,882.52 | \$5,725.00 |
| 7 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 528 | LF | \$87.08 | \$35,284.00 |
| 8 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 701 | SY | \$3.13 | \$2,184.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$58,500,00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$14,700.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$73,300.00 |
| SURVEY | \$3,700.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$2,200.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$18,600.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$95,600,00 |



Map ID No. 25 - Levis Ave. Alleyway

Problem:

Flooding is occurring in the backyards of the residents along Oakwood St. between Levis Ave. and Grosse St. It appears that this alleyway historically conveyed stormwater to the west where there is currently a stormwater pond that serves the Mt. Herman Missionary Baptist Church on Lime St. The alley does not have stormwater infrastructure or a positive outfall. There is an easement along the alley that could be utilized to install a stormwater collection system.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

Currently, the existing ditch bottom inlet on Levis Ave. discharges to an underdrain system that according to City staff is most likely clogged and non-functional (please see Map ID No. 20 - Levis Ave. between Lime St. & Oakwood St.). The conceptual solution would include installing a storm sewer system beginning at the existing inlet on Levis Ave. and installing two ditch bottom inlets and storm sewer system along the alley that would discharge into the existing pond currently serving the Mt. Herman Missionary Baptist Church. This proposed system could resolve the flooding that is occurring along the Levis Ave. alley in addition to the flooding as identified in Map ID No. 20 - Levis Ave. between Lime St. & Oakwood St. The existing stormwater pond currently serving the Mt. Herman Missionary Baptist Church would require expansion to accommodate the additional runoff. This proposed expansion of the pond would also require the circular drive within the church property to be modified. An easement over the proposed pond may be desired for proper maintenance.

Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| ald them blo | Description | Ouentling | Links | Linit Rrico | Amount |
|---------------|---|-----------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| pig item reo. | Description | quarity | Grands | OTHER THOSE | Allount |
| 1 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 84 | LF | \$18.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| 2 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,273 | LF | \$1.00 | \$1,273.00 |
| 3 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.243 | AC | \$23,382.09 | \$5,677.00 |
| 4 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 5,916 | SF | \$3.75 | \$22,185.00 |
| 5 | MANHOLE | 2 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$8,400.00 |
| 6 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$2,862.52 | \$5,725.00 |
| 7 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 883 | LF | \$67.08 | \$59,232.00 |
| 8 | CONCRETE CURB & GUTTER, TYPE F | 493 | LF | \$16.70 | \$8,233.00 |
| 9 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 273 | SY | \$40.71 | \$11,114.00 |
| 10 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 630 | SY | \$3.13 | \$1,972.00 |

| AAP ID NO. 20 | (OPTION 2): LEVIS AVE. BETWEEN LIME ST. & OAKWO | OD ST. | | | 09/04/20 |
|---------------|---|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 2 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 448 | LF | \$1.00 | \$448.00 |
| 3 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.064 | AC | \$23,382.09 | \$1,485.00 |
| 4 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 192 | SF | \$3.75 | \$720.00 |
| 5 | MANHOLE | 1 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$4,200.00 |
| 6 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 232 | LF | \$67.08 | \$15,563.00 |
| 7 | S/DEWALK CONCRETE | 9 | SY | \$40.71 | \$366.00 |
| 8 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 288 | SY | \$9.13 | \$901.00 |

MAP ID NO. 20 (OPTION 2) CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL \$23,693.00

| \$148,528.00 |
|--------------|
| \$14,852.80 |
| \$37,132.00 |
| \$200,613 |
| \$10,028.00 |
| \$6,015.00 |
| \$32,083.00 |
| \$248,638 |
| |



Map ID No. 29 - Spruce St. between Levis Ave. & Grosse Ave.

Problem:

This location is a low spot along Spruce St. that has no stormwater infrastructure. Street and yard flooding occurs according to the Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phase I. At the low point the City has removed a portion of the granite curb and constructed a small grassed swale in the right-of-way along the south side of the road. If the adjacent lot were to be developed flooding could be more severe at this location. Installing a collection system and connecting to the City-owned stormwater pond to the west could be considered to resolve the flooding problem.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

This conceptual solution would involve installing two ditch bottom inlets at the low point along Spruce St. with a connecting storm sewer system routed to the west along Spruce St. This system would connect into an existing stormwater system that discharges into an existing City-owned pond. Modification to the control structure at the existing Cityowned pond may be required.

Option 2

This conceptual solution would involve installing two ditch bottom inlets at the low point in Spruce St. and connecting to a ditch bottom inlet on the north side of Spruce St. east of Levis Ave. This inlet discharges to the north into the wetland located just north of Spruce St. via a 24-inch PVC pipe. An analysis would be required in order to determine if this existing outfall pipe has the sufficient capacity for the additional runoff.

Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| MAP ID NO. 29 (OPTION 2): SPRUCE ST. BETWEEN LEVIS AVE. & GROSSE AVE. | | | 09/04/2014 | | |
|---|---|----------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$8,720.00 | \$8,720.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 84 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 558 | LF | \$1.00 | \$558.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.158 | AC | \$23,352.09 | \$3,691.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 6,864 | SF | \$4.75 | \$32,804.00 |
| 6 | MANHOLE | 1 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$4,200.00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$2,882.52 | \$5,725.00 |
| 8 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 572 | LF | \$67.08 | \$38,370.00 |
| 8 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 385 | SY | \$3,13 | \$1,142.00 |

| \$96,000.00 |
|--------------|
| \$24,000.00 |
| \$120,000.00 |
| \$8,000.00 |
| \$3,600.00 |
| \$21,630.00 |
| \$151,230.00 |
| |



Map ID No. 33 - Jasmine Ave. & Lime St.

Problem:

The intersection is located in a depression with stormwater infrastructure that is in good condition. According to the Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phase II this intersection floods up to 1 foot of water and has impacted private property during higher storm events. The infrastructure conveys the runoff to a City-owned pond located along the east side of Jasmine Ave. between Oakwood St. and Lime St.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

This conceptual solution would entail modification or replacement of the existing control structure and outfall pipe. A spreader swale is also recommended at the discharge location into the wetland. Impacts to the wetland at the spreader swale location may require mitigation. Removal of non-native vegetation and accumulated sediment in this existing wet detention pond is also recommended. There appears to be two berms or grassed weirs within the pond that could be removed that would provide additional volume and water quality treatment capacity. Property acquisition would not be required for this conceptual solution. An analysis would be required to determine whether or not the proposed improvements require further upstream modifications to the existing system.

Additional Notes (Map ID No. 33):

This system is part of a larger stormwater system that serves the residential area south of Court St., between Japonica Ave. and Highland Ave. A conceptual solution that is similar to alternative one as described in the Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phase II is also presented (shown on combined Map ID No. 15 & 33, Option 1 of 1). This conceptual solution is based on improvements throughout the entire stormwater system that could also resolve flooding that is occurring at the Map ID No. 15 - Highland Ave. & Vista Pl. location.

🕥 Stantec

Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

MAP ID NO. 33 (OPTION 1): JASMINE AVE. AND LIME ST. 09/04/2014 Quantity Units **Unit Price** Bid item No. Description Атоилт MOBILIZATION 1 L8 \$11,640.00 \$11,640.00 1 \$16.00 32 \$512.00 SYNTHETIC BALES LF 2 STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III 305 LF \$1.00 \$305.00 3 50 LF \$10.00 \$500.00 4 TURBIDITY BARRIER 0.088 AC \$23,382.09 5 CLEARING & GRUBBING \$1,589.00 223 CY 1,734 CY \$852.00 \$6,824.00 REGULAR EXCAVATION \$3.82 8 \$3.82 7 POND EXCAVATION 8 ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION 2,760 SF \$4.75 \$13,110.00 1 EA \$4,200.00 \$4,200.00 9 MANHOLE
 1
 EA
 \$2,862.52
 \$2,863.00

 1
 EA
 \$2,960.00
 \$2,000.00

 1
 EA
 \$2,000.00
 \$2,000.00

 245
 LF
 \$138.14
 \$33,844.00

 120
 LF
 \$16.70
 \$2,004.00

 807
 SY
 \$3.13
 \$2,526.00

 1
 LS
 \$3,500.00
 \$3,500.00
DITCH BOTTOM INLET MITERED END SECTION 10 PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-36" 12 CONCRETE CURB PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD MISC. WET DETENTION POND MAINTENANCE 13 14 15

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$86,100.00 | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$21,600.00 | | |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$107,600.00 | | |
| SURVEY | \$5,400.00 | | |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$3,200.00 | | |
| ENGINEERING | \$19,380.00 | | |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$135,580.00 | | |



Map ID No. 35 - Disston Ave. south of Harrison St.

Problem:

There is a low point along Disston Ave. at this location with no stormwater infrastructure. According to the Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phase I minor street flooding occurs until the runoff can flow southwest into a vacant lot adjacent to a church.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

This conceptual solution would consist of adding ditch bottom inlets along Disston Ave. in the location of the low point. These inlets would connect to a proposed storm sewer system that would be routed along the south side of the Tarpon Springs Housing Authority property and discharge into a proposed dry detention pond located within Dorsett Park. A proposed control structure and outfall system for the pond would convey discharge from the pond into an outfall pipe to the west and into the storm sewer system along Levis Ave. The affected properties are City-owned, therefore; a drainage easement would not be required.

Option 2

This conceptual solution would consist of adding ditch bottom inlets along Disston Rd. in the location of the low point. These inlets would discharge into a proposed swale along the south side of the Tarpon Springs Housing Authority property which would continue along the eastern boundary of the Dorsett Park property until discharging in a proposed spreader swale located east of the existing parking lot. The spreader swale would discharge into an outfall pipe to the west and into the storm sewer system along Levis Ave. An easement would not be required since the affected properties are City-owned.



Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| MAP ID NO. 35 | (OPTION 2): DISSTON AVE. SOUTH OF HARRISON ST. | | | | 09/04/2014 |
|---------------|--|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$5,370.00 | \$5,370.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 96 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,536.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,654 | LF | \$1.00 | \$1,654.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.239 | AC | \$23,362.09 | \$5,584.00 |
| 5 | REGULAR EXCAVATION | 310 | CY | \$3.62 | \$1,184.00 |
| 8 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 240 | SF | \$4.75 | \$1,140.00 |
| 7 | MANHOLE | 1 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$4,200.00 |
| 8 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 3 | EA | \$2,882.52 | \$8,588.00 |
| 9 | MITERED END SECTION | 1 | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 10 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 309 | LF | \$67.08 | \$20,728.00 |
| 11 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 1,103 | SY | \$3.13 | \$3,452.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$55,400.00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 26% CONTINGENCY | \$13,900.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$69,300.00 |
| SURVEY | \$2,500.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$2,100.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$18,600.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$91,500.00 |



Map ID No. 39 - Coburn Dr. 100 feet west of Florida Ave.

Problem:

There is a low point on Coburn Dr. just west of the intersection with Florida Ave. with no stormwater infrastructure. Minor roadway and private property flooding appear to be occurring.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

This conceptual solution would consist of installing two ditch bottom inlets within the right-of-way at the low spot and routing the storm sewer conveyance system west. This system would outfall into a linear treatment swale located in the Coburn Dr. right-of-way prior to discharging into the Gulf of Mexico.

Option 2

This conceptual solution would involve constructing a small roadside ditch along the north side of Coburn Dr. to convey the stormwater runoff towards the west where it would be treated in a linear swale prior to discharging into the Gulf of Mexico. This conceptual solution would involve reconstruction of several residential driveways to provide positive discharge of the swale.

Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| MAP ID NO. 39 (OPTION 1): COBURN DR. 100' WEST OF FLORIDA AVE. 09/04/201 | | | 09/04/2014 | | |
|--|---|----------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$14,050.00 | \$14,050.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 64 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,026 | LF | \$1.00 | \$1,025.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.251 | AC | \$23,362.09 | \$5,864.00 |
| 5 | REGULAR EXCAVATION | 153 | CY | \$3.82 | \$584.00 |
| 8 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 480 | SF | \$4.75 | \$2,280.00 |
| 7 | MANHOLE | 3 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$12,600.00 |
| 8 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$2,862.52 | \$5,725.00 |
| 9 | MITERED END SECTION | 1 | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 10 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 860 | LF | \$67.08 | \$57,689.00 |
| 11 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 914 | SY | \$40.71 | \$37,209.00 |
| 12 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 1,462 | SY | \$3.13 | \$4,545.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$144,600.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| 26% CONTINGENCY | \$36,200.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$180,800.00 |
| SURVEY | \$9,038.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$5,400.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$24,805.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$220,040.00 |



Plat 28, 10

Map ID No. 42 - Athens St. & Dodecanese Blvd.

Problem:

Street flooding occurs at the intersection and is most likely attributed to the tidal influences from the Anclote River. Infrastructure is in good condition and appears to be adequately sized. A field visit on June 23, 2009 during high tide (3.6 ft @ 1:07 PM; Datum – Cedar Key MLLW) found the inlets at the intersection completely filled with water from the river. According to the closest tide station (Station ID 872-6905) the Mean Low Water (MLW) and the Mean High Water (MHW) elevations are -1.27 feet and 0.82 feet, respectively. These elevations are based on the NAVD 88 datum.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

This conceptual solution would include replacing the inlets at the intersection of Athens St. and Cross St. and installing a subsurface stormwater storage system along Athens St. south of the intersection with Dodecanese Blvd. The new inlets would collect more runoff than the existing ones, and route it to the stormwater vault, thereby reducing the amount of water converging on the inlets at the intersection of Athens St. and Dodecanese Blvd. The last manhole within the existing system could be retrofitted with a Tideflex duckbill check valve (or equivalent) to prevent tidal influence from the Anclote River to the existing storm sewer system. Discharge from the stormwater vault could then occur when the tide recedes. Having the duckbill check valve located inside this manhole would allow periodic maintenance in addition to preventing damage to the valve from docking vessels.

Option 2

This conceptual solution would entail installing a stormwater vault outfitted with a submersible pump and 4-inch forcemain. The pump and 4-inch forcemain could be designed to turn on when the existing storm sewer system reaches a determined capacity. The last manhole within the existing system could be retrofitted with a Tideflex duckbill check valve (or equivalent) to prevent tidal influence from the Anclote River to the existing storm sewer system. Having the duckbill check valve located inside this manhole would allow periodic maintenance in addition to preventing damage to the valve from docking vessels.

City of Tarpon Springs Stormwater Action Plan Phase II



Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| MAP ID NO. 42 | (OPTION 2): ATHENS ST. & DODECANESE BLVD. | | | | 09/04/2014 |
|----------------------|---|---|---|-------------|--|
| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$3,080.00 | \$3,060.00 |
| 2 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.011 | AC | \$23,382.09 | \$257.00 |
| 3 | SUBMERSIBLE PUMP & CONTROL PANEL | 1.00 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 |
| 4 | PUMP VAULT | 1.00 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$1.00 |
| 5 | TIDEFLEX STORMWATER VALVE® | 1.00 | EA | \$3,000.00 | \$3,000.00 |
| 6 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 56 | SY | \$40.71 | \$2,280.00 |
| "Tidefiex tachnologi | as (www.tideflax.com) | CONSTRUCTION SU 30% CONTIN CONSTRUCTION 3 GEOTEC ENGIN GRAN | BTOTAL IGENCY I TOTAL SURVEY HNICAL EERING | ŗ | \$18,600.00 \$5,600.00 \$24,200.00 \$1,205.00 \$700.00 \$25,000.00 \$24,105.00 |

0



Map ID No. 43 - Island Dr. near Hill Street

Problem:

Intersection is a low spot with no stormwater infrastructure. The stormwater runoff collects in the small roadside swales and floods the intersection during small and large storm events.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

This conceptual solution would include installing ditch bottom inlets along the roadside swales north and south of the intersection along Island Dr. and replacing the existing 12-inch steel outfall pipe under Island Dr. and the existing 8-inch PVC outfall pipe into Tarpon Bayou.



Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| MAP ID NO. 43: ISLAND DH. NEAH HILL ST. | | | 0904/201 | | |
|---|---|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Unite | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$4,180.00 | \$4,180.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 128 | LF | \$16.00 | \$2,048.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE IN | 254 | LF | \$1.00 | \$254.00 |
| 4 | TURBIDITY BARRIER | 50 | LF | \$10.00 | \$500.00 |
| 5 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.068 | AC | \$23,382.09 | \$1,598.00 |
| 0 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 888 | SF | \$3.75 | \$3,330.00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 4 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$10,000.00 |
| ß | CONCRETE CLASS I, ENDWALLS | 1.56 | CY | \$1,351.95 | \$2,109.00 |
| <u>8</u> . | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24' | 248 | LF | \$87.08 | \$16,636.00 |
| 10 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 39 | 8Y | \$40.71 | \$1,588.00 |
| 11 | IPERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 170 | 87 | \$3.13 | \$532.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$42,800.00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$10,700.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$53,500.00 |
| SURVEY | 52,700.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$1,800.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$12,300.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$70,100.00 |



Map ID No. 57 - Kenneth Way at Seaside Dr.

Problem:

According to the City roadway flooding is occurring at this location. The CIP Project List states that in 2002 the City constructed a surface water treatment facility (SWTF) with an outfall structure (adjacent to Baynard Dr.) and provided bank stabilization. Some minor street ponding does appear to be taking place on the north side of the SWTF. Per a meeting with the City on July 28, 2009 the SWTF may be undersized which is causing flooding to occur along Seaside Dr.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

This conceptual solution would involve constructing a dry detention stormwater pond within the right-of-way of Kenneth Way between Charlotte Ave. and Kenneth Way. This would require hammer head style street terminations for both Charlotte Ave. and Kenneth Way.

Option 2

This conceptual solution would involve installing a control structure to discharge excess runoff from the existing stormwater treatment facility to the west and into an existing pond owned and maintained by Pinellas County. An expansion of the County owned pond is also proposed in order to accommodate the increased flow from the City-owned pond. The County owned outfall system would require an analysis to determine whether or not the increased flow can be accommodated without adversely impacting the surrounding properties.



MAP ID NO. 57 (OPTION 2): KENNETH WAY & SEASIDE DR.

| MAP ID NO. 57 (OPTION 2): KENNETH WAY & SEASIDE DR. | | | 05/13/2010 | | |
|---|---|----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 11 | LS | \$3,440.00 | \$3,440.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 84 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 690 | LF | \$1.00 | \$690.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.293 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$2,385.00 |
| 5 | POND EXCAVATION | 1,709 | CY | \$3.50 | \$5,982.00 |
| 6 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 3,551 | SF | \$3.75 | \$13,316.00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$5,000.00 |
| 8 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 78 | LF | \$51.00 | \$3,978.00 |
| 0 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 1,025 | SY | \$2.00 | \$2,050.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$37,900.00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$9,500.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$47,400.00 |
| SURVEY | \$2,400.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$1,400.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$39,800.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$91,000,00 |

05/13/2010

MAP ID NO. 74 (OPTION 2): AVOCA DR. 500' WEST OF FLORIDA AVE.

| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|---|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$15,130.00 | \$15,130.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 128 | LF | \$15.00 | \$2,048.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,885 | LF | \$1,00 | \$1,685.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.353 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$2,873.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 9,468 | SF | \$3.75 | \$35,505.00 |
| 6 | MANHOLE | 2 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$8,400.00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 5 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$12,500.00 |
| 8 | MITERED END SECTION | 2 | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$4,000.00 |
| 9 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 1,360 | LF | \$51.00 | \$70,380.00 |
| 10 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 516 | SY | \$35.00 | \$18,060.00 |
| 11 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 1,054 | SY | \$2.00 | \$2,108.00 |

| JCTION SUBTOTAL | \$172,700.00 |
|--------------------|--------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$43,200.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$215,900.00 |
| SURVEY | \$10,800.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$6,600.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$38,900.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$272,100.00 |



Map ID No. 71 – Riverside Dr. and Hillside Dr.

Problem:

Location is a low point along Riverside Dr. with no stormwater infrastructure. Roadway flooding is occurring and is most likely impeding traffic and is a concern due to the roadway geometry for traffic approaching from the north and south.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

This conceptual solution would include installing a ditch bottom inlet at the northwest corner of the Riverside Dr. and Hillside Dr. intersection. The inlet and storm sewer system would be routed north along Riverside Dr. where it would connect into an existing inlet at 1314 Riverside Dr. (Map ID No. 72 - 1314 Riverside Dr.). This existing system flows into an existing stormwater pond which outfalls into the Anclote River. The existing stormwater system would require an analysis to determine whether or not the increased flow will require further downstream modifications to the existing system. This conceptual solution could be combined with the conceptual solution for Map ID No. 72.

Option 2

This conceptual solution would include reconstructing/regrading the low point in the roadway within the intersection of Riverside Dr. and Hillside Dr. This would allow runoff to drain to the north along Riverside Dr. until reaching the existing inlet in front of 1314 Riverside Dr. In addition to regrading the low point in the roadway this conceptual solution could involve installing a new inlet and storm drain to connect into the existing inlet located at 1314 Riverside Dr. This conceptual improvement could also resolve the flooding problem area Map ID No. 72 - 1314 Riverside Dr.



Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

MAP ID NO. 71 (OPTION 2): RIVERSIDE DR. & HILLSIDE DR.

| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------------|-------------|
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 32 | LF | \$18.00 | \$512.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 298 | LF | \$1.00 | \$298.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.139 | AC | \$23,362.09 | \$3,247.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 6,045 | SF | \$4.75 | \$26,714.00 |
| 8 | PERFORMANCE TURF. SOD | 199 | SY | \$3.13 | \$823.00 |
| | | MAP ID NO. 71 (OPTION 2) CO | ONSTRUC | TION SUBTOTAL | \$33,394.00 |

| MAP ID NO. 72 | (OPTION 2); 1314 RIVERSIDE DR. | |
|--|--|--|
| and the state of t | A set of the set of th | |

09/04/2014

09/04/2014

| MAP ID NO. 72 | (UP TION Z): 1314 RIVERGIDE DR. | 134 | | | VOLUTIAN |
|---------------|---|----------------------------|--------|---------------|------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 64 | LF | \$18.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 20 | LF | \$1.00 | \$20.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.007 | AC | \$23,362.09 | \$164.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 288 | SF | \$4,75 | \$1,368.00 |
| 6 | INLET MODIFICATION | 1 | LS | \$1,000.00 | \$1,000.00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 1 | EA | \$2,862.52 | \$2,863.00 |
| 8 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 24 | LF | \$67.08 | \$1,810.00 |
| 9 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 13 | SY | \$3.13 | \$41.00 |
| | | MAP ID NO 72 (OPTION 2) CO | MATRIC | TION BURTOTAL | \$8,090.00 |

MAP ID NO. 72 (OPTION 2) CO

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$41,484.00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| MOBILIZATION | \$6,223.00 |
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$10,371.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$58,078.00 |
| SURVEY | \$2,904.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$1,743.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$26,138.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$88,861.00 |





Map ID No. 73 - Riverside Dr. and Seabreeze Dr.

Problem:

According to City staff roadside flooding is occurring at this location. During a field visit standing water was visible at the entrance to the apartments along the north side of Seabreeze Dr. as well as along the roadside swales. There is an existing stormwater collection and conveyance system serving the intersection.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

During a field visit it appeared that the invert for the downstream pipe of the inlet on the east side of Riverside Drive was considerably higher that the upstream invert. This invert change also appeared to be causing the water to back up into the roadside swale along Riverside Dr. and Seabreeze Dr. The conceptual solution for this location would include survey for the existing stormwater system and possible replacement of the last two outfall pipes for the existing system.

City of Tarpon Springs Stormwater Action Plan Phase II

Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

09/04/2014 MAP ID NO. 73: RIVERSIDE DR. & SEABREEZE DR. Quantity Units **Unit Price** Amount Description Bid Item No. \$4,150.00 \$4,150.00 MOBILIZATION SYNTHETIC BALES 1 LS 96 LF \$16.00 \$1,536.00 2 1,207 LF 0.140 AC \$1,207.00 \$1.00 STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III 3 \$23,362.09 \$3,271.00 CLEARING & GRUBBING - 4 \$401.00 \$3,420.00 \$3.82 105 CY POND EXCAVATION ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION 5 720 SF 2 EA \$4.75 6 \$2,882.52 \$5,725.00 DITCH BOTTOM INLET 7 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 1 EA MITERED END SECTION PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" 8 271 LF 33 SY 878 SY \$67.08 \$40.71 \$3.13 \$18,179.00 9 \$1,343.00 \$2,118.00 SIDEWALK CONCRETE PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD 10 11

| \$43,300.00 |
|-------------|
| \$10,800.00 |
| \$54,100.00 |
| \$2,705.00 |
| \$1,623.00 |
| \$12,443.00 |
| \$70,871.00 |
| |





Map ID No. 74 – Avoca Dr. 500' west of Florida Ave.

The location is two low points along Avoca Dr. with no stormwater infrastructure. According to City staff roadway and private property flooding is occurring. Flooding of road and private property was visible during a field visit due to the floating debris lines within the resident's yards.

Conceptual Solution(s):

This conceptual solution would include the installation of two inlets at the low points along Avoca Dr. These inlets would be connected to a storm drain system that would be routed to the west along Avoca Dr. and discharge into the proposed new stormwater pond as proposed in Map ID No. 57, Option 1. This option would also require a connection to and possible expansion of the existing County-owned stormwater pond.

This conceptual solution would include the installation of two inlets at the low points along Avoca Dr. These inlets would be connected to a storm drain system that would be routed to the west along Avoca Dr. and discharge into the Gulf of Mexico.

City of Tarpon Springs Stormwater Action Plan Phase II

Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

09/04/2014 MAP ID NO. 74: AVOCA DR. 500' WEST OF FLORIDA AVE. Amount Unit Price Quantity Units Description Bid Item No. LS \$15,870.00 \$15,870.00 1....
 1
 LS
 \$15,870,00

 128
 LF
 \$16,00

 961
 LF
 \$1,00

 0.253
 AC
 \$23,362.09

 9,468
 SF
 \$3,75

 1
 EA
 \$4,200,00

 4
 EA
 \$2,882.52

 1
 EA
 \$4,200,00

 4
 EA
 \$2,882.52

 1
 EA
 \$2,000,00

 917
 LF
 \$87.08

 616
 SY
 \$40.71

 574
 SY
 \$3,15
MOBILIZATION SYNTHETIC BALES \$2,048.00 \$981.00 2 STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III \$5,911.00 3 CLEARING & GRUBBING \$35,505.00 4 ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION \$4,200.00 5 MANHOLE DITCH BOTTOM INLET MITERED END SECTION \$11,450.00 B \$2,000.00 \$61,512.00 8 PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24* SIDEWALK CONCRETE PERFORMANCE TURP, SOD \$21,006.00 \$1,787.00 9 10 \$162,300.00

CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL 25% CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION TOTAL SURVEY GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING GRAND TOTAL

\$40,600.00

\$202,900.00

\$10,100.00

\$5,100.00

\$38,500.00

\$255,600.00

Notes:




Map ID No. 100 - Intersection of Marina Drive and Anclote Road

Problem:

Location is in the low side of a superelevated curve on Anclote Road. Roadway flooding is occurring and is most likely impeding traffic and is a concern due to the roadway geometry for traffic approaching from the east. Roadway does not have storm infrastructure.

Conceptual Solutions:

Option 1

This conceptual solution would include installing a ditch bottom inlet within the right-ofway at the low spot and routing the storm sewer system to the historical outfall to the north of the intersection. To address the condition of the existing pavement, the inside lane of the superelevated curve will be milled and resurfaced with the addition of a 4 foot non-paved shoulder.

Option 2

This conceptual solution would involve re-grading the roadside shoulder to create a 4 foot shoulder along the inside of the curve that would allow the stormwater to run off of the roadway and into a shallow swale that would be graded to flow to the north to the historical outfall. This option will also include the milling and resurfacing of the inside lane in the superelevated curve.

Recommended Option

The recommended option for this project is to do option 2. Option 2 will alleviate the flooding problem for the least amount of money and is a lasting solution that would only need minimum amount of maintenance to be affective for the duration of the roadway in its present condition.

() Stantec

Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| MAP ID NO. 10 | 0 (OPTION 2): Marina Drive and Anclote Road | | | | 09/03/2014 |
|---------------|---|---|----------|--|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quanti | y Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| | MORILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$1,420.00 | \$1,420.00 |
| 2 | STAKED SILT FENCE TYPE II | 285 | LF | \$1.00 | \$285.00 |
| 9 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.064 | AC | \$23,362.09 | \$1,495.00 |
| 4 | DECITAR EXCAVATION | 19.250 | CY | \$3.82 | \$74.00 |
| | NULLING & BERLIDEACING (4" AVG DEPTH) | 267 | SY | \$1.89 | \$451.00 |
| 0 | | 22 | TN | \$450.64 | \$9,914.00 |
| 7 | | 200 | LF | \$1.91 | \$382.00 |
| 8 | STRIPING SINGLE & WHITE | 200 | LF | \$0.84 | \$168.00 |
| | DEREOPMANCE TI IRE SOD | 73 | SY | \$3.13 | \$228.00 |
| | | CONSTRUCTION S 25% CON CONSTRUCTION | | \$14,400.00 \$3,600.00 \$18,000.00 \$1,080.00 | |
| | | GEOTI | CHNICAL | | \$1,008.00 |
| | | ENG | NEERING | | \$14,400.00 |
| | | GRA | ID TOTAL | | \$34,488.00 |

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 06 from 10/01/2013 to 09/30/2014. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the costs of Right-of-Way Acquisition or Permitting.





Map ID No. 101 - Alternate US 19 & Anclote Road

Problem:

Location is at a depressional area on Anclote Road at the driveway of an open lot that is used as parking for Captain Jacks Sunset Grill. The driveway is a hard crushed limestone material with a depression at Anclote Rd. Roadway flooding is occurring along Anclote Rd. and is most likely impeding traffic and is a concern due to the roadway geometry for traffic approaching from the east and west. An existing stormwater infrastructure is located along Alt. 19 with inlets at the intersection of Alt. 19 and Anclote Rd. but is not along Anclote Rd.

Conceptual Solutions:

Option 1

This conceptual solution would include installing ditch bottom inlets (2) within the rightof-way and routing the storm sewer system to an existing stormwater drainage system along Alt. 19 that outfalls to the Gulf of Mexico. To address the condition of the existing pavement, 200 feet of the roadway will be milled and resurfaced. The depressional area at the driveway into the open lot will be filled in and graded.

Option 2

This conceptual solution would involve re-grading the roadside shoulder on both sides of the roadway to create a 4 foot shoulder that would allow the stormwater to run off of the roadway and into a shallow swale. The north side of the roadway would be graded to flow to along Anclote Rd. and then to the north to the right-of-way for a future roadway. This option will also include the milling and resurfacing of 200 feet of Anclote Rd. and the rebuilding of the driveway into the open lot.

Recommended Option

The recommended option for this project is option 1. Even though option 1 has more initial cost than option 2, option 2 is a temporary fix and does not provide a lasting solution for the flooding. Option 1 will provide relief from the stormwater runoff for a longer period of time even if the conditions in the area break down back to the present conditions.

City of Tarpon Springs Stormwater Action Plan Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| MAP ID NO. 10 | 1 (OPTION 1): ALT. 19 and Anciote Road | | | | 09/03/2014 |
|---------------|--|---|---|-------------|--|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$3,180.00 | \$3,160.00 |
| 2 | STAKED SILT FENCE TYPE III | 100 | LF | \$1.00 | \$100.00 |
| | CI FARING & GRUBRING | 0.016 | AC | \$23,382.09 | \$374.00 |
| 3 | MILLING & REFUREACING (1" AVG DEPTH) | 534 | 8Y | \$1.69 | \$902.00 |
| 4 | MILLING & REDUKTROING (T AVG. DEF TT) | 193 | SY | \$22.94 | \$3,059.00 |
| 0 | OPTIONAL BASE GROOP | 11 | TN | \$450.64 | \$4,957.00 |
| 0 | BITCH POTTON INI ET TYDE "0" | 2 | EA | \$2,230.17 | \$4,460.00 |
| | MANHOLE TYPE "P.7" | 1 | EA | \$2,996.18 | \$2,996.00 |
| 0 | | 280 | LF | \$48.43 | \$13,000.00 |
| - 10 | STRIPING DRI & VELLOW | 200 | LF | \$1.91 | \$382.00 |
| | STRIPING SINGLE # WHITE | 200 | LF | \$0.84 | \$168.00 |
| 12 | PERFORMANCE TURE, SOD | 122 | SY | \$3.13 | \$382.00 |
| | | CONSTRUCTION SU 25% CONTIN CONSTRUCTION GEOTEC | BTOTAL IGENCY I TOTAL SURVEY HNICAL | | \$34,000.00 \$8,500.00 \$42,500.00 \$2,100.00 \$1,600.00 |
| | | ENGIN | EERING TOTAL | | \$23,800.00 \$70,000.00 |

0

0

() Stantec

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT item Average Unit Costs for Area 05 from 10/01/2013 to 09/30/2014. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the costs of Right-of-Way Acquisition or Permitting.





Map ID No. 102 - Mango Street and Mango Circle

Problem:

Location is at the intersection of Mango Street and Mango Circle. A depressed area in Mango Street at the western edge of Mango Circle creates a flooding hazard along Mango Street. Roadway does not have storm infrastructure.

Conceptual Solutions:

Option 1

This conceptual solution would include installing a ditch bottom inlet within the right-ofway of Mango Street at the southwestern portion of Mango Street and Mango Circle and routing the stormwater runoff to the north side of Mango Street to a proposed swale. The proposed swale would be connected to an existing swale that runs on the north side of Mango Street.

Option 2

This conceptual solution would involve adding shoulder gutter along Mango Street for approx. 225 feet on both sides of the roadway to collect the stormwater runoff and direct it into ditch bottom inlets in the shoulder gutter. On the north side of the roadway spillways will allow the stormwater runoff to flow into a proposed swale that would be connected to an existing swale. In the shoulder gutter on the south side of Mango Street, ditch bottom inlets will collect the stormwater runoff and take it to an existing stormwater treatment facility located to the west of Mango Circle.

Recommended Option

The recommended option for this project will be option 1. Option 1 will provide the needed relief from the flooding issue at a reduced cost while maintaining the aesthetics of the surrounding area.

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| Bid item No. | Description | Quantit | y Units | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LB | \$2,230.00 | \$2,230.00 |
| 2 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 70 | LF | \$1.00 | \$70.00 |
| 3 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.016 | AC | \$23,362.09 | \$374.00 |
| 4 | STRUCTURE COURSE | 17.600 | TN | \$450.64 | \$7,931.00 |
| 5 | OPTIONAL BASE GROUP | 213.33 | SY | \$22.04 | \$4,894.00 |
| | REGULAR EXCAVATION - DITCH GRADING | 185.2 | CY | \$3.62 | \$707.00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET TYPE "C" | 1 | EA | \$2,230.17 | \$2,230.00 |
| 8 | 18" PIPE CULVERT | 28 | LF | \$46.43 | \$1,207.00 |
| 9 | 18* (1:4) MES | | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 10 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 240 | SY | \$3.13 | \$751.00 |
| | | CONSTRUCTION \$ | UBTOTAL | | \$22,400.1 |
| | | 25% CON7 | INGENCY | | \$5,800. |
| | | CONSTRUCTION | N TOTAL | | \$28,000. |
| | | | SURVEY | | |
| | | GEOTI | CHNICAL | | \$1,100. |
| | | ENG | NEERING | | \$15,400 |
| | | CRA | IS TOTAL | | \$45,000 |

() Stantec

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 10/01/2013 to 09/30/2014. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the costs of Right-of-Way Acquisition or Permitting.

Appendix B

0

Stormwater Focus Area Scoring Criteria

В



0

CITY OF TARPON SPRINGS STORMWATER ACTION PLAN (SAP) STORMWATER FOCUS AREA SCORING CRITERIA ANALYSIS



| | | | Stormwater Problem Rating Criteria | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|---------------|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Map ID | Stormwater Problem Area | Traffic Study | Emergency Access/Route | Property Impacts | Environmental Impacts | Problem Documentation | Maintenance | SAP Score | City Rating | City Score | Total Score |
| 100 | Intersection of Marina Drive and Anciote Road | 6 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 21 | В | 8 | 29 |
| 101 | Intersection of Alt. 19 and Anclote Road | . 6 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 22 | В | 8 | 30 |
| 102 | Intersection of Mango Street and Mango Circle | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | D | 4 | 12 |
| | | | | | | | | | 9 | | |



CITY OF TARPON SPRINGS STORMWATER ACTION PLAN (SAP) SAP TASK 1 - DATA COLLECTION STORMWATER FOCUS AREA SCORING CRITERIA OCTOBER 2014



| -854 | - | | _ | | - 100 | - an |
|------|---|-----|---|---|-------|------|
| | | 8.7 | - | - | | |
| | ~ | | | _ | rs i | 44 |
| - | | | | | 6.969 | |

| TRAFFIC SAFETY | |
|------------------------|--|
| EMERGENCY ACCESS/ROUTE | |
| PROPERTY IMPACTS | |
| ENVIRONMENTAL | |
| PROBLEM DOCUMENTATION | |
| MAINTENANCE | |
| CITY SCORE | |
| TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS | |

Traffic Safety

0-5 points for a problem that impedes standard traffic flow rates. These locations are more of a nuisance due to partial roadside flooding. 6-10 points for a problem that poses a threat to life, impedance to major arterial road or hurricane evacuation route, or flooding near electrical equipment.

Emergency Access/Route

0-3 points for a problem that may cause an emergency vehicle some delay

4-7 point for a problem that may cause an emergency vehicle to change course while responding to an emergency.

8-10 points for a problem that impedes an evacuation route or route to emergency shelter.

Property Impacts

0-3 points for a problem that is primarily minor street and private property ponding.

4-7 points for a problem that impedes access to or from private property or causes flooding on more than one property; for a problem that occurs no more than 6 times a year and has a duration of less than 48 hours.

8-10 points for a problem that poses threat to flooding a structure or causing arcsion threatening a structure; for a problem that occurs at least 6 times a year and has a duration of more than 48 hours.

Environmental

0-3 points for a problem that impacts the local proximity.

4-7 points for a problem that has potential water quality impacts to impaired waterbody.
8-10 points for a problem that is mandated by the FDEP or EPA and/or discharges to an impaired waterbody.

Problem Area Documentation

0-2 points for a problem that has been identified by City Staff and/or the Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study. 3-5 points for a problem that has public complaints and/or photographs filed with the City.

Maintenance

0-2 points for a problem that in the past has cost the City to maintain or retrofit. 3-5 points for a problem that annually costs the City to maintain or for a problem that if not properly maintained causes flooding.

City Score

Level A (10 points)

· Represent a significant and immediate threat to life, health, and/or property.

. Completely blocks the flow of traffic through main thoroughfares (traffic cannot safely pass and muse be re-routed). Blocks access to

- neighborhoods or areas of the City.
- Water enters a structure.
- · Represents a significant and severe contribution to surface water pollution.

Level B (8 points)

- · Represent a potential threat to life, health, and/or property.
- · Blocks the flow of traffic on a single street, several structures, or business access.
- Water surrounds but does not enter a structure.
- · Represents a potential contribution to surface water pollution.



CITY OF TARPON SPRINGS STORMWATER ACTION PLAN (SAP) SAP TASK 1 - DATA COLLECTION STORMWATER FOCUS AREA SCORING CRITERIA OCTOBER 2014



Level C (6 points)

- Represent a possible threat to life, health, and/or property.
- · Blocks traffic access to a single home.
- . Water surrounds or pools on a portion of a homeowner's or business owner's property.
- · Represents a possible contribution to surface water pollution.

Level D (4 points)

- Nutance flooding that does not pose any threat to life, health, and/or property.
 Does not block traffic access to homes, businesses, or streets.
- Water does not threaten a structure.
- Does not contribute to surface water pollution.

Level E (2 points)

· Project is complete or remedy is underway (currently undergoing design or construction).

Level F (0 points)

Non-jurisdictional

Appendix C

Secondary Alternatives and Cost Estimates

0

0







MAP ID NO. 2 (OPTION 1 - STANDARD METHOD): DISSTON AVE. AND CENTER ST.

| MAP ID NO. 2 (OPTION 1 - STANDARD METHOD): DISSTON AVE. AND CENTER ST. | | | | 08/13/20 | |
|--|---|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$14,680,00 | \$14,880.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 128 | UF | \$16.00 | \$2,048.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,842 | LF | \$1.00 | \$1,842.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.742 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$8,040.00 |
| 6 | POND EXCAVATION | 2,254 | CY | \$3.50 | \$7,889.00 |
| 8 | IROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 16,464 | 8F) | \$3.75 | \$61,740,00 |
| 7 | MANHOLE | 8 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$12,800,00 |
| 8 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 4 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$10,000.00 |
| 9 | CONCRETE CLASS I, ENDWALLS | 1.23 | CY | \$600.00 | \$738.00 |
| 10 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 794 | LF | \$51.00 | \$40,494,00 |
| 11 | IPERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 1,690 | SY | \$2.00 | \$3,380.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$161,500.00 |
|-----------------------|---|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$40,400.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$201,900.00 |
| SURVEY | \$10,100.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$8,100.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$35,300.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$254,408.00 |
| | a discoverage of the second |

0

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 06 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquisition.





Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

MAP ID NO. 3 (OPTION 1): WALTON AVE. BETWEEN TARPON AVE. & LIME ST. 06/13/2010 Bid Item No. Description Quantity Units Unit Price Amount 1 LS \$1,906,09 438 LF \$1,00 0,285 AC \$8,140,00 3,818 CY \$3,50 1,427 SY \$2,00 MOBILIZATION STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III ICLEARING & GRUBBING \$1,908.00 \$439.00 \$2,401.00 POND EXCAVATION PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD \$13,363.00 \$2,854.00

\$21,000.00 \$6,300.00 \$25,300.00 \$1,390.00 \$1,000.00 \$11,960.00 \$48,680.00 CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL 26% CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION TOTAL BURVEY GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING GRAND TOTAL

Notes:

0

Content Prices based on FDOT item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009.
 Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquisition.



Whater manage many and the face I that for a land to had in the second that served that the the boun 10/26/2010 that have





MAP ID NO. 5 (OPTION 1): DISSTON AVE. BETWEEN SPRUCE ST. & LIVE OAK ST.

| MAP ID NO. 9 (| OPTION 1): DISSTON AVE. BETWEEN SPRUCE ST. & LIN | E OAK ST. | | | 06/13/2010 |
|----------------|--|-----------|-------|------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$4,280.00 | \$4,280.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 98 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,538,00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 462 | LF | \$1.00 | \$452.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0,105 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$855,00 |
| 6 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 1,008 | 8F | \$3,75 | \$3,780,00 |
| 6 | MANHOLE | 1 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$4,200.00 |
| 7 | CURB INLET | 3 | EA | \$4,500.00 | \$13,500,00 |
| 8 | MITERED END SECTION | 1 | EA | \$2,000,00 | \$2,000,00 |
| 9 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 275 | LF | \$51,00 | \$14,025,00 |
| 10 | CONCRETE CURB | 70 | LF | \$15,00 | \$1,050.00 |
| 11 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 39 | SY | \$35,00 | \$1,365,00 |
| 12 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 31 | SY I | \$2,00 | \$82.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$47,100.00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$11,800.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$55,900.00 |
| SURVEY | \$2,900.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$1,809.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$11,800.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$75,400.00 |

Notas: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquisition.





MAP ID NO. 9 (OPTION 2): DISSTON AVE. BETWEEN SPRUCE ST. & LIVE OAK ST.

| MAP ID NO. 9 (OPTION 2): DISSTON AVE. BETWEEN SPRUCE ST. & LIVE OAK ST. | | | | 05/13/2010 | |
|---|--|----------|-------|------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quentity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$4,720.00 | \$4,720.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 96 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,538,00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 452 | LF | \$1.00 | \$452.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.105 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$855.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 1,00B | SF | \$3.75 | \$3,780.00 |
| 6 | MANHOLE | 1 | EA | \$4,200,00 | \$4,200,00 |
| 7 | CURBINLET | 3 | EA | \$4,500.00 | \$13,500,00 |
| 8 | MITERED END SECTION | 1 | EA | \$2,000,00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 9 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-36" | 275 | LF | \$67.00 | \$18,425.00 |
| 10 | CONCRETE CURB | 70 | LF | \$15.00 | \$1,050,00 |
| 11 | BIDEWALK CONCRETE | 39 | SY | \$35.00 | \$1,365,00 |
| 12 | IPERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 31 | 8Y | \$2.00 | \$82.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$61,980.00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$13,000.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$84,900.00 |
| SURVEY | \$3,200.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$1,900.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$11,700.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$81,700.00 |

| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Unite | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|---|----------|-------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$9,990,00 | \$9,890,00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 64 | LF | \$16,00 | \$1,024,00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 563 | LF | \$1.00 | \$583.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.180 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$1,302.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 8,924 | \$F | \$3,75 | \$25,985.00 |
| 6 | MANHOLE | 1 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$4,200.00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$5,000.00 |
| ð | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 24 | LF | \$61,00 | \$1.224.00 |
| 9 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 26-36" | 353 | LF | \$67.00 | \$23,651.00 |
| 10 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-36" (DEPTH >10") | 200 | LF | \$87.00 | \$17,400.00 |
| 11 | CONCRETE CURB | 553 | LF | \$15,00 | \$8,295.00 |
| 12 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 307 | SY | \$35,00 | \$10,745.00 |
| 19 | IPERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 246 | SY | \$2.00 | \$492.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$109,900.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| 28% CONTINGENCY | \$27,600.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$137,400.00 |
| SURVEY | \$5,800.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$4,100.00 |
| ENGINEEPING | \$24,700.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$173,100,00 |

| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|---|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$20,530.00 | \$20,530.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 160 | LF. | \$18,00 | \$2,680.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,331 | LF | \$1.00 | \$1,331,00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0,381 | AC | \$8,140,00 | \$3,101,00 |
| 5 | POND EXCAVATION | 62 | CY | \$3,50 | \$217,00 |
| 8 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 16,598 | 8F | \$3.75 | \$62,235.00 |
| . 7 | MANHOLE | 5 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$21,000.00 |
| 8 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 5 | ËA | \$2,500,00 | \$12,500.00 |
| 9 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 1,091 | LF | \$61,00 | \$55,641,00 |
| 10 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" (DEPTH >10) | 300 | LF | 666.00 | \$19,800.00 |
| 11 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 736 | SY | \$35.00 | \$28,780.00 |
| 12 | PERFORMANCE TURF. SOD | 578 | SY | \$2.00 | \$1,152.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$225,800.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$85,800.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$282,300.00 |
| SURVEY | \$14,100.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$8,500.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$80,800.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$368,700.00 |

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquiation.





MAP ID NO. 18 (OPTION 2): HIGHLAND AVE, AND VISTA PLACE

| MAP ID NO. 15 (OPTION 2): HIGHLAND AVE. AND VISTA PLACE | | | 05/13/201 | | |
|---|--|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bld Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$14,440,00 | \$14,440.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 160 | LF | 518.00 | \$2,680.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 930 | LF | \$1.00 | \$930.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.240 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$1,954,00 |
| в | POND EXCAVATION | 173 | CY | \$3.60 | 5605.00 |
| 6 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 3,218 | SF | \$3.76 | \$12,080,00 |
| 7 | MANHOLE | 1 1 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$4,200.00 |
| 8 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 5 | EA | 82,500,00 | \$12,500,00 |
| 8 | CONCRETE CLASS I, ENDWALLS | 4.53 | CY | 8800.00 | \$2,718.00 |
| 10 | CONCRETE CLASS I, RETAINING WALL | 122 | CY | \$600.00 | \$73,200.00 |
| 11 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 138 | LF | \$51.00 | \$7.038.00 |
| 12 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-36' | 365 | LF | \$67.00 | \$23,785.00 |
| 13 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 403 | SY | \$2.00 | \$806.00 |
| 14 | MIRCHWEIT DETENTION POND MAINTENANCE | 1 | La | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000,00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$168,800.00 |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$39,700.00 |
| CONBTRUCTION TOTAL | \$198,500.00 |
| SURVEY | \$9,900.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$6,000.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$38,700.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$280,100.00] |
| | |

0

Notes; 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT (tern Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009, 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not Include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquisition.





MAP 10 NO. 22 (OPTION 1): PALM AVE. BETWEEN TARPON DR. & GULF RD.

| MAP ID NO. 22 (OPTION 1): PALM AVE. BETWEEN TARPON DR. & GULF RD. | | | | | 05/13/201 |
|---|---|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$16,650.00 | \$15,650.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 64 | LF | \$18.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,167 | LF | \$1.00 | \$1.157.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.319 | AC | \$8,140,00 | \$2,597,00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 13,864 | SF | \$3.75 | \$52,065.00 |
| 8 | MANHOLE | 4 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$18,800,00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$5,000,00 |
| 8 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 351 | LF | \$51,00 | \$17,901.00 |
| 10 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-38" | 782 | LF | \$67.00 | \$52,394.00 |
| 11 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-36" (DEPTH >10') | 24 | LF | \$87.00 | \$2,088.00 |
| 12 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 112 | SY | \$35.00 | \$3,920,00 |
| 13 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 771 | SY | \$2.00 | \$1.542.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$172,100,0 |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| 28% CONTINGENCY | \$43,000.0 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$215,100.0 |
| SURVEY | \$10,800.0 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$8,500.0 |
| ENGINEERING | \$38,700.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$271,108.00 |

| and the second of the second of the | | | And services | The second of | 1 12 01 |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$8,720,00 | \$6,720,00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 64 | LF | \$18,00 | \$1,024,00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE IN | 500 | LF | \$1.00 | \$500.00 |
| - 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0,138 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$1,123.00 |
| δ | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 6,000 | SF | \$3,75 | \$22,500,00 |
| 8 | MANHOLE | 2 | EA | \$4,200.00 | \$8,400.00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$5,000.00 |
| B | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 485 | LF | \$51.00 | \$24,735.00 |
| 8 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" (DEPTH > 10') | 15 | LF | \$66.00 | \$990.00 |
| 10 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 64 | SY | \$35.00 | \$2,240.00 |
| 11 | IPERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 333 | SY | \$2.00 | \$656.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$73,900.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| 26% CONTINGENCY | \$18,600.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$92,400.00 |
| SURVEY | \$4,800.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$2,800.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$16,600.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$118,400.00 |

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009, 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquisition.







Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

MAP ID NO. 25 (OPTION 1): LEVIS AVE. ALLEY

| MAP ID NO. 25 (OPTION 1): LEVIS AVE. ALLEY 8 | | | 05/13/2010 | | |
|--|---|----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Bid Hem No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$3,918.00 | \$3,918.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 64 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 796 | LF | \$1.00 | \$798.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0,385 | AG | \$8,140.00 | \$3,134.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 2,356 | SF | \$3.75 | \$8,836,00 |
| 6 | POND EXCAVATION | 1,210 | CY | \$3.50 | \$4,267.00 |
| 7 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$5,000.00 |
| 8 | MITERED END SECTION | 1 | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 9 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 214 | LF | \$51.00 | \$10,914.00 |
| 10 | PERFORMANCE TURF. SOD | 1,602 | SY | \$2.00 | \$3,204,00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$43,100.00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$10,800.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$53,800.00 |
| SURVEY | \$2,700.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$1,600.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$16,100.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$73,300.00 |

| MAP ID NO. 20 (OPTION 1): LEVIS AVE, BETWEEN LIME ST. & OAKWOOD ST. | | | | | 05/13/201 |
|---|---|----------|-------|------------|-------------|
| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$1,861,00 | \$1,661.00 |
| 2 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 448 | LF | \$1.00 | \$448.00 |
| 3 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.064 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$621.00 |
| 4 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 182 | 8F | \$3.75 | \$720.00 |
| 5 | MANHOLE | | EA | \$4,200,00 | \$4,200.00 |
| 8 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 232 | LF | \$51.00 | \$11,832.00 |
| 7 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 9 | SY | \$35.00 | \$315.00 |
| 8 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 268 | SY | \$2.00 | \$576.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$20,500.00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$6,100.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$25,600.00 |
| SURVEY | \$1,300.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$800.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$4,800.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$32,300.00 |

0

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT item Average Unit Costs for Area 06 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009, 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate dose not include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquisition.





MAP ID NO. 29 (OPTION 1): SPRUCE ST. BETWEEN LEVIS AVE. & GROSSE AVE.

| MAP ID NO. 29 (OPTION 1): SPRUCE ST. BETWEEN LEVIS AVE. & GROSSE AVE. 05/ | | | 06/13/2010 | | |
|---|---|----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$2,598.00 | \$2,598,00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 84 | LF | \$18.00 | \$1.024.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 302 | LF | \$1.00 | \$302.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.087 | ACT | \$8,140.00 | \$708.00 |
| 5 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$5,000,00 |
| 6 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 316 | LF | \$61.00 | \$18,118,00 |
| 7 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 78 | SY | \$35.00 | \$2,555,00 |
| 8 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 138 | 8Y | \$2.00 | 5272.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$28,600.00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 26% CONTINGENCY | \$7,200.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$36,800.00 |
| BURVEY | \$1,800.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$1,100.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$9,700.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$48,400.00 |

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 06 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquisition.







MAP ID NO. 35 (OPTION 1): DISSTON AVE. SOUTH OF HARRISON ST.

| MAP ID NO. 35 (OPTION 1): DISSTON AVE. SOUTH OF HARRISON ST. | | | 05/13/2010 | | |
|--|---|----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$7,130.00 | \$7,130.00 |
| 2 | BYNTHETIC BALES | 98 | UF | \$16.00 | \$1,536.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,767 | LF | \$1.00 | \$1,757.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | D,345 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$2,808.00 |
| 6 | POND EXCAVATION | 746 | CY | \$3.50 | \$2,608.00 |
| 6 | EMBANKMENT | 174 | CY | \$5.00 | \$870.00 |
| 7 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 240 | SF | \$3.75 | 00.008 |
| 8 | MANHOLE | 3 | EA | \$4;200.00 | \$12,800.00 |
| 9 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 3 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$7,500.00 |
| 10 | MITERED END SECTION | 1 | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 11 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 694 | ĻF | 861.00 | \$35,394.00 |
| 12 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 1,644 | 8Y | \$2.00 | \$3,268,00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$78,400.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$19,600.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$96,000.00 |
| SURVEY | \$4,900.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$2,900.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$17,600:00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$123,400.00 |

0

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquisition.





Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| MAP ID NO. 39 (OPTION 2): COBURN DR. 100' WEST OF FLORIDA AVE. | | | | 05/13/2010 | |
|--|--|----------|-------|------------|------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | | \$0.00 |
| 2 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 615 | LF | \$1.00 | \$815.00 |
| 3 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0,197 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$1,804.00 |
| 4 | REGULAR EXCAVATION | .381 | CY | \$3.50 | \$1,334.00 |
| 5 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 1 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$2,500.00 |
| 8 | MITERED END SECTION | | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 7 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 15 | LF | \$51.00 | \$765.00 |
| 8 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE (ORIVEWAY REPLACEMENT) | 171 | SY | \$35.00 | \$5,985.00 |
| 0 | PERFORMANCE TURF, BOD | 1,090 | SY | \$2.00 | \$2,180.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$17,009.00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$4,300.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$21,300.00 |
| SURVEY | \$1,100.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | 8600.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$11,909.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$34,900.00 |

r

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2008. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquisition.







Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| MAP ID NO. 42 (OPTION 1): ATHENS ST. & DODECANESE BLVD. 05/13/2 | | | 05/13/2010 | | |
|---|---|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 1 | LS | \$5,880.00 | \$5,880,00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 64 | LF | \$18.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| 3 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.091 | AC. | \$8,140,00 | \$741.00 |
| 4 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 3,960 | SF | \$3.75 | \$14,850.00 |
| 5 | CURB INLET | 2 | EA | \$4,500.00 | \$9,000.00 |
| 6 | SUBBURFACE STORAGE SYSTEM | 1.00 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 |
| 7 | TIDEFLEX STORMWATER VALVE | 1.00 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$2,500.00 |
| 8 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24* | 220 | LF | \$51.00 | \$11,220.00 |
| 9 | CONCRETE CURB | 275 | LF | \$15.00 | \$4,125.00 |
| 10 | BIDEWALK CONCRETE | 153 | 8Y | \$35.00 | \$5,355,00 |
| Tidellex lechnologi | es (www.lidelbax.com) | (c (c) (c) | | | |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$64,700.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$16,200.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$80,990.00 |
| SURVEY | \$4,000.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$2,400.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$17,800.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$108,100.00 |

e

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the cost of Fight-of-Way Acquisition.


🕥 Stantec

Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Unita | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|---|----------|-------|-------------|------------|
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$870.00 | \$870.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 32 | LF | \$16.00 | \$512.00 |
| 3 | BTAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 66 | LF | \$1.00 | \$66.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.010 | AC | \$23,362.09 | \$234,00 |
| 5 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 1 | EA | \$2,852.52 | \$2,863.00 |
| 6 | MITERED END SECTION | 1 | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 7 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 38 | LF | \$67.06 | \$2,214.00 |
| 8 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 44 | SY . | \$3,13 | \$138.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$8,900.00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$2,200.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$11,100.00 |
| SURVEY | \$800.00 |
| GEÓTECHNICAL | \$300.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$9,300.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$21,300.00 |

0

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the costs of Right-of-Way Acquisition or Permitting.







Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| MAP ID NO. 71 (OPTION 1): RIVERSIDE DR. & HILLSIDE DR. | | | | 06/13/2010 | |
|--|---|----------|-------|------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$8,308,00 | \$6,308.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 96 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,538.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 662 | LF | \$1.00 | \$562.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.155 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$1,262.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 8.744 | SF | \$3,75 | \$25,290.00 |
| 8 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$5,000.00 |
| 7 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 562 | LF | \$51.00 | \$28,662.00 |
| 6 | PERFORMANCE TURF, BOD | 375 | SY | \$2.00 | \$750.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$69,400.00 |
|-----------------------|--|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$17,400.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$85,500.00 |
| SURVEY | \$4,300.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$2,600.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$18,600.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$109,300.00 |
| | Record of the Association of the |

MAP ID NO. 72 (OPTION 1): 1314 RIVERSIDE DR.

05/13/2010 Bid Item No. Description Quantity Units **Unit Price** Amount MOBILIZATION SYNTHETIC BALES STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III 8890.00 \$1,024.00 L8 1 \$690.00 64 LF \$16.00 20 LF \$1.00 0.007 AC \$5,140.00 2 \$20.00 \$57.00 3 CLEARING & GRUBBING 288 SF \$3.75 1 LS \$1,000.00 ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION \$1,090.00 INLET MODIFICATION \$1,000.00 - 6 DITCH BOTTOM INLET IPIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" IPERFORMANCE TURF, SOD 1 EA \$2,500.00 7 \$2,500.00 24 LF 851.00 15 SY \$2.00 \$1,224.00 \$28,00

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$7,600.00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$1,900.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$9,500.00 |
| SURVEY | \$508.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$300.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$5,000.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$15,300,00 |

0

Notes; 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 05 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquisition.





Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| d item No. | Description | Quantity | Unite | Unit Price | Amount |
|------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$2,800.00 | \$2,900,00 |
| 2 | STAKED SI T FENCE TYPE IN | 285 | LF | \$1.00 | \$285.00 |
| | CI FARING & GRUBBING | 0.064 | AC | \$23,362.09 | \$1,495.00 |
| | AULING & RESUBEACING (1" AVG. DEPTH) | 267 | SY | \$1.69 | \$451.00 |
| 9 E | ISTRUCTURE COURSE | 22 | TN | \$450.84 | \$9,914.00 |
| 8 | DITCH BOTTOM INI ET TYPE 'C' | 1 1 | EA | \$2,230.17 | \$2,230.00 |
| 7 | MANUCI E TYPE "P.8" | 1 | EA | \$2,998.19 | \$2,998.00 |
| 8 | HA' PIPE CUI VERT | 130 | LF | \$46.43 | \$6,036.00 |
| 9 | 18' MFS | 1 | EAL | \$2,900.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 10 | STRIPING DBL 6' YELLOW | 200 | LF | 1,91 | \$382.00 |
| 10 | BTRIPING SINGLE 6" WHITE | 200 | LF | 80.84 | \$188.00 |
| 12 | PERFORMANCE TURE, SOD | 122 | SY | 83.13 | \$382,00 |
| | | CONSTRUCTION SUI 25% CONTIN | BTOTAL IGENCY | | \$29,200 \$7,300 |
| | | CONSTRUCTION | TOTAL | | 000,056 |
| | | 070750 | UNIVER | | \$1,800. |
| | | GEUTEG | CEBINO | | \$16,500. |
| | | EPESIN | | r | 10,000/ |

0

Stantec

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 08/01/2013 to 07/31/2014. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the costs of Flight-of-Way Acquiation or Permitting.





() Stantec

Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| AAP ID NO. 14 | -2 (OPTION 2): ALT. 19 and Anciota Road | | | | 09/03/2014 |
|---------------|---|------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$1,260.00 | \$1,260.00 |
| 2 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE IN | 100 | LF | \$1,00 | \$100.00 |
| 8 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.037 | AC | \$23,362.09 | \$884.00 |
| 4 | MILLING & RESURFACING (1" AVG. DEPTH) | 634 | SY | \$1.69 | \$902.00 |
| 5 | OPTIONAL BASE GROUP | 133 | SY . | \$22.94 | \$3,059.00 |
| 6 | STRUCTURE COURSE | 11 | TN | \$450.64 | \$4,957.00 |
| 9 | REGULAR EXCAVATION | 210 | CY | \$3.62 | \$802.00 |
| 10 | STRIPING DBL 6' YELLOW | 200 | LF | \$1.91 | \$362.00 |
| 11 | STRIPING SINGLE & WHITE | 200 | ĻF | \$0.84 | \$168.00 |
| 12 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 122 | SY | \$3.13 | \$382.00 |
| | | CONSTRUCTION SUB | TOTAL | | \$12,900.0 |
| | | 25% CONTIN | GENCY | | \$3,200.0 |
| | | CONSTRUCTION | TOTAL | | \$16,100.0 |
| | | SURVEY | | | \$600.0 |
| | | GEOTEC | HNICAL | | \$600.0 |
| | | ENGINI | EERING | 10 | \$7,300.0 |
| | | GRAND | TOTAL | 1 | \$24,800.05 |

C

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 06/01/2013 to 07/31/2014. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the costs of Right-of-Way Acquisition or Permitting.







Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| Bld Item No. | Description | Quantity | Unite | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LB | \$3,160.00 | \$3,160.00 |
| 2 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 70 | LF | \$1.00 | \$70.00 |
| 3 | CI FARING & GRUBBING | 0.016 | AC | \$23,382.09 | \$374.00 |
| 4 | SHOLE DER GUTTER - CONCRETE | 450.0 | LF | \$19.17 | \$8,827.00 |
| 5 | DITCH BOTTON IN ET TYPE 'C' | 2 | EA | \$2,230.17 | \$4,460.00 |
| 8 | 18' PIPE CULVERT | . 250 | LF | \$48.43 | \$11,808.00 |
| 7 | 118' (1:4) ME8 | 1 | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| A | MISCELLANEOUS CONCRETE - SPILLWAYS | 6 | CY | \$90.00 | \$460.00 |
| 9 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 240 | SY | \$3.18 | \$751.00 |
| | | CONSTRUCTION SU | BTOTAL | | \$\$1,500.0 |
| | | 25% CONTIN | IGENCY | | \$7,900.0 |
| | | CONSTRUCTION | TOTAL | | \$39,400.0 |
| | | 1 | URVEY | | \$2,000.0 |
| | | GEOTEC | HNICAL | | \$1,500.0 |
| | | ENGIN | EERINO | | \$17,500.0 |
| | | CRANT | TOTAL | | 850.700.0 |

0

() Stantec

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 06/01/2013 to 07/31/2014. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the costs of Fight-of-Way Acquisition or Permitting.

Appendix D

0

0

0

Completed/Constructed Projects



P. VERGER V. SAMPARA C. SOV (SAMPAN S. C. SAMETER day LATACIT MAP 12 pril Lant Scored Cet. 28, 10 Part Joine 14/22/2013 4:15 Par Byr Same

Problem:

Site is located in a depression in a closed drainage basin. Stormwater infrastructure is in place with curb inlets collecting and discharging to the City-owned stormwater pond. The City-owned stormwater pond has no positive outfall. There is significant flooding along Disston Ave. which occurs frequently and causes the road to be impassable. The flooding appears to be caused by the pond being undersized for the volume of runoff it receives and having no positive outfall or the elevation of Disston Ave. is too low.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

To alleviate the flooding occurring along Disston Ave. based on the runoff generated from the 2-year, 24-hour storm event this conceptual solution would require raising the road to elevation 11.10 feet (NAVD 88 - Profile Grade Line) as indicated in the Tarpon Ave./Disston Ave. SMF Evaluation that American provided to the City on January 28th, 2010. This would require elevating the roadway a maximum of 2 feet at the roadway low point. Additional flood storage volume may be required to be added below the roadway in a pipe/vault system. In addition to raising the road this conceptual solution would involve installing new inlets at the Tarpon Ave. and Disston Ave. intersection to resolve flood problem area Map ID No. 1A - Tarpon Avenue (at Disston Ave. within Tarpon Ave. ROW) (see above for description of problem). This conceptual solution will also address the flooding occurring at the northwest corner of the Tarpon Ave. and Disston Ave. intersection, Map ID No. 1C, which was identified during a previous review of Drainage flooding Complaint Inventory Sheet, dated November 10, 2009 (Drainage Report) prepared by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). New inlets are also proposed for the sag location along Disston Ave. and the new storm drain system would connect into the existing 24-inch RCP outfall pipe that discharges into the existing stormwater pond.

🕥 Stantec

Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II

Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| MAP ID NO. 1B: DISSTON AVE. SOUTH OF TARPON AVE. | | | | | 09/04/2014 |
|--|--|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Unite | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$12,780.00 | \$12,760.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 32 | LF | \$16.00 | \$512.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 770 | LF | \$1.00 | \$770.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.363 | AC | \$23,362.09 | \$8,247.00 |
| 5 | EMBANKOMENT | 570 | CY | \$4.98 | \$2,782.00 |
| 8 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 9,240 | 8F | \$3.75 | \$34,850.00 |
| 7 | MANHOLE | 1 | EA | 84,200.00 | \$4,200.00 |
| 8 | CURB INLET | 1 | EA | \$5,706.33 | \$5,708.00 |
| 9 | SUBSURFACE STORAGE SYSTEM | 1 | LS | \$10,000,00 | 810,000.00 |
| 10 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24" | 30 | LF | \$67.08 | \$2,012.00 |
| 11 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-36" | 175 | LF | \$138.14 | \$24,175.00 |
| 12 | CONCRETE CURB | 770 | LF | \$16,70 | \$12,859.00 |
| 13 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 213 | SY | \$40.71 | \$8,871.00 |
| 14 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 1 171 | SY | \$3,15 | \$535.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$127,900.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$32,000.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$159,900.00 |
| SURVEY | \$8,000.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$4,800.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$25,800.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$201,500.00 |

| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|--|----------|-------|-------------|------------|
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | 1.9 | \$4,170.00 | \$4,170.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 64 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,024.00 |
| 3 | ISTAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE II | 136 | LF | \$1.00 | \$135.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.045 | AC | \$23,352.09 | \$1,051,0 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 1,980 | SF | \$8.75 | \$7,425.0 |
| 6 | CURB INLET | 2 | EA | \$5,706.33 | \$11,413.0 |
| 7 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24". | 165 | LF | \$67.08 | \$11,088.0 |
| 8 | CONCRETE CURB | 135 | LF | \$16.70 | \$2,255.0 |
| 9 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE | 75 | SY | \$40.71 | \$3,053.D |
| 10 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 60 | SY | \$3.13 | \$188.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$41,600.00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$10,500.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$52,300.00 |
| SURVEY | \$2,800.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$1,800.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$9,400.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$65,800.00 |

| MAP ID NO. 1A | : TARPON AVE. AT DISSTON AVE. WITHIN TARPON AVE | ROW | | -5-040 - 10 | 09/04/201 |
|---------------|---|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Unita | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LB | \$4,580.00 | \$4,580.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 86 | LF | \$16.00 | \$1,536.00 |
| 8 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 120 | LF | 81.00 | \$120.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.047 | AC | \$23,382.09 | \$1,096.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 2,040 | 8F | \$3.75 | \$7,850.00 |
| 6 | CURBINLET | 3 | EA | \$5,708.33 | \$17,119.00 |
| 7 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 0-24", | 170 | LF | \$87.08 | \$11,404.00 |
| 8 | CONCRETE CURB | 120 | LF | \$16.70 | \$2,004.00 |
| 9 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 80 | SY | \$3,13 | \$250.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$45,700.00 |
|-----------------------|--|
| 25% CONTINGENCY | \$11,400.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$87,100.00 |
| SURVEY | \$2,900.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$1,700.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$10,300.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$72,660.00 |
| | Cash Physical Physica |

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT hem Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the costs of Right-of-Way Acquisition or Permitting.





Map ID No. 3 - Walton Ave. between Tarpon Ave. & Lime St.

Problem:

Flooding is occurring on Walton Ave. adjacent to a City-owned stormwater pond. Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phase I and II noted that the City-owned pond may be undersized.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

This conceptual solution would involve expanding the existing pond to the north into the vacant lot. The expansion could provide flooding relief for Walton Ave. in addition to providing additional water quality treatment. Further analysis would be required to determine whether the additional volume provided by this expansion would provide flooding relief for Walton Ave. This expansion would require a property acquisition from the First National Bank.

Option 2

This conceptual solution would involve expanding the existing pond to the east into the existing right-of-way for Lemon St. The expansion could provide flooding relief for Walton Ave. in addition to providing additional water quality treatment. Further analysis would be required to determine whether the additional volume provided by this expansion would provide flooding relief for Walton Ave. This expansion would not require property acquisition.



MAP ID NO. 3 (OPTION 2): WALTON AVE. BETWEEN TARPON AVE. & LIME ST.

| MAP ID NO. 3 (| OPTION 2): WALTON AVE. BETWEEN TARPON AVE. & L | IME ST. | | | 05/13/2010 |
|----------------|--|----------|-------|------------|-------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$5,122.00 | \$6,122.00 |
| 2 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,121 | LF | \$1.00 | \$1.121.00 |
| 3 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0,592 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$4.819.00 |
| 4 | POND EXCAVATION | 3,818 | CY | \$3.50 | \$13,363.00 |
| 5 | CURBINLET | 1 1 | EA | \$4,500.00 | \$4,500.00 |
| 8 | MITERED END SECTION | 1 | EA | \$2,000,00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 7 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONCRETE, 25-38" | 25 | LF | \$67.00 | \$1,875.00 |
| 8 | FENCING, TYPE B | 1,068 | LF | \$15.00 | \$16,020,00 |
| 9 | FENCE GATE, TYPE B | 1 | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 10 | PERFORMANCE TURF. SOD | 2,863 | SY | \$2.00 | \$5,728.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | |
|-----------------------|--|
| 28% CONTINGENCY | |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | |
| SURVEY | |
| GEOTECHNICAL | |
| ENGINEERING | |
| GRAND TOTAL | |

| \$56,300.00 |
|-------------------|
| \$14,100.00 |
| \$70,400.00 |
| \$3,500.00 |
| \$2,100.00 |
| \$27,000.00 |
| \$103,000,00] |

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT Item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 03/01/2009 to 02/28/2008. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquisition.





Map ID No. 7 - Outfall ditch located on the northwest side of the Pinellas Trail across from Spruce St. and Safford Avenue.

Problem:

This outfall ditch serves the stormwater collection systems on Spruce St., Safford Ave., Athens St., Pine St., US Alt. 19, and W. Park St. There are 4 flooding areas that discharge to this outfall, Map ID No. 8 - US Alt. 19 & Spruce St., Map ID No. 18 -Hibiscus St. & Park St., Map ID No. 26 - Tarpon Ave. and Safford Ave., and Map ID No. 27 - Pine St. and Safford Ave. The outfall ditch has a large amount of non-native vegetation, debris, and silt.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

This conceptual solution would involve removing non-native vegetation and debris from the existing outfall ditch. Re-grading the ditch banks and widening the ditch bottom would improve flow conditions through the ditch to the 2 - 5' X 10' box culverts under Live Oak St. and into the Anclote River.



Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------|----------------------------|----------|-------|------------|------------|
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | 18 | \$914.00 | \$914.00 |
| 2 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE II | 1,280 | LF | \$1.00 | \$1,280,00 |
| S | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.441 | AC | \$8,140.00 | 63,590.00 |
| 4 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 2,133 | SY | \$2.00 | \$4,268.00 |

25% CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION TOTAL SURVEY ENGINEERING GRAND TOTAL \$2,500.06 \$12,600.00 \$1,500.05 \$10,000.05 \$23,600.00

0

0

0

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquisition.



Problem:

According to the Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phase II this intersection is experiencing severe street and yard flooding. Low point is located just north of the Park St. intersection on Hibiscus St. The infrastructure at this intersection collects and conveys the runoff to the north to Athens St. where according to the Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phase I there is a crushed 18-inch clay pipe. Flooding at this intersection could be a result of or combination of the following: the crushed 18-inch clay pipe, inadequate inlets at the intersection, ineffective flow through the outfall ditch (Map ID No. 7) at the Pinellas Trail, and high tides at the Anclote River.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

Prior to any design an inspection of the existing downstream system is suggested to identify any crushed pipes or severe blockage of the storm sewer system. If there are obstructions these would require repair or replacement. Replacing the two existing inlets located on Hibiscus St. just north of the intersection of Hibiscus St. and Park St. is recommended to provide higher inlet capacity to assist in alleviating the flooding occurring at this location. According to City staff the 15-inch culvert located along Park St. was plugged for installation of a water main. Two inlets with a storm sewer conveyance system tying into the existing storm drain system at the intersection of Hibiscus St. and Park St. is also suggested to assist in alleviating the flooding occurring at this location. The downstream capacity of the existing storm sewer system may also need to be analyzed to ensure no additional improvements are required.

Additional Notes (Map ID No. 18):

Inadequate discharge through the outfall ditch (Map ID No. 7) along the Pinellas Trail in combination with tidal influences from the Anclote River could also be impacting the conveyance ability and capacity of this existing system. A more detailed analysis of this existing system is recommended.



Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| MAP ID NO. 18 | HIBISCUS ST. AND PARK ST. | | | | 05/13/2010 |
|---------------|---|----------|-------|------------|------------|
| Bid item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION | 1 1 | LS | \$3,450.00 | \$3,450.00 |
| 2 | SYNTHETIC BALES | 128 | LF | \$16.00 | \$2,048.00 |
| 3 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE II | 178 | LF | \$1,00 | \$178.00 |
| 4 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.051 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$415.00 |
| 5 | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION | 2,232 | SF | \$3.75 | \$8,370.00 |
| 8 | DITCH BOTTOM INLET | 2 | EA | \$2,500,00 | \$5,000.00 |
| 7 | CURBINLET | 2 | EA | \$4,500.00 | \$9,000.00 |
| 8 | PIPE CULVERT REINFORCED CONGRETE, 0-24" | 186 | LF | \$51.00 | \$9,458.00 |

| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$37,900.00 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| 28% CONTINGENCY | \$9,500.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | \$47,400.00 |
| BURVEY | \$2,400.00 |
| GEOTECHNICAL | \$1,400.00 |
| ENGINEERING | \$10,000.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$61,290.00 |

 \cap

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT item Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not Include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquisition.



Map ID No. 31 - Huey Ave. north of Tarpon Ave.

Problem:

During a meeting with City staff on June 23rd, 2009 it was identified that the swale south of the Cypress Place Apartments along Huey Ave. floods causing roadway flooding in the area where there is a 30-inch RCP crossdrain.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

provide greater conveyance to the cypress swamp and additional storage volume. In addition to the swale maintenance it is recommended to extend the roadside swale to the south along Huey Ave. to provide additional storage for the runoff generated from Huey This conceptual solution would consist of removing non-native vegetation and accumulated sediment from the roadside swale adjacent to Cypress St. and the swale west This maintenance will of Huey Ave. that connects to the existing cypress swamp. of Ave. and the adjacent shopping center. would conceptual solution

Additional Notes (Map ID No. 31):

1-foot contour maps indicate that the cypress swamp discharges to the east and ultimately into Lake Tarpon. Figure B-3B - Drainage Systems Locations, from the Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phase I shows a pipe connecting the roadside swale along Cypress St. to the drainage system that serves the US 19 corridor and ultimately discharges into the FDOT owned stormwater management facility located on the southeast corner of the Oakwood St. and US 19 intersection. Field investigations of this A positive outfall location for the cypress swamp could not be identified through field investigation or through the data we have obtained for the Stormwater Action Plan Phase I, nor through the Dames and Moore Master Drainage Study Phases I and IL SWFWMD area could not locate this pipe.

outlet connection to the cypress swamp however these improvements were never constructed. A drainage map obtained from Pinellas County, that was used in the alum-injection improvements to the FDOT stormwater management facility located on the southeast corner of the Oakwood St. and US 19 intersection, also shows this cypress head as being included in the overall drainage basin area for the FDOT stormwater management facility (and ultimately Lake Tarpon). Given this information, the installation of a control structure and outfall pipe that would discharge to the existing drainage system that serves the US 19 corridor and ultimately discharges into the FDOT would stormwater management facility would be a conceptual solution for the City to consider. Not only could this control structure reduce the flooding the problem along Huey Ave. but it would provide a positive outfall for the cypress swamp. FDOT plans for intersection improvements for US 19 with Tarpon Dr. showed a future

between Huey St. and the cypress swamp. A property ownership search for this location on the Pinellas County Property Appraiser Website could not determine ownership stormwater Problem and Conceptual Solution Descriptions

information. Another location for additional storage is the grassed area between the shopping center parking and Huey St. Property acquisition of the property or an easement would be required in order to construct a stormwater pond in this location.

0

Since the cypress swamp currently may not have a positive outfall, the construction of additional storage in this location to provide flooding relief would only be beneficial for small rainfall events. Without a positive outfall this area will still be subject to flooding with small repetitive storm events and larger storm events.

0

City of Tarpon Springs Stormwater Action Plan Phase II

and Ξ Storn

0



MATHERICAN Stormwater Action Plan - Phase II Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate MAP ID NO. 31: HUEY AVE. NORTH OF TARPON AVE.

| | | | | | NUT I WAY |
|--------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------|-------------------|------------|
| Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Unitos | Unit Price | Amount |
| Ŧ | MOBILIZATION | 1 | SJ | \$1,586.00 | \$1,586.00 |
| 2 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 2,247 | 5 | \$1.00 | \$2,247,00 |
| 0 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.617 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$5,022,00 |
| 4 | POND EXCAVATION | ш | λ | \$3.50 | \$2.720.00 |
| 22 | PERFORMANCE TURE, SOD | 2,985 | SV | \$2.00 | \$5,870.00 |

| a | FERFURNMANCE LURF, SOU | | 2,985 | λ | \$2.00 | \$5,870.00 |
|------------------------------|---|---|--------------|-------|--------|-------------|
| | | CONSTRUC | CTION SUBT(| DTAL. | | \$17,600.00 |
| | | 452 | % CONTINGE | NCY | | \$4,400.00 |
| | | CONST | TRUCTION TO | TAL | | \$22,000.00 |
| | | | GEOTECHN | ICAL | | 5700 DO |
| | | | ENGINEET | SNING | | \$7,900.00 |
| Notes: | | | DI GIVIND TI | TAL | | \$31,700.00 |
| 1. Unit Price 2. Conceptu | as based on FDOT item Avenage Unit Costs fo val Cost Estimate does not include the cost of I | w Area 08 from 03/01/2008 to 02/28/2009, Right-of-Way Acquisition. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



Map ID No. 62 - Roosevelt Blvd.

0

<u>Problem:</u> The road is in need of repair due to high groundwater conditions, poor subsoil conditions, and poorly functioning stormwater infrastructure. The City also desires to incorporate stormwater removations to the existing stormwater infrastructure due to the proximity to Spring Bayou and Whitcomb Bayou, both of which are considered impaired (high nitrogen) water bodies by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). There are several ditch bottom inlets along Roosevelt Blvd. that collect and directly discharge the stormwater runoff into the Tarpon Bayou.

Conceptual Solution(s):

<u>Option 1</u> Roosevelt Blvd. is proposed to be improved between Spruce St. and Dodecanese Blvd. under a separate task order. This project will include reconstruction of the roadway and stormwater infrastructure improvements. For additional information, please see the Roosevelt Boulevard Pavement and Stormwater Rehabilitation Improvements construction plans.

0

Problem and Conceptual Solution Des

0



Conserting Engineers Roosevert Boulevard (Spince Street to Dodesanees Boulevard)

| Roosevelt | Bouk | vard (Sprace Street to Dodecanees Boalevard) | 8 | 10/28 | /2010 (100% PI | ans Submittal) |
|--|-------------------|---|----------|-------|----------------|----------------|
| Pay Item No. | BED TEM NO. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit price | Amount |
| 101-1 | - | MOBILIZATION | | [S] | \$38,046.32 | \$38.046.32 |
| 102-1 | ٩ | MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC | 1 | 5 | \$22.848.82 | \$22.848.82 |
| 104-10-2 | 67 | SYNTHETIC BALEB | 678 | 5 | \$15.89 | \$10.773.42 |
| 104-11 | * | FLOATING TURBIDITY BARRIER | 1 76 | 5 | \$7.61 | \$578.38 |
| 104-13-1 | 17 | (STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 409 | 4 | \$0.87 | \$306.73 |
| 104-18 | ø | ROCK BAGS | 140 | EA | 25.68 | \$7061.20 |
| 110-1-1 | ~ | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 1M.87 | LSINC | \$6.138.84 | \$15 210 82 |
| 110-7-1 | 8 | MALBOX, F&I SINGLE | 3.00 | E | \$82.00 | ENER 3A |
| 120-1 | Ø | REGULAR EXCAVATION | 543 | CΥ | \$3.40 | \$1.848.20 |
| 120-4 | 10 | SUBSOIL EXCAVATION | 4,146 | 2X | \$6.74 | \$23.798.04 |
| 120-6 | ÷ | EMBANKKMENT | 4,859 | GY | \$4.78 | \$23,274,81 |
| 160-4 | 12 | STABILIZATION TYPE B (LOR 40) (12) | 5,101 | SY | \$2.77 | \$14,129.77 |
| 265-704 | 13 | CRUSHED CONCRETE BASE COURSE (87) | 4,495 | SΥ | \$9.00 | 340,455,00 |
| 327-70-6 | 14 | MILLING EXIST ASPH PAVT, 1 1/2" AVG DEPTH | 22 | SV | \$1.39 | \$30.58 |
| 334-1-12 | 5 | (SUPERPAVE TYPE SP STRUCTURAL COURSE, (TRAFFIC B) (1.07) (PARKING) | 12 | NL | \$70.98 | \$851.76 |
| 1-1-12 | 18 | (BUPERPAVE TYPE SP STRUCTURAL COURSE, (TRAFFIC B) (2.07) | \$73 | TN | \$70.98 | \$33,573,54 |
| M00-1-2 | 21 | CONCRETE CLASS I, ENDWALLS | 8 | CY | \$598.11 | \$3.578.86 |
| 400-1-15 | 18 | CONCRETE CLASS I, MISCELLANEOUS | 10 | ς | \$709.00 | \$7,090,00 |
| 425-1-351 | 18 | INLETS, CURB, TYPE P-5, <10 | 53 | E | 19,322,97 | \$8,883,81 |
| 425-1-381 | 20 | INLETS, CURB, TYPE P.S. <10 | 8 | 5 | \$3,638.A7 | \$10,915,41 |
| 425-1-521 | 24 | INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE C, <10 | 5 | EA | \$2,608.55 | \$5,213.10 |
| 425-1-547 | 2 | INLETS, DT 801, TYPE D, <10 | ~ | 5 | \$2,181,54 | \$4,363.08 |
| 420-1-043 | 8 | INLETS, DITCH BOTTOM, TYPE D, J BOT, <10" | 4 | B | \$4,641.67 | \$13,596,68 |
| 420-1-548 | 2 | INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE D, MODIFIED | 4 | б | \$3.551.43 | \$14,205.72 |
| 100-1-074 | 9 | INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE E, <10 | 2 | E | \$2.443.33 | \$4,886.66 |
| 200-1-024 | 8 | INULEIS, ULBOL, LYPE E, MODIFIED | 1 | B | \$1,812.50 | \$1,812.50 |
| 10-1-074 | 17 | INLETS, GUITER, TYPE V, SIG | - | B | \$2,393.36 | \$2,303.36 |
| 019-1-074 | 8 | INLETS, GLOSED FLUME | 4. | 5 | \$3,000.00 | \$3,000.00 |
| 10-2-024 | 8 | MANHOLES, P.R. <10' | + | 5 | \$4,227,91 | 1672248 |
| 10-2-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10- | 2 | MANPOLES, J-7, <1U | - | A | \$4,538.88 | \$4,538.68 |
| INT-CIT-DAY | 100 | KITE GALVENT NEIN-ORGED CONCRETE, ROUND - SHAPE, 0.24". | 385 | 5 | \$50.72 | \$18,380,64 |
| 102-011-004 | 8 | PLITE CULLY, REINFORCED, CONCRETE, OTHER - ELIP / ARCH, 0-24785 | 176 | 5 | \$50,37 | \$45,295.12 |
| 515-2-201 | 3 3 | ITTE MALV, PERMUNICALI VONURETE, UTMER - ELIPTANCH, 25-36755 Dermenonte datitante etterte and divente dati | 157 | 5 | \$140,00 | \$21,860.00 |
| 520-1-40 | 5 8 | CONDECTS OF DE SUBJECT, 94 FILMET MAIL | 40 | 5 | \$63,73 | \$2,149,20 |
| 500.3.4 | 36 | COMPETE VIDE TOE N | 2,879 | 4 | \$13.82 | \$36,767.78 |
| 201 9 0 | 8 6 | MURUNEIE VUNB, LYPE U | 188 | 4 | \$22.71 | \$3,133.88 |
| B-3-1000 | 10 | CUNCINE IE CURB, SPECIAL (MIAMI CURB) | 24 | 3 | \$18.25 | \$4,270.50 |
| 1.0000 | | OLDEWALN CUNICIPEIE, 4" SINCK | 843 | 81 | \$31.15 | \$28,259.45 |
| 20.07.4 | 8 | SKUEWALK GUNCKETTE, IT THICK | 20 | SY | \$39.62 | \$21,343.52 |
| 1-1-1-1-1 1-1-1-1 | 2 | CURVELE UICH PANI, MK, 3" | * | SV | \$28.04 | \$112.16 |
| 2-1-110 | - | PLANDAWAVACE LURY, SUD | 2,509 | SY | \$1.75 | \$4,380.75 |
| 11-02-002 | 74 | SIMISLE PUST SKIN, F&, LESS IHAN 12 SF | 8 | AS | \$306,88 | \$2,781,92 |
| 04-07-001 | 2 | DINULE PUST SKIW, RELOCATE | 2 | AS | \$110,18 | \$220,32 |
| 100-07-00 | ş : | DIRUCTE FUBI ENDR, REMOVE | 0 | 88 | \$17.23 | \$103.38 |
| 744 44 499 | 2 | THERMORY ASTIC, STO, WHITE, SOUD, OF | 0.03 | MM | \$2,894.04 | \$69.82 |
| 1111 July 1411 | Dł. | THERMOPLASTIC, STU, WHITE, SOUD, 12 | 257 | 5 | 51.57 | \$403.48 |
| 11-11-11-11 | 340 | THERMOPLASTIC, STD, WHITE, SOUD, 24" | 88 | 5 | \$3.10 | \$266.00 |
| 112-11-11 | 25 | THERWOPLASTIC, STD. YELLOW, SOLID, 6" | 0.63 | NWN | 22 051 89 | 64 FRG 78 |

0

ROADWAY SUBTOTAL BY CONTINGENCY GRAND TOTAL

\$413,626.38 \$25,661.27 \$659,306.65

Note: Unit Prices taken from FDOT item Average Unit Cost for Area D8 from 03/01/2008 to 02/26/2009 when available. Unit Prices unavailable from Area 08 Average Unit Cost were taken from FDOT Statewide from Average Unit Cost fr

0



Map ID No. 70 - East end of Boston St.

Problem:

East end of Boston St. is a dead end road located adjacent to a wetland. No stormwater infrastructure is in place along this section of Boston St. This section of the road has an elevation change from approximately clevation 21 to elevation 6 within 400 feet. Runoff from Boston St. (high point located east of Walton Ave.) flows east along edge of pavement and through private property and into the wetland. The front yard of the resident located at 721 Boston St. has large (some arc 8 to 10 inches in depth) stormwater ruts that are the result of high velocity flows. North edge of the road at 721 Boston St. is beginning to deteriorate and the sub-base is being exposed. During field review the resident at 721 Boston St. stated he has never had a structure flooding problem.

Conceptual Solution(s):

Option 1

This conceptual solution would involve adding curb and gutter to both sides of Boston St. beginning at the high point approximately 250° east of Walton Ave. and continuing to the east end of the road. A concrete flume with energy dissipaters and a spreader swale are proposed at the east end of Boston St. to reduce the velocity of the runoff and direct the stormwater towards the wetland to the northeast. The driveways along the curbed section will need to be reconstructed to ensure that runoff from Boston St. does not enter private property.

yrmwater Problem and Conceptual Solution Descriptions



Stomwater Action Plan - Phase II Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate

| - | Bid Item No. | Description | Quantity | Units | Unit Price | Amount |
|-----|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-------|------------|-------------|
| 1.1 | - | MOBILIZATION | - | LS I | \$2,488.00 | \$2,489.00 |
| | 2 | STAKED SILT FENCE, TYPE III | 1,262 | 5 | \$1.00 | \$1,282.00 |
| | 63 | CLEARING & GRUBBING | 0.190 | AC | \$8,140.00 | \$1,547.00 |
| | 4 | POND EXCAVATION | 1,072 | ζ | \$3.50 | \$3,752.00 |
| | cu | CONCRETE DITCH PAVEMENT, 4" (FLUME) | 24 | SΥ | \$22.00 | \$528.00 |
| | 8 | CONCRETE CURB | 800 | 4 | \$15.00 | \$12,000.00 |
| | 7 | SIDEWALK CONCRETE, DRIVEWAYS | 120 | SY | \$35.00 | \$4,200.00 |
| | 00 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 802 | SY | \$2.00 | \$1,604.00 |

| 26% CONTINGENCY | CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | SURVEY | GEOTECHNICAL | ENGINEERING |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------|--------------|--------------------|

Notes: 1. Unit Prices based on FDOT filsm Average Unit Costs for Area 08 from 03/01/2008 to 02/26/2009. 2. Conceptual Cost Estimate does not include the cost of Right-of-Way Acquisition.

\$27,400.00 \$6,900.00 \$34,300.06 \$1,700.00 \$10,000.00 \$47,300.00

GRAND TOTAL

0

0

| Standard Operating Procedure for: | | Revised: 4/1/18 | TARBOA SPRINGS | |
|---|---|--------------------|----------------|--|
| Flooded Area Response Protocol Application & Maintenance | | | | |
| Purpose of SOP: | To provide advisory warning, assure safe travel of motorists and pedestrians as well as preserve life and property of businesses, residents and guests throughout the City of Tarpon Springs during flood events. | | | |

1. BACKGROUND

Due to existing infrastructure conditions, topography, tidal fluctuation, extreme rain events and named storm events, street flooding occurs within certain areas of the City.

These events typically occur on:

- ➢ Roadways
- > Intersections

And can impact:

- > Motorists
- > Pedestrians
- > Businesses
- > Commerce
- > Homeowners

2. <u>PURPOSE</u>

This procedure is being standardized and implemented to achieve the following purposes:

- Provide Hazardous condition alerts
- Closing of roadways to protect motorists and property owners
- > Physically/Mechanically relieve flooding conditions (*If/when possible*)

3. <u>OBJECTIVE</u>

The objective of flood response is to ensure that public streets, roadways and property are protected to the best means possible to avoid loss. There are several actions that are to be taken.

- Pre Potential Flood Event: Systematically clean catch basins grid by grid prior to storm event to mitigate potential flooding.
- During Flood Event: Display "High Water", "Road Closed", "High Water", "Detour", barricades or other signage to alert motorists and pedestrians of a potential hazard.
- During & Post Flood Event: Set up trailer mounted pumps (*when feasible*) to pump down flooded areas to shorten the recovery time of the surcharged system.

4. **RESPONSIBILITIES**

Streets & Stormwater Supervisory Staff

- Monitor all associated Work Orders
- Distribute City grids assignments to staff (*pre-storm*)
- > Assist in providing tools, materials and proper staffing
- > Assure projects are completed properly and work orders are closed out

Streets & Stormwater Staff

- Respond promptly to emergency calls to deploy warning devices
- Set up & remove Barricades
- Deliver, Install, Maintain & Remove Pumps

Streets & Stormwater and Parks Staff

- Receive City grids assignments to staff (*pre-storm*)
- Staff of 2 each to inspect respective grids and remove debris present at drainage structures to prevent possible flooding
- Record debris collected on field data collection sheet
- Once assigned grid is completed, staff shall return to the office to receive additional grid assignment

5. PROCEDURE

5.1 Deploy Barricades

All Streets & Stormwater personnel

- Each application is unique in proper deployment of warning devices
- Follow all proper MOT requirements
- > Follow all instruction by supervisory staff requests
- Sign out & sign in all required devices
- Place 2 sandbags on each barricade during high water and storm events

5.2 Hot Spot Cleaning

All Streets & Stormwater and Parks Staff

- Receive City grids assignments to staff (*pre-storm*)
- Staff of 2 each to inspect respective grids and remove debris present at drainage structures to prevent possible flooding
- > Record debris collected on field data collection sheet
- Once assigned grid is completed, staff shall return to the office to receive additional grid assignment

5.3 Pump Placement

All Streets & Stormwater personnel

- Inspect pump trailer for transport (Note: Always fire-up & check pump before delivery. This will provide a safer and better alternative to discovering it does not work in the field.)
- Safely and properly hitch trailer to City vehicle for towing to project location
- Deliver pump to desired location and set in a safe, convenient and conspicuous position
- Attach all necessary hoses
- Periodically check & refuel equipment as necessary

6. VERSION HISTORY

| ate | Version # | Description |
|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| 4-01-2018 | 1 | Original issue |
| | | |


American Consulting Professionals, LLC American Consulting Engineers of Florida, LLC 2818 Cypress Ridge Blvd., Suite 200 • Wesley Chapel, Florida 33544 Tel 813.435.26220 • Fax 813.435.2601 www.acp-americas.com

MEMORANDUM

Date: November 15, 2012

To: Bob Robertson, P.E., Program Manager, Public Services Department

Cc: Ryan Forrestal, P.E., Principal-in-Charge

Shelly Saunders, P.E., Sr. Drainage Engineer

From: Keith Stimpson, P.E., Project Manager

Subject: Martin Luther King Jr. Drive Flooding Evaluation

American Project No: 5099786.01

City Task Order No: ACE04-07

1.0 Purpose

The purpose of this memorandum is to investigate flooding complaints on the properties located on the southeast corner of Martin Luther King Jr. (MLK) Drive and S. Grosse Avenue.

2.0 Scope

The following scope of work was performed in preparing this Technical Memorandum.

2.1 Data Collection and Review

The following materials were obtained and reviewed:

- The Oak Ridge South SWFWMD ERP# 46035617.00
- FEMA Flood Map 12103C0019G
- Pinellas Property Appraiser Parcel #'s 13-27-15-64926-001-0030, 13-27-15-64926-001-0020 and 13-27-15-64926-001-0010

2.2 Field Investigation and Documentation

American conducted field investigations on September 27, 2012 and October 6, 2012 to review the affective areas: including the existing storm sewer system along Grosse Avenue and to interview residents of 408 MLK Drive and 601 S. Grosse Avenue. Photographs where taken during the field investigation, and a photo log was developed for the purpose of this evaluation.

2.3 Review Permitted and As-built Conditions of Housing Development

American reviewed the grading plan and drainage design aspects as-built construction plans and SWFWMD permit for the recently constructed adjacent housing developments.

510

- § 122.12 Hurricane shelter impact study.
 - (A) All requests for site plan, subdivision, zoning/land use, or conditional use approval which entails residential development of 50 or more units located where evacuation in a Category 3 Storm is required shall prepare and submit an analysis which indicates that available shelter space will not be exceeded.
 - (B) Shelter space demand shall be estimated based upon the assumption that 25% of the evacuating population will seek public shelter. Population for an individual project shall be based upon the number of units times the average number of persons per household. The average number of persons per household shall be based upon the most recent U.S. Census, Florida Statistical Abstract, or other acceptable documented source.
 - (C) The study shall provide solutions for mitigation where a shelter deficit is protected. The solutions must provide for mitigation equivalent to the proposed development's anticipated hurricane preparedness impacts, and may utilize any single or combination of the following mitigative techniques:
 - (1) The donation of land for public facilities.
 - (2) The donation or use of private structures as hurricane shelter space.
 - (3) The provision of payments to upgrade existing shelters, to include the addition of storm shutters, provision of electric generators, provision of a food supply, provision of potable water storage capacity, and other items which are determined necessary by the Red Cross and Pinellas County Emergency Management Division to upgrade existing shelters.
 - (4) The provision of on-site shelter space when the project includes a community center or other suitable facility. The provision of on-site shelter space shall be at the ratio of 10 to 20 square feet per resident, and shall equal the proposed development's anticipated hurricane shelter space demand.
 - (5) The provision of funds to be used for the purpose of training shelter volunteers or for the purpose of enhancing existing public information programs.
 - (6) The provision for a limitation on density, or project phasing.
 - (7) The provision and maintenance of a public information program and hurricane evacuation fund within a homeowners association.
 - (8)

The provision for the elevation of all roads within the proposed development above the flood level of a category three hurricane event, making evacuation more feasible.

- (9) The provision of improvements to the evacuation routes, including roadway capacity improvements and the provision of funds for the posting of evacuation routes.
- (10) The provision of funds to be used for the purpose of procuring communication equipment which would upgrade the existing warning and notification capability of the Fire Department.
- (11) Nothing contained in this section shall preclude the use of alternative mitigative techniques.
- (12) Any mitigative technique shall provide reasonable assurance regarding the alternative's ability to reduce the development's impact upon demand for hurricane shelter space.
- (D) The study shall utilize the most recent available data from the Pinellas County Emergency Management Division and the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council.

(Ord. No. 2017-07, passed 5-2-17)

Editor's note— Ord. No. 2017-07, passed 5-2-17, renumbered § 122.13, pertaining to hurricane shelter impact study, as <u>122.12</u>.

(Sample "Certificate of Concurrency" reproduced on following page.)

| (A) | Date: | |
|-----|--------------------------|------------------------|
| (B) | Development Activity: | Permit or File Number: |
| | Site Plan or Subdivision | |
| | Final Subdivision Plat | |
| | Conditional Use | |

SAMPLE CERTIFICATE OF CONCURRENCY

| | Building Permit | |
|-----|-----------------------|-------|
| (C) | Proposed Development: | |
| | Site Acreage: | |
| | Location: | |
| | | |
| | Project Name: | |
| | Residential: | |
| | Single Family | Units |
| | Two Family | Units |
| | Multifamily | Units |
| | Nonresidential: | |
| | Use: | |
| | Square Footage: | |
| | Use: | |
| | Square Footage: | |
| | Use: | |
| | Square Footage: | |
| | | |

| | Owner Address: | |
|-----|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | | |
| | Contractor/Developer: | |
| (D) | Exceptions: | |
| | <u>§ 122.01(</u> A)(1) | |
| | <u>§ 122.01(</u> A)(2) | |
| | <u>§ 122.01(</u> A)(3) | |
| | <u>§ 122.01(</u> A)(4) | |
| (E) | Levels of Service: | |
| | Potable Water: Compliance | Noncompliance |
| | Sanitary Sewer: Compliance | Noncompliance |
| | Drainage: Compliance | Noncompliance |
| | Solid Waste: Compliance | Noncompliance |
| | Recreation: Compliance | Noncompliance |
| | Transportation: Compliance | Noncompliance |

| | Hurricane | Noncompliance | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | Shelter: Compliance | | | |
| (F) | Reserved Project Capacity: | | | |
| | Potable Water: | gallons per day | | |
| | Sanitary Sewer: | gallons per day | | |
| | Solid Waste: | tons per year | | |
| | Transportation: | peak hour trips | | |
| | Impacted Link: | | | |
| | Hurricane Shelter: Spaces | | | |
| (G) | Conditions of Approval (List): | | | |
| | _ | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| (H) | Action: | | | |
| | Compliance | | | |



(Ord. 90-10, passed 5-1-90; Am. Ord. 93-33, passed 10-19-93)

CITY OF TARPON SPRINGS, FLORIDA FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT PLAN

2017 ANNUAL REPORT



PREPARED BY:

Building Development Department With assistance from the Finance, Public Services and Fire Departments

DATE:

September 20, 2017

ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

Background

In early 1998, The Florida Department of Community Affairs (DCA) requested that Pinellas County and its municipalities develop a unified, community-wide local mitigation strategy. Their request was aimed at controlling the skyrocketing costs of disasters. Most of the burdens of recovering from a disaster fall squarely on the shoulders of local government. A major disaster can bring extraordinary hardship to citizens, devastate the economic base, and diminish its quality of life for years to come. Recent events, such as the storms of the 2004 hurricane season as well as Hurricane Katrina (2005), Wilma (2005), Ike (Texas 2008), Hermine (2016) rand recently Hurricanes Matthew and Irma (2017) demonstrate the need to plan ahead and mitigate potential impacts wherever we can. If we can avoid the hardships we have seen in other parts of the country by making smarter decisions before the disaster, we will have served the best interest of our citizens, businesses and communities.

The workgroup conducted its first meeting on March 11, 1998. Over the ensuing fifteen months, a total of twenty-two (22) meetings took place to produce the deliverables required by contract. Pinellas County and its municipalities developed and adopted a unified Local Mitigation Strategy in 1999. By developing the Local Mitigation Strategy, it was hoped Pinellas County could increase the resiliency of the community to the disruption and hardship of disasters and attempt to reduce the potential and actual costs of their impact.

The State of Florida requires an annual update of the countywide LMS. This update process focuses on the revision of the risk assessment, the identification of accomplishments and the update of the initiatives or projects list. Through its regular quarterly meetings, the county and its municipalities encourage participation in the ongoing mitigation initiatives at the local level.

The 2004 Update of the Pinellas County LMS

In 2004, Pinellas County completed the first comprehensive update of the countywide Local Mitigation Strategy prompted by new requirements of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000. This update incorporated new risk assessments based on a new National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Study (conducted in 2002), the hurricane evacuation study update (2000), the hazardous material facility inventory (2004), and hazards modeling using the The Arbiter Of Storms (TAOS) model. Local Mitigation Strategy Goals and Objectives and Policies and

Ordinances were updated by the local governments identifying the adopted goals, objectives and policies within their Local Government Comprehensive Plans (LGCPs) and Land Development Regulations (LDRs) and the relevant sections of the Evaluation and Appraisal Reports (EARs) submitted to the Florida Department of Community Affairs. Vulnerabilities including repetitive loss properties and critical facilities inventories were updated and mapped using Geographic Information System (GIS) technology. In addition, the Mitigation Initiatives and Accomplishments were discussed and updated based on consensus of local priorities.

The 2009 Update of the Pinellas County LMS

All 24 jurisdictions incorporated the hazard identification and the risk assessment into their local government comprehensive plans including the goals and policies of the Future Land Use, Coastal and Conservation, Parks and Recreation, Infrastructure and Transportation Elements through the Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR) process. Mitigation initiatives and projects identified were incorporated into Capital Improvement Programs (CIPs) including stormwater management projects, transportation improvements and land acquisition projects (floodplain and wetland protection).

The 2015 Update of the Pinellas County LMS

In 2014, Pinellas County, its municipalities and other mitigation partners including the regional planning council, Pinellas Planning Council, water management district, utilities, health and social service agencies, non-profit organizations, economic development agencies, private sector representatives and others embarked on another comprehensive update of the Pinellas County LMS.

In a manner similar to the 2009 update, all 24 jurisdictions adopted the 2015 LMS plan. The update provides updated demographics and risk assessment based on historic events and losses. The County and its partners recognized that the planning process is as important as the plan itself. Using a 10-step planning process identified in the FEMA Floodplain Management Planning (CRS Coordinator's Manual 2017) members, working together enhanced the planning process and strengthened the overall multi-jurisdiction mitigation strategy. The City of Tarpon Springs adopted the 2015 LMS in May of 2015.

The 2017 Update of the Pinellas County LMS

In 2017, Pinellas County, its municipalities and other mitigation partners including the regional planning council, Pinellas Planning Council, water management district, utilities, health and social service agencies, non-profit organizations, economic development agencies, private sector representatives and others embarked on another comprehensive update of the Pinellas County LMS. This update targeted marketing for better mitigation messaging. The Program for Public Information (PPI) for flood hazard outreach was created. Additional public warning systems are now in effect. The Alert Pinellas was introduced for registered residents and Pinellas County staff to be notified by providing updates to cell phones, land lines, emails and texts. During emergency situations, additional successful mitigation activities were updated. A new LMS Stakeholder Planning Committee will be formed and each community will be required to provide stakeholder from each member they have represented on the LMS working group committee.

Mitigation Initiatives

The following lists the mitigation opportunities and initiative within the Pinellas LMS along with other local initiatives for floodplain management and hazard mitigation planning. A statement on accomplishments during the previous year and a status of each is included.

1. Tarpon Springs has hired STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES, Inc. under a 5-year continuing services contract for stormwater engineering services.

<u>Accomplishments</u>: Under the City's direction, STANTEC completed a Stormwater Action Plan Phase II (SAP). The SAP is a multi-year Capital Improvement Program which includes 16 stormwater projects with an estimated total cost of \$5.2 million. Since the program's inception in Fiscal Year 2010, eighteen (18) projects have been initiated and are in various stages of either design, permitting, construction or completion.

<u>Status</u>: This is an ongoing effort which will continue through Fiscal Year 2024 and possibly beyond as project need dictates.

2. Tarpon Springs has hired AMERICAN CONSULTING to provide a stormwater action plan.

Current Tarpon Springs Stormwater Projects: The City of Tarpon Springs created a Stormwater Action Plan (SAP) that was implemented in 2010 used as the master document to identify, evaluate and address areas susceptible to flooding. This document is available in the Tarpon Springs Stormwater Division and Development Services office. To date, the City has resolved or eliminated 18 identified projects; for a list of these projects please refer to the SAP. There are currently 6 capital improvement projects either in the beginning phases or currently under construction, 3 of these projects being funded by the South West Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) Cooperative Funding Initiative (CFI).

<u>Athens St. & Dodecanese Blvd.</u> – Drainage Improvement Project: The City of Tarpon Springs contracted a Consultant to develop a Technical Memorandum that evaluates design alternatives for drainage and tidal flooding related issues at the intersection of Athens St. & Dodecanese Blvd.

<u>Highland Ave. & Vista PI/Jasmine Ave. & Lime St.</u> – Stormwater Action Plan II by AMERICAN CONSULTATION. Drainage Improvement Project: 2 projects combined into 1 CFI with SWFWMD.

<u>Grosse Avenue Stormwater Rehabilitation from Tarpon Ave. to Pine St.</u> – STORMWATER ACTION PLAN (SAP #22). City of Tarpon Springs contracted consultant to perform improvements to include installation of a closed storm sewer systems) within the existing right of way and planned stormwater easement(s) to alleviate the frequent roadway and private property flooding conditions. Construction of a new Stormwater Management Facility (SMF) of the northeast corner of Grosse Ave/Cypress St. intersection, construction of a joint0use SMF within Tarpon Springs Elementary School property. Reconstruction of the existing roadway pavement and curb where applicable due to installation of stormwater infrastructure and utility coordination.

<u>Palm Avenue Drainage Improvement Project</u> – Stormwater rehabilitation along Palm Avenue between Glades Ave. and Gulf Road. Planned improvements include installation of a closed storm sewer system within the existing right-of-way to alleviate frequent roadway and private property flooding conditions. Also, construction of a new SMF along the east side of Tarpon

Ave. between Village Dr. and Gulf Rd, reconstruction of the existing roadway pavement and curb where applicable due to installation of stormwater infrastructure and utility coordination.

3. Citywide GIS Mapping – Infrastructure / Drainage Mapping Project

The City of Tarpon Springs hired STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES to provide field data collection/ input process for the development of a stormwater infrastructure GIS inventory system of the stormwater features.

4. Tarpon Springs will implement its Comprehensive Plan, Code of Ordinances and Comprehensive Zoning and Land Development Code for all development activity in the floodplain.

<u>Accomplishments</u>: In March of 2011 the City adopted a form-based zoning code and future land use amendment for a 246-acre Special Area Plan (SAP) comprised of the City's Community Redevelopment Area and the Sponge Docks Tourist Area. Much of this area is located within the Coastal High Hazard Area (Level 1 Hurricane Evacuation Area). The City included a transfer of development rights process in the new code to allow residential density to only be transferred within or outside of the Coastal High Hazard Area, ensuring that there would be no net gain of residential density within these flood hazard areas. Additionally, there were no increases in residential density within these areas above what was previously allowed.

Continued enforcement of the City's Comprehensive Zoning and Land Development Code also plays a role in reducing unsafe conditions and inappropriate uses, and limits development and redevelopment in the floodplain. The Comprehensive Zoning and Land Development Code sections, which affect uses and development in the floodplain, include but are not limited to the following:

- Stormwater Management
- Floodplain Management
- Open Space Preservation
- Wetlands and Shoreline Protection
- Tree Protection and Preservation
- Endangered/Threatened Species Protection

Status: This is an ongoing effort.

4. All development and redevelopment in floodplains and floodways must comply with the regulations of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), Federal Insurance Administration (FIA), Florida Building Code and the Tarpon Springs Floodplain Management ordinance.

<u>Accomplishments:</u> Tarpon Springs has participated in FEMA's National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) since May 1971. As a participant the City complies with the minimum standards of the program plus those additional activities established in the Community Rating System (CRS) program for which the City has participated since October 1992. On May 1, 2012, the City increased to a Class 7 in the CRS.

Compliance is achieved through aggressive enforcement of the City's Comprehensive Plan, Code of Ordinances, and the Comprehensive Zoning and Land Development Code, Floodplain Management Regulations are found in the Chapter 6 of the City's Code of Ordinances.

On December 31, 2017, the 2017 *Florida Building Code (FBC), 6th Ed.* became effective throughout the State of Florida. In August 2012, the City adopted a new floodplain management ordinance for local application and enforcement. This ordinance was based upon the Model Floodplain Management Ordinance developed by the Florida Division of Emergency Management (DEM). The purposes of this ordinance and the flood load and flood resistant construction requirements of the *Florida Building Code* are to establish minimum requirements to safeguard the public health, safety, and general welfare and to minimize public and private losses due to flooding through regulation of development in flood hazard areas.

Specific activities include limiting density, providing map information, and issuing and enforcing building permits under these regulations. The City limits densities in coastal high hazard areas to a maximum of five (5) residential units per acre. Map information from Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) is provided for new development, additions, and reconstruction

or at the request of the general public. Building permits rely on this information since the permit must state the minimum base flood elevation (BFE). The most current 2017 FBC, 6th Ed. update included a new requirement of 1 ft. of freeboard above BFE for new construction which was already a requirement for Tarpon Springs.

Lastly, the City of Tarpon Springs is participating in the coastal Risk Mapping, Assessment and Planning (Risk MAP) project. Risk MAP is a FEMA program that assists community efforts to identify, assess, and reduce their flood risk. The project addresses portions of Pinellas County, which includes Tarpon Springs, affected by coastal flooding.

Status: This is an ongoing effort.

Activity 510

CRS Coordinator's Manual (2017 Edition)

- This Annual Progress Report was prepared by the Development Services Department with assistance from the Finance, Public Services, and Fire Departments. Copies of this report will be distributed to the Board of Commissioners, the local media and made available to the public at the following locations:
 - Tarpon Springs City Hall 324 E. Pine Street, Tarpon Springs, FL 34689
 - Tarpon Springs Public Library 138 E. Lemon Street, Tarpon Springs, FL 34689
 - Tarpon Springs Cultural Center 101 S. Pinellas Avenue, Tarpon Springs, FL 34689
- 2. Copies of the Pinellas LMS and this report can be obtained by contacting the Development Services Department at (727) 942-5604.
- 3. A review of the mitigation opportunities and initiatives in the Pinellas LMS along with local mitigation initiatives and accomplishments during the previous year are outlined in this report.
- 4. All initiatives are ongoing.
- 5. List any recommendations for new projects or revised projects.
 - Tarpon Springs will continue to review its Comprehensive Plan and codes on a regular basis to insure floodplain management planning is current. New and revised projects are

scheduled on an annual basis in the City's Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

- Tarpon Springs will continue to participate in hazard mitigation programs, such as the Pinellas LMS and modify such plans accordingly.
- Tarpon Springs will continue to participate in FEMA's coastal Risk MAP project.

For more information, please contact the City's CRS Coordinator:

Megan Araya, CFM Building Development Department (727) 942-5604 <u>maraya@cstfl.us</u>